



REVIEW

Open Access

Oncogene addiction in gliomas: Implications for molecular targeted therapy

Wei Yan[†], Wei Zhang[†] and Tao Jiang^{*}

Abstract

Oncogene addiction is a phenomenon that the survival of cancer cells depends on an activated oncogene or inactivation of tumor suppressor gene, and is regarded as the 'Achilles heel' of the successful molecular targeted therapies in cancer. However, the role of oncogene addiction in gliomas has not been elucidated systematically. In this review, we summarize the current experimental and clinical evidence for the concept of oncogene addiction and describe the mechanisms explaining oncogene addiction in gliomas. And the clinical implications for oncogene addiction in molecular targeted therapy are further emphasized. In addition, we discuss future direction for defining complex "oncogene addiction network" through the integrated analysis of multiple platforms in the flow of genetic information in gliomagenesis.

Keywords: Oncogene addiction Glioma, Molecular targeted therapy, Network addiction

Introduction

Cancer arises as a result of a stepwise accumulation of genetic aberrations [1]. Despite multiple genetic alterations, its growth and survival can often be impaired by the inactivation of a single oncogene. This phenomenon indicates that tumors may become dependent upon a single oncogenic activity for both maintenance of the malignant phenotype and cell survival [2]. The phrase "oncogene addiction" was coined by Bernard Weinstein to describe the observation that tumor maintenance often depends on the continued activity of certain oncogene or loss of tumor suppressor gene [3]. Oncogene addiction provides a rationale for molecular targeted therapy in cancers [4]. More and more researches proposed that decoding of the oncogene addiction in cancer may provide a key for effective cancer therapy. But it is difficult to define oncogene addiction in numerous conditions. And the efficacy of this strategy requires novel methods, including integrative genomics and systems biology, to identify the status of oncogene addiction in individual cancer [3]. However, it has been known that so many growth related pathways are activated in cancers. To date, it remains controversial whether the

cancer cells could get hooked on one single gene [5]. Although the debate that one gene shouldn't affect it much is still continuing, it is remarkable that in some cases reversing only one of these genes can have a strong inhibitory effect. Evidence that supports the concept of oncogene addiction has been obtained in various human cancers via Pubmed Search as indicated in Table 1[6-19].

Oncogene addiction in gliomas

Glioma is the most common primary brain tumor in adults with poor prognosis [20]. The clinical outcomes of patients with glioma traditionally depend upon the tumor pathological grade. But the patients even within the same grade usually have diverse prognosis and therapeutic outcomes [21]. Over the last decade, the knowledge on the molecular genetic background of human gliomas has dramatically increased [22]. However, differences in glioma genetics may result in distinct prognosis and therapeutic outcome, and the underlying mechanism has not been clarified systematically. Underscoring genetic aberrations in gliomas will enhance understanding of tumor biology and have significant clinical relevance for treatment. However, amounts of chromosomal alterations and cancer-causing mutations have been discovered through genome-scale approaches. The complex genetic aberrations provide the basis for molecular

* Correspondence: taojiang1964@yahoo.com.cn

† Contributed equally

Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, No.6 Tiantan Xili, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100050, China

Table 1 Oncogene addiction in various human cancers

Addicted oncogenes	Implications in cancers	Contributors
MYC	Inactivation of MYC can result in dramatic and sustained tumor regression in various cancers	Felsher et al. , <i>Genes Cancer</i> . (2010) [6]
cyclin D1	Cell proliferation	Lee et al. , <i>Cell Cycle</i> . (2010) [7]
Met	The MET tyrosine kinase stimulates cell scattering, invasion, protection from apoptosis and angiogenesis	Comoglio et al. , <i>Nat Rev Drug Discov</i> . (2008) [8]
PDGFRA amplification or mutation	Predictive biomarker of drug sensitivity	Swanto et al. , <i>Cancer Biol Ther</i> . (2009) [9]
NF-kappaB	Acquisition of resistance to CPT	Togano et al. , <i>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</i> . (2009) [10]
FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha	Generation sustained activation signaling to maintain a cell malignant phenotype	Jin et al. , <i>Cancer Sci</i> . (2009) [11]
PDGF-B	PDGF-B is required to overcome cell-cell contact inhibition and to confer in vivo infiltrating potential on tumor cells	Calzolari et al. , <i>Neoplasia</i> . (2008) [12]
EGFR amplification or mutations	Increased sensitivity to EGFR small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors	Rothenberg et al. , <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci USA</i> . (2008) [13]
SphK1	SphK1 is involved in the major mechanisms underpinning oncogenesis	Vadas et al. , <i>Biochim Biophys Acta</i> . (2008) [14]
E2F1	The E2F1 protein functions as a transcription factor that enhances cell proliferation	Alonso et al. , <i>Cancer Lett</i> . (2008) [15]
HSP90	Cell proliferation and/or survival	Workman et al. , <i>Ann N Y Acad Sci</i> . (2007) [16]
Bcr-Abl	Chemosensitivity to imatinib	Chen et al. , <i>Cancer Res</i> . (2006) [17]
mTOR	mTOR plays a central role in cell growth, proliferation and survival	Choo et al. , <i>Cancer Cell</i> . (2006) [18]
microRNA-21	Overexpression of miR-21 leads to a pre-B malignant lymphoid-like phenotype	Medina et al. , <i>Nature</i> . (2010) [19]

