REVIEW

Open Access

Biological roles of A-to-I editing: implications in innate immunity, cell death, and cancer immunotherapy

Jing Yuan^{1†}, Li Xu², Hai-Juan Bao^{1†}, Jie-lin Wang¹, Yang Zhao^{1*} and Shuo Chen^{1*}

Abstract

Adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) editing, a key RNA modification widely found in eukaryotes, is catalyzed by adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADARs). Such RNA editing destabilizes endogenous dsRNAs, which are subsequently recognized by the sensors of innate immune and other proteins as autologous dsRNAs. This prevents the activation of innate immunity and type I interferon-mediated responses, thereby reducing the downstream cell death induced by the activation of the innate immune sensing system. ADARs-mediated editing can also occur in mRNAs and non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) in different species. In mRNAs, A-to-I editing may lead to missense mutations and the selective splicing of coding regions. Meanwhile, in ncRNAs, A-to-I editing may affect targeting and disrupt ncRNAs maturation, leading to anomalous cell proliferation, invasion, and responses to immunotherapy. This review highlights the biological functions of A-to-I editing, its role in regulating innate immunity and cell death, and its potential molecular significance in tumorigenesis and cancer targeted therapy and immunotherapy.

Keywords A-to-I editing, Innate immunity, Cell death, Targeted therapy, Cancer immunotherapy

Introduction

DNA and RNA modification are crucial for a number of biological processes, and post-transcriptional modifications to RNA, in particular, are being increasingly understood [1]. In recent years, with the continued development of sequencing technologies, over 170 different

[†]Jing Yuan, Li Xu and Hai-Juan Bao contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Yang Zhao yida.zhaoyang@163.com Shuo Chen

chenshuo077003@163.com

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Gynecologic

Oncology Research Office, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Targeted Therapy for Gynecologic Oncology, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Major Obstetric Diseases, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, No.63 Duobao Road, Liwan District, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province 510150, P. R. China RNA modifications have been found, including pseudouridine (Ψ), 5-methylcytosine (m5C), N6-methyladenosine (m6A), 7-methylguanosine (m7G), and RNA editing with cytosine to uridine (C-to-U) and adenosine to inosine (A-to-I) [2].

In mammals, A-to-I editing is one of the greatest commonly detected co-transcriptional/post-transcriptional RNA modifications. It is catalyzed by adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADARs), and unlike RNA methylation modifications (m6A, m5C), it is irreversible [3]. Moreover, the RNA editing can be detected directly by sequencing and does not require pull-down treatment with labeled antibodies or chemical treatment prior to sequencing. In the process of preparing the library for RNA-seq, the reverse transcription produces the first strand of complementary DNA (cDNA), which results in I transformation into G. Therefore, it is highly likely that A > G is a site of RNA editing. To date, several bioinformatics tools have been used to discover RNA editing sites



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

² Department of Laboratory Medicine, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang 110001, China

from RNA-seq data, for example, GIREMI [4], JACUSA [5], REDItools [6–9], RES-Scanner [10], RNAEditor [11] and SPRINT [12]. ADARs were first detected in 1987 in the oocytes and eggs of the African clawed frog [13]. They were subsequently labelled as A-to-I editing enzymes that catalyze the C6 hydrolytic deamination of adenosine to inosine in double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), creating functional A-to-G mutations [14]. Three ADAR proteins have been discovered in humans: ADAR1, ADAR2, and ADAR3. Each of these proteins has a deaminase domain and multiple dsRNA binding domains (dsRBDs). While ADAR2 and ADAR3 have two dsRBDs, ADAR1 has three [15]. At the C-terminus of all proteins there is a deaminase domain, which serves as the catalytic centre of the protein. Meanwhile, the dsRBDs mediate dsRNA binding and homodimerization. In ADAR1, the third dsRBD includes a nuclear localization sequence (NLS), whereas in ADAR2, the same sequence is found at the N-terminal. There are two subtypes of ADAR1: ADAR1 p110, which is short and constitutively expressed, and ADAR1 p150, which is long and inducible by interferon (IFN) [16]. ADAR1 p110 includes a Z-DNA binding domain Z β at the N terminus, whereas ADAR1 p150 contains a Z β and a Z α domain with a nuclear export sequence (NES) [17] (Fig. 1). Both ADAR1 p110 and ADAR2 are almost entirely found in the nucleus of the cell. ADAR1 p150 has an NLS and NES and can shuttle between the nuclear and cytoplasm, but it functions mainly in the cytoplasm [18]. ADAR1 is widely expressed in almost all tissues. However, ADAR2 is more specifically expressed in regions such as the brain, lungs, and arteries and induces a high rate of RNA editing in neuronal cells. Meanwhile, ADAR3 is specific to the brain. Although it can inhibit other ADARs, it has no detectable editing function [19].

ADAR1 knockout (KO) (ADAR1-/-) mice have a phenotype that is embryonically deadly with significantly elevated protein levels of type I interferon IFN- α and IFN- β in embryonic tissue and undetectable type II interferon



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the structural domains and structures of ADAR family proteins

IFN- γ [20]. This indicates that ADAR1 effectively prevents endogenous dsRNAs identification by dsRNA sensors such as melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), suppressing innate immunity and type I interferon-mediated responses [21]. Recent research has also demonstrated that ADAR1 controls immunity by limiting Z-type dsRNA (Z-RNA) buildup. The buildup of Z-RNA caused by the depletion or mutation of ADAR1 activates the Z-RNA sensor, Z-DNA binding protein 1 (ZBP1), which in turn triggers receptor interacting serinethreonine protein kinase 3 (RIPK3)-mediated necroptosis and PANoptosis [22] (Fig. 3). ADAR1 guards the body against a number of type I interferon activation-induced conditions such as autoimmune Aicardi-Goutières syndrome [23], and psoriasis [15]. Unsurprisingly, besides preventing autoimmune illnesses, ADAR1 also plays a role in cancer immunity [24].

ADARs-mediated editing is critical to mammalian survival, and its misregulation may a contributor to tumorigenesis and progression. The RNA editing occurs at different locations and produces different effects. As inosine is mistaken for guanosine during base pairing, A-to-I editing in exonic regions can be the result non-synonymous mutations [25]. In addition, such editing can also occur in introns or 3' untranslated regions (3' UTRs), which control the expression of related coding regions [26]. Furthermore, the editing of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs; mainly microRNAs [miRNAs] and long ncRNAs [lncRNAs]) affects their maturation and targeting [27] (Fig. 2). Overall, tumor cells support the progression of cancer by capitalising on the diversity introduced by A-to-I editing in terms of both transcriptomics and proteomics.

Studies have shown that ADARs-mediated editing has important functional and biological significance in processes such as innate immunity, cell death and tumorigenesis. Such editing affects the expression and activity of many transcripts, and abnormal editing is also closely associated with the development of many cancers. Several studies have shown that the RNA editing mainly inhibits the dsRNA-sensing pathway, leading to cancer cell immune tolerance [28–31], which leads to



Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the functional consequences that result from A-to-I editing acting on mRNAs versus ncRNAs



Fig. 3 The role of ADAR1 in regulating innate immune and cell death responses to dsRNA and Z-RNA: Upon ADAR1 depletion, unedited dsRNA triggers the pattern recognition receptor MDA5, PKR and unedited Z-RNA triggers ZBP1, both ultimately leading to interferon-induced and apoptotic antiviral mechanisms

the development of cancer and lays the foundation for immunotherapy of tumors. In this review, we focus on the biological functions of A-to-I editing, the role in regulating innate immunity and cell death, and the potential molecular significance in tumorigenesis and cancer targeted therapy and immunotherapy. We also highlight the issues that remain to be addressed in this field and provide prospects for further research.

Nature of A-to-l editing

A-to-I editing is a key RNA modification found widely in eukaryotes and is catalyzed by ADARs. The inosine formed via deamination exhibits altered hydrogen bonding patterns and readily pairs with cytosine bases, which are decoded as guanine [14]. An essential target for A-to-I RNA editing is dsRNAs derived from inverted Alu repeat elements (Alu dsRNAs). Owing to the abundance of these elements in human genomes, there are greater than 100 million A-to-I editing sites in the human transcriptome [32]. Wobble pairing, as opposed to Watson-Crick pairing, is observed between the resulting I-U base pairs when A-to-I editing happens at A-U base pairs in dsRNAs, which strains the double helix structure and destabilizes the dsRNAs [33]. The inosine-containing endogenous dsRNAs are subsequently recognized by the sensors of innate immune and other proteins as an autologous dsRNAs, preventing the activation of innate immunity. Further, A-to-I editing affects transcript stability, mRNA localization, and the interaction of RNA with cellular pathways. A-to-I editing affects RNA stability in a direct or indirect manner. In lung adenocarcinoma, focal adhesion kinase (FAK) protein abundance is increased, mainly because ADAR1 binds to FAK transcripts and edits their specific intron sites to improve FAK mRNA stability [34]. It is thus clear that ADARs-mediated can directly affect RNA stability. The RNA editing can also affect RNA stability by recruiting the human antigen R (HuR, gene name ELAVL1) protein. In coronary atherosclerosis, cathepsin S (CTSS) transcripts contain inverted Alu repeat sequence regions, and edited Alu dsRNA disrupts the structure of dsRNA, and ADAR1 recruits the RNA-binding protein HuR, thereby increasing the stability of CTSS mRNA [35]. Notably, it can also enhance transcriptional splicing and directly alter the amino acid sequence in open reading frames. Dysfunctional A-to-I editing is associated with multiple disease conditions, including autoimmune disease and cancer.

A-to-l editing in innate immunity

Innate immunity is host's first defence against foreign agents such as viruses, and its dynamic balance is key for maintaining homeostasis. When the body is invaded by foreign pathogens or abnormal endogenous nucleic acids (NAs) are present, pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) are enriched on innate immune cells such as dendritic cells (DCs). PRRs sense various damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and initiate signaling cascades that up-regulate a variety of immune genes, including those that encode for inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. In particular, type I IFN is upregulated [36]. An inflammatory antiviral cellular state is established

when IFN is generated, which in turn stimulates the transcription of IFN-stimulated genes (ISG) [37, 38]. Even though IFN signaling is necessary for the prevention and treatment of infections, aberrant IFN signaling can lead to the development of pathological inflammation. Unlike other PAMPs, abnormal endogenous NAs, such as short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) like Alu dsRNAs, are produced endogenously and are highly abundant in the host. They can activate dsRNAs sensors and trigger an innate immune reaction, leading to the aberrant production of IFN and subsequent pathological effects. Hence, the body prevents the activation of innate immunity by dsRNAs through A-to-I editing, the degradation of Alu RNA by endonucleases, and the chelation of Alu RNA by RNA-binding proteins [39]. Here, we focus on how A-to-I editing hinders PRRs from sensing endogenous dsRNA and thereby activate innate immunity.

In humans, ADAR1 p150, ADAR1 p110, and ADAR2 have A-to-I editing activities. Although all three enzymes can prevent the dsRNA-induced activation of innate immunity via A-to-I editing, they regulate innate immunity differently. This is probably due to the differences in their domain and localization. ADAR1-/- mice, ADAR1 p150 specific KO (ADAR1 p150-/-) mice, and ADAR1 carrying the editing point mutation E861A (ADAR1 E861A/E861A) mice all show lethality to embryos. These mutations result in large-scale apoptosis and the increased expression of ISG [20, 21, 40–42]. Notably, in these ADAR1 mutant mice, simultaneous knock down IFIH1-encoded MDA5, or knock down of its downstream mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein (MAVS) prevents embryonic death and ameliorates ISG overexpression [43, 44]. MDA5 belongs to the RIG-I-like receptor family and is a cell membrane sensor for viral dsRNA. By activating MAVS, it sets of antiviral reactions like the induction of ISGs [45]. Recent studies have demonstrated that ADAR1 p110 specific KO (ADAR1 p110-/-) and ADAR2 specific KO (ADAR2-/-) mice show no upregulation of ISGs. This indicates that ADAR p150-induced RNA editing is key for preventing MDA5 from recognizing endogenous dsRNAs as nonself.

ADAR1 p150 also has a unique Z α domain that promotes A-to-I editing of endogenous Alu elements and prevents pairing of inverted Alu repeat sequences to form dsRNAs. Thereby, it reduces the accumulation of endogenous Z-NAs, inhibiting the PANoptosis and necroptosis induced by the Z-RNA sensor ZBP1 [46]. PANoptosis is an inflammatory programmed cell death regulated by the PANoptosome complex with key features of pyroptosis, apoptosis or necroptosis. PANoptosis cannot be characterized by any of the pyroptosis, apoptosis and necroptosis modes of death alone [47]. Both the Z α domain of ADAR1 p150 and its editing activity are required to control the total amount of endogenous Z-NAs. In Adar1-/- mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells, ADAR1 p150 (N175A/Y179A) expressing a mutation in the Z α structural domain completely inhibited Z-RNA accumulation upon IFN induction, whereas ADAR1 p150 E861A/E861A partially inhibited Z-RNA accumulation [22].

Protein kinase R (PKR), coded by EIF2AK2, is a type I IFN-induced antiviral protein that also recognizes dsR-NAs and is involved in innate immunity. Unlike MDA5, PKR does not cause ISG upregulation, which primarily leads to the inhibition of translation initiation and cell growth [48, 49]. Stress particles can be co-located with ADAR1 and PKR in cells infected with measles virus. Stress granules that is the accumulation of stagnant translation complexes and RNA binding proteins in cytoplasm are PKR-dependent and inhibited by ADAR1 [50]. Furthermore, not only does ADAR1 p150 contribute to the suppression of PKR activation, but so does its p110 isoform [51] (Fig. 3).

Although there is no direct evidence that the ADAR2mediated editing is directly involved in innate immune responses, recent studies have suggested that ADAR2 may like ADAR1, prevent RNA sensors such as MDA5 and PKR from recognition of endogenous dsRNAs as nonself entities. ADAR2-mediated editing allows the viral RNA of the Borna disease virus (BoDV), an RNA virus that replicates in the nucleus, to appear as "self" RNA. Hence, this virus can escape innate immune reaction of the host and establish a long-lasting infection in the nucleus. In human embryonic oligodendrocytes, ADAR2 KO (ADAR2-/-) enhances the BoDV-induced immune response and increases the expression of the pro-inflammatory molecules Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and recombinant human C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10) in the body. These effects are ameliorated by the overexpression of wild-type ADAR2 [52].