targeted therapies, and molecular tests serve to complement the subjective nature of histopathologic criteria and add useful data regarding patient prognosis and therapeutic outcome. Oncogene addiction hides in the above background with complex genetic aberrations. Different types of oncogene addiction can dictate distinct glioma subtypes. It becomes a promising direction to define oncogene addiction for molecular targeted therapy in gliomas. At present, only few oncogene addictions have been identified in gliomas except for E2F1 addiction [15], and some classical glioma-associated genes may be potential oncogene addictions.

EGFR gene amplification or overexpression is a particularly striking feature of glioblastoma (GBM), observed in approximately 40% of tumors. In nearly 50% of tumors with EGFR amplification, a specific EGFR mutant (EGFRvIII) can be detected [23]. This mutant is highly oncogenic and is generated from a deletion of exons 2 to 7 of the EGFR gene, which results in an in-frame deletion of 267 amino acids from the extracellular domain of the receptor. EGFRvIII is unable to bind ligand, and it signals constitutively. Although EGFRvIII has the same signaling domain as the wild-type receptor, it seems to generate a distinct set of downstream signals that may contribute to an increased tumorigenicity [24]. Targeted inhibition of EGFR activity can suppress signal transduction pathways which control tumor cell growth,

proliferation, and resistance to apoptosis [25]. Small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors and monoclonal antibodies are among the most common EGFR targeting agents and have been used clinically for treating various malignancies [26]. Recently, it was reported that mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR gene can predict the response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors [27]. And if alleles with EGFR mutations are amplified, the response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors may differ relative to mutant alleles without gene amplification [28]. Thus, EGFR mutations enable the identification of the glioma subgroup that is likely to be addicted to EGFRs.

Losses of chromosomes 1p and 19q are deemed correlated with the diagnosis of oligodendroglioma, higher PCV chemosensitivity and favorable prognosis [29]. The average rates of 1p deletion and 1p/19q codeletion were respectively 65.4 and 63.3% in oligodendrogliomas, 28.7 and 21.6% in oligoastrocytomas, 13.2 and 7.5% in astrocytomas, 11.6 and 2.9% in glioblastomas [30]. Established indicators of the favorable outcome of oligodendroglial tumors include LOH on chromosomes 1p and 19q, which may indicate a loss of function of as yet unknown tumor-suppressor genes located in those regions [31]. LOH of 1p in the heterogeneous population of malignant gliomas may be one of the vital factors besides MGMT promoter methylation that predict better outcome in patients treated with TMZ [32].