A-to-I editing in cell death

ADAR1-/- and ADAR1 E861A/E861A mice die in utero 12.5 and 13.5, respectively [21, 40, 42]. The lethality can be attributed to failed fetal liver hematopoiesis, and mainly impaired erythropoiesis, and can be recapitulated in mice with restricted knock down of ADAR1 in the erythroid lineage [53]. Death of ADAR1-deficient mouse embryos or ADAR1-deficient cells can be prevented by deleting MDA5 or MAVS [40, 43, 44], suggesting that cell death occurs downstream of the trigger of the innate immune sensing system. It was shown that MDA5 activates IFN regulatory factors IRF3 and IRF7 in response to dsRNA by initiating the assembly of MAVS filaments, thereby increasing ISG expression [54]. The MAVS microfilaments also induce nuclear factor Kappa B subunit (NF-kb)dependent tumor necrosis factor (TNF) expression by binding to the TNF receptor-associated factors TRAF3 and TRAF6. TRAF6 inhibits ISG responses by ubiquitinating IRF3, which in turn activates B cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2)-associated X (BAX), a regulator of apoptosis. When BAX forms a dimer with BCL2 homologous antagonist/killer (BAK) or ubiquitinated IRF3 (but not a protective BCL2 family member), apoptosis is triggered via mitochondrial pathways, resulting in cell death [54]. However, it has also been shown that the depletion of BAX and BAK does not prolong the survival of ADAR1 editing-deficient embryos, suggesting that MDA5-triggered cell death is not dependent on the intrinsic apoptotic pathway [55]. Hence, whether the process of cell death triggered by MDA5 after ADAR1 deletion or ADAR1 editing defects requires an intrinsic apoptotic pathway remains controversial and warrants further exploration.

Although simultaneous deletion of MDA5 or MAVS can reverse the embryonic lethality seen in ADAR1 p150-/- mice, ADAR1 p150/Ifih1 and ADAR1 p150/ Mavs double-KO mice have high postnatal mortality rates [40, 44, 56, 57]. This suggests that ADAR1 p150 can also induce cell death through pathways other than the MDA5 pathway. Interestingly, the survival of ADAR1 p150/Ifih1 double-KO mice is prolonged if ZBP1 is concurrently deleted [56], suggesting that the cell death caused by ADAR1-/- may also be due to ZBP1-induced PANoptosis and necroptosis. In the absence of ADAR1 p150, Z-RNA can activate the ZBP1 protein, induce RIPK3-MLKL(mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein) to form necrosomes, cause MLKL oligomerization and phosphorylation, and promote the translocation of phosphorylated MLKL to the cell membrane. This causes membrane damage and eventually results in cell death [58] (Fig. 3).

In addition to ADAR1-mediated editing abnormalities, ADAR2-mediated editing abnormalities also cause cell death. In mice, the deletion of ADAR2 or defective ADAR2-mediated editing lead to postnatal death due to epilepsy [59]. After ADAR2 deletion, mouse motor neurons express unedited GluA2 at the Q/R The α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolesite. propionicacid (AMPA) receptors containing unedited GluA2 show abnormal Ca²⁺ permeability, leading to progressive neuronal death [60]. In addition to acting on mRNAs to regulate cell death, ADAR2 can also act on ncRNAs. In one study, after miRNA-379-5p was subjected to ADAR2-mediated editing, its target was found to have changed from PTK2 to CD97. The edited miRNA-379-5p knocked down CD97, promoted caspase-mediated apoptosis, and inhibited cell proliferation [61].

A-to-l editing in cancer

A-to-I editing levels are frequently elevated in several types of cancer, including hepatocellular carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, thyroid cancer, pancreatic cancer, esophageal cancer, cervical cancer, and multiple myeloma [3, 62-66]. In contrast, reduced levels of A-to-I editing are observed in metastatic melanoma, invasive breast cancer, and renal cancer [67, 68]. As described previously, ADAR-mediated editing at different locations produces different effects. A-to-I editing in the coding region can result in non-synonymous mutations, while the editing in introns or the 3' UTR can alter the expression levels of related coding regions. In ncRNAs, such as editing affects ncRNAs maturation and targeting (Fig. 2). In most cases, increased levels of the editing promote cancer development and progression; however, in some cancers, reduced levels of the editing mediate the cancer phenotype [67, 69]. This section focuses on how A-to-I editing affects tumorigenesis through four pathways: coding genes, introns, 3' UTRs, and ncRNAs (Table 1).

Coding genes

Genes known to undergo A-to-I editing include antizyme inhibitor 1 (AZIN1), bladder cancer-associated protein (BLCAP), integrin alpha 2 (ITGA2), glioma-associated oncogene 1 (GLI1), endonuclease 8-like 1 (NEIL1), cell cycle protein I (CCNI), gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor subunit alpha-3 (GABRA3), and CDK13. Of these, AZIN1 [62, 65, 73–75], BLCAP [68, 86], ITGA2 [70], GLI1 [78], NEIL1 [79], and CDK13 [87, 88] after the editing promote cancer development. CCNI [24], GABRA3 [67] after the editing can inhibit tumor cell growth, invasion and migration. AZIN1 and BLCAP have been shown to promote tumorigenesis in various cancers.

Edited AZIN1 promotes tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and migration in a wide range of cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma [62], non-small-cell lung cancer [65], colorectal cancer [74, 75], and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [73]. For example, in hepatocellular carcinoma, the conversion of serine (S) to glycine (G) at residue 367 of the AZIN1 β -15 chain alters the protein's conformation, inducing cytoplasmic to nuclear translocation and subsequent tumor development [62]. Although BLCAP exhibits tumor-suppressive effects in various cancers [92], edited BLCAP mainly exerts procancer effects. In hepatocellular carcinoma, the edited BLCAP gene enhances the phosphorylation of AKT, mTOR, and MDM2 and inhibits the phosphorylation of TP53, thereby promoting cell proliferation [68].

Table 1 A-to-I editing in different tumours

Cancer	Gene	Protein	Substrate type	Editing residue (s)	References
Hepatocellular carcinoma	AZIN1	Antizyme inhibitor 1	Coding gene	S/G	[62]
	BLCAP	Bladder cancer-associated protein	Coding gene	Y/C	[68]
	ITGA2	Integrin alpha 2	Coding gene		[70]
	circARSP91		circRNA	circRNA	[71, 72]
Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma	AZIN1	Antizyme inhibitor 1	Coding gene	S/G	[73]
Colorectal carcinoma	AZIN1	Antizyme inhibitor 1	Coding gene	S/G	[74, 75]
Gastric cancer	hsa_circ_0004872		circRNA	circRNA	[76]
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	circNEIL3		circRNA	circRNA	[77]
Non-small-cell lung cancer	AZIN1	Antizyme inhibitor 1	Coding gene	S/G	[65]
Lung adenocarcinoma	FAK	Focal adhesion kinase	Intron		[34]
Multiple myeloma	GLI1	Glioma-associated oncogene 1	Coding gene	R/G	[78]
	NEIL1	Endonuclease 8-like 1	Coding gene	K/R	[79]
Melanoma	CCNI	Cyclin I	Coding gene	R/G	[24]
	miR-455-5p		miRNA	miRNA	[69]
	miR-378a-3p		miRNA	miRNA	[80]
	miR-222		miRNA	miRNA	[81]
	miRNA-149-3p		miRNA	miRNA	[82]
Breast invasive carcinoma	GABRA3	Gamma-aminobutyric acid recep- tor subunit alpha-3	Coding gene	I/M	[67]
	ARHGAP26	RhoA GTPase activating protein 26	3' UTR	3' UTR	[83]
	DHFR	Dihydrofolate reductase	3' UTR	3' UTR	[84]
	DFFA	DNA fragmentation factor alpha	3' UTR	3' UTR	[27]
	LINC00944		LncRNA	IncRNA	[85]
Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma	BLCAP	Bladder cancer-associated protein	Coding gene	Y/C; Q/R; K/R	[86]
Thyroid cancer	CDK13		Coding gene	Q/R	[87, 88]
	miR-200b		miRNA	miRNA	[89]
Prostate adenocarcinoma	PCA3	Prostate cancer antigen 3	LncRNA	Duplex with PRUNE2	[90]
Chronic myelogenous leukemia	pri-let-7d				[91]

In contrast to the above examples, low levels of A-to-I editing are associated with poor prognoses in some cancers [24, 67]. The R75G peptide from ADAR1-edited CCNI stimulates tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in melanoma and promotes the TIL-induced destruction of cancer cells [24]. The expression of edited GABRA3 on the cell surface is reduced in non-invasive breast cancer, which prevents AKT activation and thereby prevents breast cancer cells from migrating, invading, and metastasizing [67].

Introns

So far, for the editing of introns in the coding region in tumors, focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is more frequently studied. A-to-I editing of the FAK intron region leads to increased transcript stability. In lung adenocarcinoma, the editing of specific intron sites on chr8:141,702,274 in the FAK transcript increases the stability of FAK mRNA and expression of the FAK protein, thereby promoting tumor mesenchymal properties, migration and invasion [34].

3' UTRs

Generally, the 3' UTRs of RhoA GTPase activating protein 26 (ARHGAP26), DNA fragmentation factor alpha (DFFA), and dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) are most commonly studied in the context of A-to-I editing. These edited 3' UTRs are mainly found in breast cancer. The editing of the 3' UTR affects the regulation of these genes through two primary methods. The most common is the A-to-I editing of Alu dsRNA in the 3' UTR, which — when unedited — binds to and is regulated by miRNAs. The other approach relies on editing to alter the stability of mature mRNAs, primarily via the recruitment of HuR proteins to ADAR1. Several studies have established that RNA editing in the 3' UTR can create or disrupt miRNA binding sites, thereby altering the stability of cancer-associated mRNAs. When DHFR mRNA is A-to-I edited in breast cancer, it does not bind to miR-25-3p and miR-125a-3p. Therefore, translation is not disturbed, and the elevated levels of DHFR mRNA and protein enhance cell proliferation and methotrexate resistance [84]. Altered RNA stability is an important mechanism for A-to-I editing-mediated regulation of gene expression. ADAR1 recruits and interacts with with HuR, a family of RNA-binding proteins, which selectively bind to single-stranded AU-rich RNA sequences to increase the stability of transcripts [16].

ncRNAs

A-to-I editing primarily modifies the targeting and maturation of ncRNAs and thereby affects their role in cancers. In melanoma, A-to-I editing by ADAR1 reduces the ability of pri-miR-455 to bind to Drosha and get processed into mature miR-455-5p, which prevents miR-455-5p from promoting melanoma growth and metastasis in vivo [69]. When miRNA-379-5p is subjected to A-to-I editing by ADAR2, its target switches from PTK2 to CD97. The knockdown of CD97 can increase cysteinemediated apoptosis, and the edited miRNA-379-5p binds to the CD97 (DNA) 3' UTR, thereby downregulating the mRNA and protein levels of CD97, promoting apoptosis, and inhibiting cell proliferation and tumor growth [61].

A-to-I editing: a potential tool for tumor targeted therapy and immunotherapy

Targeted therapy

In most tumors, elevated levels of A-to-I editing promote tumorigenesis and development. For example, AZIN1 and BLCAP promote cancer development after editing [62, 68, 73-75]. But in metastatic melanoma and invasive breast cancer, tumorigenesis and development are inhibited instead after elevated levels of A-to-I editing. For example, CCNI and GABRA3 are edited to inhibit tumor cell growth, invasion and migration [24, 67]. Recent studies have shown that site-directed RNA editing (SDRE) using ADAR can modulate the level of transcript editing and control the selectivity of editing through guanosine mismatches [93]. Unlike DNA editing, A-to-I editing does not permanently modify the genome, with significant safety advantages and relatively minor consequences of any off-target editing that occurs [94]. However, A-to-I editing still has the problem of offtarget editing. Research has shown that GOTI (genomewide off-target analysis by two-cell embryo injection) is capable of detecting off-target mutations in DNA editing by editing one oocyte of two-cell mouse embryos with CRISPR-Cas9 or base editors [95, 96], but whether it can be applied to A-to-I editing remains further investigation.

Site-directed RNA editing requires a guide RNA (gRNA) that directs the ADAR to the target site for

targeted editing. The most commonly used gRNA is an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) that uses its single-stranded RNA structural domain to bind to the target mRNA through base pairing, while other structural domains take up the ADAR into the RNA and the ADAR converts adenosine A to inosine I. ADAR is further divided into endogenous and exogenous. Exogenous ADAR proteins or their catalytic structural domains are fused to λ -phage N protein (λ N peptide) [97–100], SNAPtag [101-105] or CRISPR-Cas [106, 107], and chimeric ADAR proteins (such as SNAP-ADAR) are carried to the target editing site for editing using gRNA. Both LEAPER and CLUSTER can recruit endogenous ADAR for targeted editing, but their gRNAs differ. The gRNA of LEAPER is an ADAR-recruiting RNA (arRNA) [108, 109], whereas gRNA for CLUSTER is a cluster guide RNA (CLUSTER gRNA) [110]. Stafforst's team also tested the effect of anti-bases (U, C, A, G) on editing yield and found that U and C gave quantitative yields, but editing with adenosine as the anti-base was less efficient and editing with guanosine as the anti-base was severely hampered [102]. The overall picture is that the application of A-G mismatches reduces off-target editing events and A-C mismatches are applied to improve target editing efficiency. In tumors, applying A-G mismatches to inhibit editing or applying A-C mismatches to promote editing and restore transcript editing levels to normal would be a new therapeutic strategy with minimal systemic effects and maximum therapeutic benefit (Fig. 4A).

Tumor immunotherapy

Tumors are classified as hot or cold based on the infiltration of immune cells and surrounding cells. As hot tumors show infiltrating immune cells, immunosuppressants are effective against them. Meanwhile, cold tumors are immune cell-suppressive tumors, and immunotherapy is less effective against them. However, immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) therapy offers new avenues for cold tumor immunotherapy. Nevertheless, tumors that do not respond to ICB and are resistant to immunosuppression still exist. Recent studies have demonstrated that ADAR1 loss-of-function makes cancer cells more sensitive to immunotherapy, reducing immune tolerance and sensitivity to ICB agents [111]. Notably, RNA editing enzymes can inhibit immunogenic dsRNAs and endogenous Z-RNA to suppress the ICB response.

The dsRBDs of ADAR1 bind to promiscuous dsRNAs and perform A-to-I editing of the dsRNAs. As a result, the dsRNAs cannot bind to dsRNAs sensors (MDA-5 and PKR). This inhibits IFN production and ISG expression [30, 111, 112], establishing a mechanism for evading recognition by the immune system and enhancing tumor malignancy. Recent studies have shown that ADAR1