Mutations in IDH1/2 are a common feature of a major subset of primary human brain tumors [33]. Recent studies reported that mutations usually affected amino acid 132 of IDH1 in more than 70% of grade II-III gliomas and secondary glioblastomas. Tumors without mutations in IDH1 often had mutations affecting the analogous amino acid (R172) of the IDH2 gene. Tumors with IDH1 or IDH2 mutations had distinctive genetic and clinical characteristics, and patients with such tumors had a better outcome than those with wild-type IDH genes [34,35]. IDH1 mutation contributes to tumorigenesis partly through induction of the HIF-1 pathway [36]. And it has been recently reported that tumor-derived IDH1 and IDH2 mutations reduced α -KG and accumulated a α -KG antagonist, 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG), leading to genome-wide histone and DNA methylation alterations [37]. 2-HG accumulation caused by IDH mutation was also reported to be involved in the formation of malignant gliomas [38]. A recent study has demonstrated that IDH mutation was correlated with a higher rate of response to temozolomide and appeared to be a significant marker of positive prognosis in low-grade gliomas [39]. Taken together, mutations in IDH genes seem to arise from a common glial precursor and play an important role in the formation of specific glioma subtype in which IDH1/2 mutation functions as oncogene addiction.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) belong to a recently discovered class of small non-coding RNA molecules that regulate the expression of multiple target genes. Some miRNAs, referred to as oncomiRs, show differential expression levels in cancer and are able to affect cellular transformation, carcinogenesis and metastasis, acting either as oncogenes or tumour suppressors. Oncogene addiction to oncomiRs has been proposed in several human cancers [19,40,41]. A lot of studies showed that the aberrant expression miRNAs, including miR-21, miR-221/222, miR-181s and miR-34s, played an important role in gliomagenesis [42-45]. Overexpression of miR-21 could lead to a malignant phenotype, demonstrating that miR-21 was a genuine oncogene. When miR-21 was inactivated, the tumours regressed completely in a few days, partly as a result of apoptosis [42]. And miR-181a and 181b functioned as tumor suppressors in glioma cells [44]. These results demonstrate that tumors could become addicted to oncomiRs and support efforts in treating human cancers through pharmacological inactivation of miRNAs such as miR-21 or upregulation of miR-181s.

Clinical implications of oncogene addiction in molecular targeted therapy for gliomas

Chemotherapeutic agent therapy or molecular targeted therapy always works in tumors with certain respective genetic background. A growing body of genetic

aberrations was identified in gliomas, only a subset of genes acting as drivers in carcinogenesis can be recognized as oncogene addition. Meanwhile, most genes just act as downstream effectors of addicted oncogenes. Oncogene addiction is an ideal potential target for molecular targeted therapy in human cancers. Therapies targeting genes causally linked to carcinogenesis have been successful in a subset of tumor types [46]. Each subtype of gliomas may display a different oncogene addiction. Some molecular targeted drugs only work in a subgroup of tumor patients. The choice of the appropriate molecular targeted agent and combination therapy for a specific patient with cancer is largely empirical. In theory, it is essential to define specific oncogene addiction for individuals before choosing molecular targeted drugs. It should be pointed out that distinct kinds of cells in one sample (e.g. CD133- and CD133+ cells) have different oncogene addictions due to the heterogeneity of glioma. Thus combination of multiple drugs is required to target more than one oncogene addictions in one patient. In addition, oncogene addiction is always moving as the therapeutic targets in gliomas. After exposure to therapeutic agents, cancer cells can escape from one established oncogene addition to another. At this situation, previous drugs would not work anymore. This may be the reason of acquired drug resistance. We named the above phenomenon to "Oncogene addiction transition". Studies are needed for further investigating possible direction of oncogene addiction transition, which is important for choosing rational scheme of combination therapy. Targeting the existing oncogene addiction in combination with blocking the direction of oncogene addiction transition may effectively suppress the growth of glioma cells. Defining oncogene addiction and direction of potential transition in advance based on gene expression profile and bioinformatics analysis will be the novel orientation of combination therapy in the future.

Approaches for defining oncogene addiction

Recently, the utilities of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), DNA sequencing and methylation specific-polymerase chain reaction (MS-PCR), are widely being employed in assessment of several genetic aberrations for human gliomas [47]. However, it has been reported that systematic characterization of cancer genome has revealed diverse aberrations among different individuals, such that the functional significance and physiological consequence of most genetic alterations remain poorly defined [48]. Cancer cells are characterized by acquired functional capabilities: self-sufficiency in exogenous growth signals, insensitivity to antigrowth signals, limitless replicative potential, evasion of apoptosis, sustained angiogenesis, and acquisition of invasiveness and metastatic ability. The