Targeted therapy AND Tumour immunotherapy

Fig. 4 Potential targeted therapies for A-to-I RNA editing in cancer and tumour immunotherapy: A Site-directed RNA editing by harnessing ADARs; B Nuclear export inhibitors (NEIs) such as KPT-330 sequester ADAR1p150 in the nucleus; C Anti-cancer epigenetic inhibitors induce transcription of repetitive sequences that form dsRNAs and continuously activate the dsRNA sensor pathway; D Z-DNA inducer CBL0137 induces the formation of Z-DNA and activates ZBP1

deletion increases the susceptibility of tumors to ICB therapy. Lei's team developed a genetically engineered nanoparticle, siADAR1-LNP@mPD1, that can block the PD1/PDL1 immunosuppressive axis by presenting PD1 protein on the envelope. In addition, siADAR1 can be effectively delivered to cancer cells through nanoparticles designed to silence ADAR1 expression, leading to increased production of type I interferon, making cancer cells more sensitive to secreted effector cytokines and significantly halting cell growth [113]. In mouse melanoma B16 cells (a mouse model of human melanoma), a significant increase in CD8+T cells and enhanced killing were observed in ADAR1-deficient (Adar1-null) tumors when compared with control tumors. The upregulation of genes associated with CD8+T cell activation and effector functions was also detected [111]. Further studies have revealed elevated levels of antiviral cytokine and chemokine expression in Adar1-null tumor cells in response to IFN stimulation. MDA5 and PKR-mediated enhancement of sensitivity of Adar1-deficient tumors to antitumor immunity by different mechanisms. In the context of ADAR1 deletion, Ifih1 (MDA5) gene inactivation is followed by the suppression of IFN secretion, and MDA5 inactivation leads to only a slight increase in inflammation when compared with the inactivation of other genes, such as Ddx58 (RIG-I), Mavs (MAVS), and Eif2ak2 (PKR). This suggests that MDA5 activation increases IFN-I production and promotes inflammation and immune infiltration. The activation of PKR leads to translation inhibition and growth arrest, and in ADAR1silenced cancer cells, cell viability is restored only after the concomitant deletion of PKR [30, 51, 112]. Overall, the sustained activation of the dsRNA sensor pathway following ADAR1 deletion reduces cancer cell viability, as demonstrated by the application of anti-cancer epigenetic inhibitors capable of inducing the transcription of repetitive sequences that form dsRNAs [29, 114] (Fig. 4C).

The Z α structural domain of ADAR1p150 recognizes and binds Z-RNA or Z-DNA and performs the A-to-I editing of the Z-RNA. The modified Z-RNA is not sensed

by ZBP1, thereby inhibiting ZBP1-induced PANoptosis, which promotes tumorigenesis [22, 115]. The knockdown of ADAR1 in immortalized wild-type MEFs using CRISPR results in greater IFN-mediated Z-RNA accumulation and the increased intranuclear co-localization of ZBP1 with Z-RNA. It also increases the interaction of ZBP1 with RIPK3 and MLKL and phosphorylation activation level of MLKL, indicating that ADAR1 knockdown can inhibit tumor growth by promoting Z-RNA and activating ZBP1-mediated accumulation programmed cell necrosis [22]. This evidence suggests that ADAR1 may be a potential target for tumor therapy. Although no inhibitors of ADAR1 exist, nuclear translocation inhibitors such as KPT-330 and leptomycin B have been shown to sequester ADAR1p150 in the nucleus in combination with IFNs and to activate ZBP1 via Z-RNA induction [115] (Fig. 4B). Additionally, in the B16-F10 and YUMMER1.7 mouse models of malignant melanoma, combined treatment with CBL0137 (an activator of ZBP1) and an anti-PD-1 antibody (an immune checkpoint inhibitor) has been found to induce tumor regression [22] (Fig. 4D).

Conclusion and outlook

A-to-I editing is one of the greast important epigenetic modifications in mammals. Unlike other epigenetic modifications, ADAR-mediated editing is irreversible. It has important roles in innate immunity, cell death, and tumor progression. Its dysregulation can result in neurological and developmental defects, autoimmune diseases, and malignancies, and the mechanisms involved in these pathological processes are multifaceted. A-to-I editing primarily affects the stability of dsRNAs, preventing them from being recognized by dsRNAs sensors. This inhibits IFN production, suppressing innate immunity and also reducing cell death downstream of innate immune system activation. In human malignancies, the editing affects the expression and activity of many transcripts, which in turn affect important oncogenes and related regulators. Whether A-to-I editing is a driver of cancer progression remains an open question. Nevertheless, it is clear that A-to-I editing is an important marker of tumor development and a key target for tumor therapy. The role of A-to-I editing in tumors and other diseases is an exciting area of research. The instantaneous targeted modification of a specific RNA is extremely appealing. Such targeted modification technology holds the promise of becoming a practical therapeutic tool for cancers and other diseases.

RNA editing technology is a transient alteration of mRNA, which does not change the genome sequence, and involves fewer safety and ethical issues than DNA editing technology, but RNA editing technology can still produce off-target effects, generating unexpected products or effects that may pose a risk of modifying the biological environment to the point of threatening the health of future generations of human beings and the survival of human beings. Before targeted RNA editing technology can be used in clinical practice, in addition to solving the main off-target problem, the following problems need to be solved: 1) the exogenous protein may cause the body to produce an immune response; 2) the fusion protein may have a neutralization reaction with the body's antibodies resulting in editing failure. The development of new gRNAs and delivery strategies for specific tissues or organs will be the main research and development areas for RNA editing technology routes. Improving the efficiency of A-to-I editing and reducing the minimum dose to achieve an effective therapeutic effect is likely to reduce the occurrence of side effects such as immune reactions. Although RNA editing technology is still in the preclinical stage, it has shown promising applications in several fields such as oncology and is expected to become a hot spot for the next generation of precision medicine.

Abbreviations

	cions
A-to-l	Adenosine-to-inosine
ADARs	Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA
ncRNAs	Non-coding RNAs
Ψ	Pseudouridine
m5C	5-Methylcytosine
m6A	N6-methyladenosine
m7G	7-Methylguanosine
C-to-U	Cytosine to uridine
dsRNA	Double-stranded RNA
dsRBDs	DsRNA binding domains
NLS	Nuclear localization sequence
IFN	Interferon
NES	Nuclear export sequence
MDA5	Melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5
Z-RNA	Z-type dsRNA
ZBP1	Z-DNA binding protein 1
3′UTRs	3'Untranslated regions
miRNAs	MicroRNAs
IncRNAs	Long ncRNAs
NAs	Endogenous nucleic acids
PRRs	Pattern recognition receptors
DCs	Dendritic cells
DAMPs	Damage-associated molecular patterns
PAMPs	Pathogen-associated molecular patterns
ISG	IFN-stimulated genes
SINEs	Short interspersed nuclear elements
MDA5	Melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5
RIPK3	Receptor-interacting-serinethreonine-protein-kinase-3
MAVS	Mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein
MEF	Mouse embryonic fibroblast
PKR	Protein kinase R
BoDV	Borna disease virus
IL-6	Interleukin-6
CXCL10	Recombinant human C-X-C motif chemokine 10
TNF	Tumor necrosis factor
NF-kb	Nuclear factor Kappa B subunit
BCL2	B cell lymphoma 2
BAX	BCL2-associated X
MI KI	Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein

AMPAα-Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionicacidSDRESite-directed RNA editinggRNAGuide RNAASOAntisense oligonucleotideλN peptideλ-Phage N proteinarRNAADAR-recruiting RNA

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

JY conducted research and drafted the manuscript. LX, HJB, JLW, and YZ provided assistance in the process of revised drafting manuscript and figure and tables construction. SC contributed to conceptual framework, supervised the study, and revised the manuscript. All authors read the final manuscript and approved.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82272985, 81872115 to Shuo Chen). Science and Technology Projects in Guangzhou [No. 202201020118 to Shuo Chen]; Youth Talent Support Programme of Guangdong Provincial Association for Science and Technology [No. KXRC202214 to Shuo Chen], and the Project for Key Medicine Discipline Construction of Guangzhou Municipality (No. 2021–2023-17).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Received: 14 April 2023 Accepted: 2 June 2023 Published online: 17 June 2023