order and mechanistic means to achieve these properties can vary between different tumors. Therefore, cancers are always complex, involving an interplay between various genes and a number of critical pathways and signaling cascades, and the detection of only a single marker molecule is usually insufficient for determining oncogene addiction in gliomas. However, the possibility of developing novel selective drugs against such a large number of genetic aberrations seems extremely daunting. It has been also reported that genetic lesions in cancers tend to cluster around certain pathways, suggesting the concept of 'network addiction', rather than 'oncogene addiction' [46]. It is very difficult to define certain driver genes from amounts of passenger genes in gliomas. Due to the limitation of a single gene or signaling pathway in identifying molecular pattern and predicting clinical prognosis of gliomas, high-throughput screening oncogene addiction networks was highlighted. A lot of single platform analysis cannot identify novel molecular markers that can apply to clinical practice. The integrated analysis of multiple platforms in the flow of genetic information may provide a promising direction for defining oncogene addiction networks. Advances in whole-genome microarray techniques are providing unprecedented opportunities for comprehensive analysis of multi-platform genetic information. The integration of these data sets with genetic aberrations and clinical informations will define novel oncogene addiction networks based on the individual genomics of the patients with glioma. A recent study has showed that a computational approach that integrates chromosomal copy number and gene expression data for detecting aberrations that promote cancer progression [48]. And software has been also developed to identify cancer driver genes in whole-genome sequencing studies [49]. Oncogene addiction networks will likely provide a valuable frame for designing combination therapy of molecular targeted drugs in future.

Conclusion

Developing novel approaches for defining oncogene addiction networks, coupled with specific combination of molecular targeted agents, will make it possible to achieve more effective and personalized molecular targeted therapy in human gliomas.

Author details

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, No.6 Tiantan Xili, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100050, China.

Abbreviations

MiRNAs: MicroRNAs; LOH: Loss of heterozygosity; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; MS-PCR: methylation specific-polymerase chain reaction.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from National Key Project of Science and Technology Supporting Programs (No. 2007BAI05B08) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30772238 and 30730035).

Authors' contributions

TJ initiated the concept. WY and WZ drafted the manuscript. All authors participated in writing, read and approved the final manuscript. WY and WZ contributed equally to this article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 12 March 2011 Accepted: 17 May 2011

Published: 17 May 2011

References

1. Mizuarai S, Irie H, Schmatz DM, Kotani H: **Integrated genomic and pharmacological approaches to identify synthetic lethal genes as cancer therapeutic targets.** *Curr Mol Med* 2008, **8**:774-783.
2. Weinstein IB, Joe AK: **Mechanisms of disease: Oncogene addiction—a rationale for molecular targeting in cancer therapy.** *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2006, **3**:448-457.
3. Weinstein IB, Joe A: **Oncogene addiction.** *Cancer Res* 2008, **68**:3077-3080.
4. Weinstein IB: **Cancer: Addiction to oncogenes—the Achilles heel of cancer.** *Science* 2002, **297**:63-64.
5. Garber K: **New insights into oncogene addiction found.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007, **99**:264-265, 269.
6. Felsner DW: **MYC Inactivation Elicits Oncogene Addiction through Both Tumor Cell-Intrinsic and Host-Dependent Mechanisms.** *Genes Cancer* 2010, **1**:597-604.
7. Lee JT, Shan J, Gu W: **Targeting the degradation of cyclin D1 will help to eliminate oncogene addiction.** *Cell Cycle* 2010, **9**:857-858.
8. Comoglio PM, Giordano S, Trusolino L: **Drug development of MET inhibitors: targeting oncogene addiction and expedience.** *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008, **7**:504-516.
9. Swanton C, Burrell RA: **Advances in personalized therapeutics in non-small cell lung cancer: 4q12 amplification, PDGFRA oncogene addiction and sunitinib sensitivity.** *Cancer Biol Ther* 2009, **8**:2051-2053.
10. Togano T, Sasaki M, Watanabe M, Nakashima M, Tsuruo T, Umezawa K, Higashihara M, Watanabe T, Horie R: **Induction of oncogene addiction shift to NF- κ B by camptothecin in solid tumor cells.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2009, **390**:60-64.
11. Jin Y, Chen Q, Lu Z, Chen B, Pan J: **Triptolide abrogates oncogene FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha addiction and induces apoptosis in hypereosinophilic syndrome.** *Cancer Sci* 2009, **100**:2210-2217.
12. Calzolari F, Appolloni I, Tutucci E, Caviglia S, Terrile M, Corte G, Malatesta P: **Tumor progression and oncogene addiction in a PDGF-B-induced model of gliomagenesis.** *Neoplasia* 2008, **10**:1373-1382, following 1382.
13. Rothenberg SM, Engelman JA, Le S, Riese DJ, Haber DA, Settleman J: **Modeling oncogene addiction using RNA interference.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, **105**:12480-12484.
14. Vadas M, Xia P, McCaughan G, Gamble J: **The role of sphingosine kinase 1 in cancer: oncogene or non-oncogene addiction?** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008, **1781**:442-447.
15. Alonso MM, Alemany R, Fueyo J, Gomez-Manzano C: **E2F1 in gliomas: a paradigm of oncogene addiction.** *Cancer Lett* 2008, **263**:157-163.
16. Workman P, Burrows F, Neckers L, Rosen N: **Drugging the cancer chaperone HSP90: combinatorial therapeutic exploitation of oncogene addiction and tumor stress.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2007, **1113**:202-216.
17. Chen R, Gandhi V, Plunkett W: **A sequential blockade strategy for the design of combination therapies to overcome oncogene addiction in chronic myelogenous leukemia.** *Cancer Res* 2006, **66**:10959-10966.
18. Choo AY, Blenis J: **TORgeting oncogene addiction for cancer therapy.** *Cancer Cell* 2006, **9**:77-79.
19. Medina PP, Nolde M, Slack FJ: **OncomiR addiction in an in vivo model of microRNA-21-induced pre-B-cell lymphoma.** *Nature* 2010, **467**:86-90.
20. Minniti G, Muni R, Lanzetta G, Marchetti P, Enrici RM: **Chemotherapy for glioblastoma: current treatment and future perspectives for cytotoxic and targeted agents.** *Anticancer Res* 2009, **29**:5171-5184.