References

- Zhao LY, Song J, Liu Y, Song CX, Yi C. Mapping the epigenetic modifications of DNA and RNA. Protein Cell. 2020;11(11):792–808.
- Jonkhout N, Tran J, Smith MA, Schonrock N, Mattick JS, Novoa EM. The RNA modification landscape in human disease. RNA. 2017;23(12):1754–69.
- Han L, Diao L, Yu S, Xu X, Li J, Zhang R, et al. The Genomic Landscape and Clinical Relevance of A-to-I RNA Editing in Human Cancers. Cancer Cell. 2015;28(4):515–28.
- 4. Zhang Q. Analysis of RNA Editing Sites from RNA-Seq Data Using GIREMI. Methods Mol Biol (Clifton, NJ). 2018;1751:101–8.
- Piechotta M, Wyler E, Ohler U, Landthaler M, Dieterich C. JACUSA: sitespecific identification of RNA editing events from replicate sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2017;18(1):7.
- Flati T, Gioiosa S, Spallanzani N, Tagliaferri I, Diroma MA, Pesole G, et al. HPC-REDItools: a novel HPC-aware tool for improved large scale RNAediting analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2020;21(Suppl 10):353.
- Lo Giudice C, Mansi L, Flati T, Gioiosa S, Chillemi G, Libro P, et al. RNA Editing Detection in HPC Infrastructures. Methods Mol Biol (Clifton, NJ). 2021;2284:253–70.
- Picardi E, D'Erchia AM, Montalvo A, Pesole G. Using REDItools to Detect RNA Editing Events in NGS Datasets. Current protocols in bioinformatics. 2015;49:12.1-.5.

- 9. Picardi E, Pesole G. REDItools: high-throughput RNA editing detection made easy. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2013;29(14):1813–4.
- Wang Z, Lian J, Li Q, Zhang P, Zhou Y, Zhan X, et al. RES-Scanner: a software package for genome-wide identification of RNA-editing sites. GigaScience. 2016;5(1):37.
- John D, Weirick T, Dimmeler S, Uchida S. RNAEditor: easy detection of RNA editing events and the introduction of editing islands. Brief Bioinform. 2017;18(6):993–1001.
- Zhang F, Lu Y, Yan S, Xing Q, Tian W. SPRINT: an SNP-free toolkit for identifying RNA editing sites. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2017;33(22):3538–48.
- 13. Bass BL, Weintraub H. A developmentally regulated activity that unwinds RNA duplexes. Cell. 1987;48(4):607–13.
- 14. Nishikura K. A-to-l editing of coding and non-coding RNAs by ADARs. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016;17(2):83–96.
- Song B, Shiromoto Y, Minakuchi M, Nishikura K. The role of RNA editing enzyme ADAR1 in human disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2022;13(1):e1665.
- George CX, Samuel CE. Human RNA-specific adenosine deaminase ADAR1 transcripts possess alternative exon 1 structures that initiate from different promoters, one constitutively active and the other interferon inducible. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999;96(8):4621–6.
- George CX, Gan Z, Liu Y, Samuel CE. Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA, RNA editing, and interferon action. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 2011;31(1):99–117.
- Strehblow A, Hallegger M, Jantsch MF. Nucleocytoplasmic distribution of human RNA-editing enzyme ADAR1 is modulated by doublestranded RNA-binding domains, a leucine-rich export signal, and a putative dimerization domain. Mol Biol Cell. 2002;13(11):3822–35.
- Xu LD, Öhman M. ADAR1 Editing and its Role in Cancer. Genes (Basel). 2018;10(1):12.
- Hartner JC, Walkley CR, Lu J, Orkin SH. ADAR1 is essential for the maintenance of hematopoiesis and suppression of interferon signaling. Nat Immunol. 2009;10(1):109–15.
- Hartner JC, Schmittwolf C, Kispert A, Müller AM, Higuchi M, Seeburg PH. Liver disintegration in the mouse embryo caused by deficiency in the RNA-editing enzyme ADAR1. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(6):4894–902.
- Zhang T, Yin C, Fedorov A, Qiao L, Bao H, Beknazarov N, et al. ADAR1 masks the cancer immunotherapeutic promise of ZBP1-driven necroptosis. Nature. 2022;606(7914):594–602.
- Rice GI, Kasher PR, Forte GM, Mannion NM, Greenwood SM, Szynkiewicz M, et al. Mutations in ADAR1 cause Aicardi-Goutières syndrome associated with a type I interferon signature. Nat Genet. 2012;44(11):1243–8.
- 24. Zhang M, Fritsche J, Roszik J, Williams LJ, Peng X, Chiu Y, et al. RNA editing derived epitopes function as cancer antigens to elicit immune responses. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):3919.
- Mannion N, Arieti F, Gallo A, Keegan LP, O'Connell MA. New insights into the biological role of mammalian ADARs; the RNA editing proteins. Biomolecules. 2015;5(4):2338–62.
- Sagredo EA, Blanco A, Sagredo AI, Pérez P, Sepúlveda-Hermosilla G, Morales F, et al. ADAR1-mediated RNA-editing of 3'UTRs in breast cancer. Biol Res. 2018;51(1):36.
- Roberts JT, Patterson DG, King VM, Amin SV, Polska CJ, Houserova D, et al. ADAR mediated RNA editing modulates MicroRNA targeting in human breast cancer. Processes (Basel). 2018;6(5):42.
- Choi H, Kwon J, Cho MS, Sun Y, Zheng X, Wang J, et al. Targeting DDX3X triggers antitumor immunity via a dsRNA-mediated tumor-intrinsic type I interferon response. Can Res. 2021;81(13):3607–20.
- 29. Mehdipour P, Marhon SA, Ettayebi I, Chakravarthy A, Hosseini A, Wang Y, et al. Epigenetic therapy induces transcription of inverted SINEs and ADAR1 dependency. Nature. 2020;588(7836):169–73.
- Gannon HS, Zou T, Kiessling MK, Gao GF, Cai D, Choi PS, et al. Identification of ADAR1 adenosine deaminase dependency in a subset of cancer cells. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):5450.
- Sáenz JB, Vargas N, Cho CJ, Mills JC. Regulation of the double-stranded RNA response through ADAR1 licenses metaplastic reprogramming in gastric epithelium. JCl insight. 2022;7(3):e153511.
- Schaffer AA, Levanon EY. ALU A-to-I RNA Editing: Millions of Sites and Many Open Questions. Methods Mol Biol (Clifton, NJ). 2021;2181:149–62.

- Wright DJ, Force CR, Znosko BM. Stability of RNA duplexes containing inosine-cytosine pairs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(22):12099–108.
- 34. Amin EM, Liu Y, Deng S, Tan KS, Chudgar N, Mayo MW, et al. The RNAediting enzyme ADAR promotes lung adenocarcinoma migration and invasion by stabilizing FAK. Sci Signal. 2017;10(497):eaah3941.
- Stellos K, Gatsiou A, Stamatelopoulos K, Perisic Matic L, John D, Lunella FF, et al. Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing controls cathepsin S expression in atherosclerosis by enabling HuR-mediated post-transcriptional regulation. Nat Med. 2016;22(10):1140–50.
- Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. Cell. 2006;124(4):783–801.
- McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A. Type I interferons in infectious disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2015;15(2):87–103.
- Ivashkiv LB, Donlin LT. Regulation of type I interferon responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014;14(1):36–49.
- Aune TM, Tossberg JT, Heinrich RM, Porter KP, Crooke PSR. Alu RNA structural features modulate immune cell activation and A-to-I Editing of Alu RNAs is diminished in human inflammatory bowel disease. Front Immunol. 2022;13:818023.
- Liddicoat BJ, Piskol R, Chalk AM, Ramaswami G, Higuchi M, Hartner JC, et al. RNA editing by ADAR1 prevents MDA5 sensing of endogenous dsRNA as nonself. Science (New York, NY). 2015;349(6252):1115–20.
- Wang Q, Khillan J, Gadue P, Nishikura K. Requirement of the RNA editing deaminase ADAR1 gene for embryonic erythropoiesis. Science (New York, NY). 2000;290(5497):1765–8.
- Wang Q, Miyakoda M, Yang W, Khillan J, Stachura DL, Weiss MJ, et al. Stress-induced apoptosis associated with null mutation of ADAR1 RNA editing deaminase gene. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(6):4952–61.
- Mannion NM, Greenwood SM, Young R, Cox S, Brindle J, Read D, et al. The RNA-editing enzyme ADAR1 controls innate immune responses to RNA. Cell Rep. 2014;9(4):1482–94.
- Pestal K, Funk CC, Snyder JM, Price ND, Treuting PM, Stetson DB. Isoforms of RNA-editing enzyme ADAR1 independently control nucleic acid sensor MDA5-driven autoimmunity and multi-organ development. Immunity. 2015;43(5):933–44.
- Lässig C, Hopfner KP. Discrimination of cytosolic self and non-self RNA by RIG-I-like receptors. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(22):9000–9.
- de Reuver R, Verdonck S, Dierick E, Nemegeer J, Hessmann E, Ahmad S, et al. ADAR1 prevents autoinflammation by suppressing spontaneous ZBP1 activation. Nature. 2022;607(7920):784–9.
- Wang Y, Kanneganti TD. From pyroptosis, apoptosis and necroptosis to PANoptosis: a mechanistic compendium of programmed cell death pathways. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2021;19:4641–57.
- Ank N, West H, Bartholdy C, Eriksson K, Thomsen AR, Paludan SR. Lambda interferon (IFN-lambda), a type III IFN, is induced by viruses and IFNs and displays potent antiviral activity against select virus infections in vivo. J Virol. 2006;80(9):4501–9.
- Sadler AJ, Williams BR. Interferon-inducible antiviral effectors. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008;8(7):559–68.
- Okonski KM, Samuel CE. Stress granule formation induced by measles virus is protein kinase PKR dependent and impaired by RNA adenosine deaminase ADAR1. J Virol. 2013;87(2):756–66.
- Chung H, Calis JJA, Wu X, Sun T, Yu Y, Sarbanes SL, et al. Human ADAR1 Prevents Endogenous RNA from Triggering Translational Shutdown. Cell. 2018;172(4):811-24.e14.
- Yanai M, Kojima S, Sakai M, Komorizono R, Tomonaga K, Makino A. ADAR2 Is Involved in Self and Nonself Recognition of Borna Disease Virus Genomic RNA in the Nucleus. J Virol. 2020;94(6):e01513.
- Liddicoat BJ, Hartner JC, Piskol R, Ramaswami G, Chalk AM, Kingsley PD, et al. Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing by ADAR1 is essential for normal murine erythropoiesis. Exp Hematol. 2016;44(10):947–63.
- Honda K, Takaoka A, Taniguchi T. Type I interferon corrected gene induction by the interferon regulatory factor family of transcription factors. Immunity. 2006;25(3):349–60.
- 55. Walkley CR, Kile BT. Cell death following the loss of ADAR1 mediated A-to-I RNA editing is not effected by the intrinsic apoptosis pathway. Cell Death Dis. 2019;10(12):913.
- Hubbard NW, Ames JM, Maurano M, Chu LH, Somfleth KY, Gokhale NS, et al. ADAR1 mutation causes ZBP1-dependent immunopathology. Nature. 2022;607(7920):769–75.

- Heraud-Farlow JE, Chalk AM, Linder SE, Li Q, Taylor S, White JM, et al. Protein recoding by ADAR1-mediated RNA editing is not essential for normal development and homeostasis. Genome Biol. 2017;18(1):166.
- Zheng M, Kanneganti TD. The regulation of the ZBP1-NLRP3 inflammasome and its implications in pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis (PANoptosis). Immunol Rev. 2020;297(1):26–38.
- Higuchi M, Maas S, Single FN, Hartner J, Rozov A, Burnashev N, et al. Point mutation in an AMPA receptor gene rescues lethality in mice deficient in the RNA-editing enzyme ADAR2. Nature. 2000;406(6791):78–81.
- Yamashita T, Kwak S. Cell death cascade and molecular therapy in ADAR2-deficient motor neurons of ALS. Neurosci Res. 2019;144:4–13.
- Xu X, Wang Y, Mojumdar K, Zhou Z, Jeong KJ, Mangala LS, et al. A-to-ledited miRNA-379-5p inhibits cancer cell proliferation through CD97induced apoptosis. J Clin Invest. 2019;129(12):5343–56.
- 62. Chen L, Li Y, Lin CH, Chan TH, Chow RK, Song Y, et al. Recoding RNA editing of AZIN1 predisposes to hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Med. 2013;19(2):209–16.
- Paz-Yaacov N, Bazak L, Buchumenski I, Porath HT, Danan-Gotthold M, Knisbacher BA, et al. Elevated RNA editing activity is a major contributor to transcriptomic diversity in tumors. Cell Rep. 2015;13(2):267–76.
- Chen Y, Wang H, Lin W, Shuai P. ADAR1 overexpression is associated with cervical cancer progression and angiogenesis. Diagn Pathol. 2017;12(1):12.
- Hu X, Chen J, Shi X, Feng F, Lau KW, Chen Y, et al. RNA editing of AZIN1 induces the malignant progression of non-small-cell lung cancers. Tumour Biol. 2017;39(8):1010428317700001.
- Sun Y, Fan J, Wang B, Meng Z, Ren D, Zhao J, et al. The aberrant expression of ADAR1 promotes resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer by stabilizing c-Myc. Am J Cancer Res. 2020;10(1):148–63.
- Gumireddy K, Li A, Kossenkov AV, Sakurai M, Yan J, Li Y, et al. The mRNAedited form of GABRA3 suppresses GABRA3-mediated Akt activation and breast cancer metastasis. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10715.
- Hu X, Wan S, Ou Y, Zhou B, Zhu J, Yi X, et al. RNA over-editing of BLCAP contributes to hepatocarcinogenesis identified by whole-genome and transcriptome sequencing. Cancer Lett. 2015;357(2):510–9.
- Shoshan E, Mobley AK, Braeuer RR, Kamiya T, Huang L, Vasquez ME, et al. Reduced adenosine-to-inosine miR-455-5p editing promotes melanoma growth and metastasis. Nat Cell Biol. 2015;17(3):311–21.
- Yu J, Zhang C, Yu Q, Yu H, Zhang B. ADAR1 p110 enhances adhesion of tumor cells to extracellular matrix in hepatocellular carcinoma via upregulating ITGA2 expression. Med Sci Monit. 2019;25:1469–79.
- You X, Vlatkovic I, Babic A, Will T, Epstein I, Tushev G, et al. Neural circular RNAs are derived from synaptic genes and regulated by development and plasticity. Nat Neurosci. 2015;18(4):603–10.
- Shi L, Yan P, Liang Y, Sun Y, Shen J, Zhou S, et al. Circular RNA expression is suppressed by androgen receptor (AR)-regulated adenosine deaminase that acts on RNA (ADAR1) in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Death Dis. 2017;8(11):e3171.
- Qin YR, Qiao JJ, Chan TH, Zhu YH, Li FF, Liu H, et al. Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing mediated by ADARs in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Can Res. 2014;74(3):840–51.
- Shigeyasu K, Okugawa Y, Toden S, Miyoshi J, Toiyama Y, Nagasaka T, et al. AZIN1 RNA editing confers cancer stemness and enhances oncogenic potential in colorectal cancer. JCI insight. 2018;3(12):e99976.
- Takeda S, Shigeyasu K, Okugawa Y, Yoshida K, Mori Y, Yano S, et al. Activation of AZIN1 RNA editing is a novel mechanism that promotes invasive potential of cancer-associated fibroblasts in colorectal cancer. Cancer Lett. 2019;444:127–35.
- Ma C, Wang X, Yang F, Zang Y, Liu J, Wang X, et al. Circular RNA hsa_circ_0004872 inhibits gastric cancer progression via the miR-224/ Smad4/ADAR1 successive regulatory circuit. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):157.
- Shen P, Yang T, Chen Q, Yuan H, Wu P, Cai B, et al. CircNEIL3 regulatory loop promotes pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma progression via miRNA sponging and A-to-I RNA-editing. Mol Cancer. 2021;20(1):51.
- Shimokawa T, Rahman MF, Tostar U, Sonkoly E, Ståhle M, Pivarcsi A, et al. RNA editing of the GLI1 transcription factor modulates the output of Hedgehog signaling. RNA Biol. 2013;10(2):321–33.
- 79. Jiang A, Reece D, Chang H. Genomic stratification of multiple myeloma treated with novel agents. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012;53(2):202–7.