21. van den Bent MJ, Kros JM: **Predictive and prognostic markers in neuro-oncology.** *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2007, **66**:1074-1081.
22. Eoli M, Silvani A, Pollo B, Bianchessi D, Menghi F, Valletta L, Broggi G, Boiardi A, Bruzzone MG, Finocchiaro G: **Molecular markers of gliomas: a clinical approach.** *Neurol Res* 2006, **28**:538-541.
23. Hatanpaa KJ, Burma S, Zhao D, Habib AA: **Epidermal growth factor receptor in glioma: signal transduction, neuropathology, imaging, and radioresistance.** *Neoplasia* 2010, **12**:675-684.
24. Gan HK, Kaye AH, Luwor RB: **The EGFRvIII variant in glioblastoma multiforme.** *J Clin Neurosci* 2009, **16**:748-754.
25. Wykosky J, Fenton T, Furnari F, Cavenee WK: **Therapeutic targeting of epidermal growth factor receptor in human cancer: successes and limitations.** *Chin J Cancer* 2011, **30**:5-12.
26. Butowski N, Chang SM: **Small molecule and monoclonal antibody therapies in neurooncology.** *Cancer Control* 2005, **12**:116-124.
27. Gazdar AF: **Activating and resistance mutations of EGFR in non-small-cell lung cancer: role in clinical response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors.** *Oncogene* 2009, **28**:S24-S31.
28. Benito R, Gil-Benso R, Quilis V, Perez M, Gregori-Romero M, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Cerdá-Nicolas M, Lopez-Gines C: **Primary glioblastomas with and without EGFR amplification: relationship to genetic alterations and clinicopathological features.** *Neuropathology* 2010, **30**:392-400.
29. Kreiger PA, Okada Y, Simon S, Rorke LB, Louis DN, Golden JA: **Losses of chromosomes 1p and 19q are rare in pediatric oligodendrogliomas.** *Acta Neuropathol* 2005, **109**:387-392.
30. Fontaine D, Vandenbos F, Lebrun C, Paquis V, Frenay M: **Diagnostic and prognostic values of 1p and 19q deletions in adult gliomas: critical review of the literature and implications in daily clinical practice.** *Rev Neurol (Paris)* 2008, **164**:595-604.
31. Ramirez C, Bowman C, Maurage CA, Dubois F, Blond S, Porchet N, Escande F: **Loss of 1p, 19q, and 10q heterozygosity prospectively predicts prognosis of oligodendroglial tumors—towards individualized tumor treatment?** *Neuro Oncol* 2010, **12**:490-499.
32. Ishii D, Natsume A, Wakabayashi T, Hatano H, Asano Y, Takeuchi H, Shimato S, Ito M, Fujii M, Yoshida J: **Efficacy of temozolomide is correlated with 1p loss and methylation of the deoxyribonucleic acid repair gene MGMT in malignant gliomas.** *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2007, **47**:341-349, discussion 350.
33. Dang L, White DW, Gross S, Bennett BD, Bittinger MA, Driggers EM, Fantin VR, Jang HG, Jin S, Keenan MC, et al: **Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate.** *Nature* 2009, **462**:739-744.
34. Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, Kos I, Batinic-Haberle I, Jones S, Riggins GJ, et al: **IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas.** *N Engl J Med* 2009, **360**:765-773.
35. Bals J, Meyer J, Mueller W, Korshunov A, Hartmann C, von Deimling A: **Analysis of the IDH1 codon 132 mutation in brain tumors.** *Acta Neuropathol* 2008, **116**:597-602.
36. Zhao S, Lin Y, Xu W, Jiang W, Zha Z, Wang P, Yu W, Li Z, Gong L, Peng Y, et al: **Glioma-derived mutations in IDH1 dominantly inhibit IDH1 catalytic activity and induce HIF-1alpha.** *Science* 2009, **324**:261-265.
37. Xu W, Yang H, Liu Y, Yang Y, Wang P, Kim SH, Ito S, Yang C, Wang P, Xiao MT, et al: **Oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate is a competitive inhibitor of alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases.** *Cancer Cell* 2011, **19**:17-30.
38. Sonoda Y, Tominaga T: **2-hydroxyglutarate accumulation caused by IDH mutation is involved in the formation of malignant gliomas.** *Expert Rev Neurother* 2010, **10**:487-489.
39. Houillier C, Wang X, Kaloshi G, Mokhtari K, Guillemin R, Laffaire J, Paris S, Boisselier B, Idbah A, Laigle-Donadey F, et al: **IDH1 or IDH2 mutations predict longer survival and response to temozolomide in low-grade gliomas.** *Neurology* 2010, **75**:1560-1566.
40. Matsubara H, Takeuchi T, Nishikawa E, Yanagisawa K, Hayashita Y, Ebi H, Yamada H, Suzuki M, Nagino M, Nimura Y, et al: **Apoptosis induction by antisense oligonucleotides against miR-17-5p and miR-20a in lung cancers overexpressing miR-17-92.** *Oncogene* 2007, **26**:6099-6105.
41. Jeang KT: **Human T cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) and oncogene or oncomiR addiction?** *Oncotarget* 2010, **1**:453-456.
42. Moore LM, Zhang W: **Targeting miR-21 in glioma: a small RNA with big potential.** *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2010, **14**:1247-1257.
43. Zhang CZ, Zhang JX, Zhang AL, Shi ZD, Han L, Jia ZF, Yang WD, Wang GX, Jiang T, You YP, et al: **MiR-221 and miR-222 target PUMA to induce cell survival in glioblastoma.** *Mol Cancer* 2010, **9**:229.
44. Shi L, Cheng Z, Zhang J, Li R, Zhao P, Fu Z, You Y: **hsa-mir-181a and hsa-mir-181b function as tumor suppressors in human glioma cells.** *Brain Res* 2008, **1236**:185-193.
45. Li Y, Guessous F, Zhang Y, Dipierro C, Kefas B, Johnson E, Marcinkiewicz L, Jiang J, Yang Y, Schmittgen TD, et al: **MicroRNA-34a inhibits glioblastoma growth by targeting multiple oncogenes.** *Cancer Res* 2009, **69**:7569-7576.
46. Tonon G: **From oncogene to network addiction: the new frontier of cancer genomics and therapeutics.** *Future Oncol* 2008, **4**:569-577.
47. Eoli M, Silvani A, Pollo B, Bianchessi D, Menghi F, Valletta L, Broggi G, Boiardi A, Bruzzone MG, Finocchiaro G: **Molecular markers of gliomas: a clinical approach.** *Neurol Res* 2006, **28**:538-541.
48. Akavia UD, Litvin O, Kim J, Sanchez-Garcia F, Kotliar D, Causton HC, Pochanard P, Mozes E, Garraway LA, Pe'er D: **An integrated approach to uncover drivers of cancer.** *Cell* 2010, **143**:1005-1017.
49. Youn A, Simon R: **Identifying cancer driver genes in tumor genome sequencing studies.** *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27**:175-181.

doi:10.1186/1756-9966-30-58

Cite this article as: Yan et al.: Oncogene addiction in gliomas: Implications for molecular targeted therapy. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research* 2011 **30**:58.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