- Velazquez-Torres G, Shoshan E, Ivan C, Huang L, Fuentes-Mattei E, Paret H, et al. A-to-I miR-378a-3p editing can prevent melanoma progression via regulation of PARVA expression. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):461.
- Galore-Haskel G, Nemlich Y, Greenberg E, Ashkenazi S, Hakim M, Itzhaki O, et al. A novel immune resistance mechanism of melanoma cells controlled by the ADAR1 enzyme. Oncotarget. 2015;6(30):28999–9015.
- Yujie DMM, Shi X, Ji J, Su Y. ADAR1p150 regulates the biosynthesis and function of miRNA-149* in human melanoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2020;523(4):900–7.
- Wang Q, Hui H, Guo Z, Zhang W, Hu Y, He T, et al. ADAR1 regulates ARH-GAP26 gene expression through RNA editing by disrupting miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding. RNA. 2013;19(11):1525–36.
- Nakano M, Fukami T, Gotoh S, Nakajima M. A-to-I RNA editing upregulates human Dihydrofolate reductase in breast cancer. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(12):4873–84.
- de Santiago PR, Blanco A, Morales F, Marcelain K, Harismendy O, Sjöberg HM, et al. Immune-related IncRNA LINC00944 responds to variations in ADAR1 levels and it is associated with breast cancer prognosis. Life Sci. 2021;268:118956.
- Chen W, He W, Cai H, Hu B, Zheng C, Ke X, et al. A-to-I RNA editing of BLCAP lost the inhibition to STAT3 activation in cervical cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8(24):39417–29.
- Dong X, Chen G, Cai Z, Li Z, Qiu L, Xu H, et al. CDK13 RNA over-editing mediated by ADAR1 associates with poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;47(6):2602–12.
- Ramírez-Moya J, Miliotis C, Baker AR, Gregory RI, Slack FJ, Santisteban P. An ADAR1-dependent RNA editing event in the cyclin-dependent kinase CDK13 promotes thyroid cancer hallmarks. Mol Cancer. 2021;20(1):115.
- Ramírez-Moya J, Baker AR, Slack FJ, Santisteban P. ADAR1-mediated RNA editing is a novel oncogenic process in thyroid cancer and regulates miR-200 activity. Oncogene. 2020;39(18):3738–53.
- Bussemakers MJ, van Bokhoven A, Verhaegh GW, Smit FP, Karthaus HF, Schalken JA, et al. DD3: a new prostate-specific gene, highly overexpressed in prostate cancer. Can Res. 1999;59(23):5975–9.
- Zipeto MA, Court AC, Sadarangani A, Delos SNP, Balaian L, Chun HJ, et al. ADAR1 activation drives leukemia stem cell self-renewal by impairing Let-7 biogenesis. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;19(2):177–91.
- Zhao M, Zhang L, Qiu X, Zeng F, Chen W, An Y, et al. BLCAP arrests G₁/S checkpoint and induces apoptosis through downregulation of pRb1 in HeLa cells. Oncol Rep. 2016;35(5):3050–8.
- Montiel-González MF, Vallecillo-Viejo IC, Rosenthal JJ. An efficient system for selectively altering genetic information within mRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(21):e157.
- Li M, Yan C, Jiao Y, Xu Y, Bai C, Miao R, et al. Site-directed RNA editing by harnessing ADARs: advances and challenges. Funct Integr Genomics. 2022;22(6):1089–103.
- Zuo E, Sun Y, Wei W, Yuan T, Ying W, Sun H, et al. Cytosine base editor generates substantial off-target single-nucleotide variants in mouse embryos. Science (New York, NY). 2019;364(6437):289–92.
- 96. Zuo E, Sun Y, Wei W, Yuan T, Ying W, Sun H, et al. GOTI, a method to identify genome-wide off-target effects of genome editing in mouse embryos. Nat Protoc. 2020;15(9):3009–29.
- Austin RJ, Xia T, Ren J, Takahashi TT, Roberts RW. Designed argininerich RNA-binding peptides with picomolar affinity. J Am Chem Soc. 2002;124(37):10966–7.
- Baron-Benhamou J, Gehring NH, Kulozik AE, Hentze MW. Using the lambdaN peptide to tether proteins to RNAs. Methods Mol Biol (Clifton, NJ). 2004;257:135–54.
- 99. Keryer-Bibens C, Barreau C, Osborne HB. Tethering of proteins to RNAs by bacteriophage proteins. Biol Cell. 2008;100(2):125–38.
- Montiel-Gonzalez MF, Vallecillo-Viejo I, Yudowski GA, Rosenthal JJ. Correction of mutations within the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator by site-directed RNA editing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(45):18285–90.
- 101. Hanswillemenke A, Kuzdere T, Vogel P, Jékely G, Stafforst T. Site-directed RNA editing in vivo can be triggered by the light-driven assembly of an artificial riboprotein. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137(50):15875–81.
- Schneider MF, Wettengel J, Hoffmann PC, Stafforst T. Optimal guideR-NAs for re-directing deaminase activity of hADAR1 and hADAR2 in trans. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(10):e87.

- Stafforst T, Schneider MF. An RNA-deaminase conjugate selectively repairs point mutations. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2012;51(44):11166–9.
- 104. Vogel P, Schneider MF, Wettengel J, Stafforst T. Improving site-directed RNA editing in vitro and in cell culture by chemical modification of the guideRNA. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2014;53(24):6267–71.
- Vogel P, Stafforst T. Site-directed RNA editing with antagomir deaminases–a tool to study protein and RNA function. ChemMedChem. 2014;9(9):2021–5.
- Xu C, Zhou Y, Xiao Q, He B, Geng G, Wang Z, et al. Programmable RNA editing with compact CRISPR-Cas13 systems from uncultivated microbes. Nat Methods. 2021;18(5):499–506.
- 107. Tong H, Huang J, Xiao Q, He B, Dong X, Liu Y, et al. High-fidelity Cas13 variants for targeted RNA degradation with minimal collateral effects. Nat Biotechnol. 2023;41(1):108–19.
- Qu L, Yi Z, Zhu S, Wang C, Cao Z, Zhou Z, et al. Programmable RNA editing by recruiting endogenous ADAR using engineered RNAs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(9):1059–69.
- Yi Z, Qu L, Tang H, Liu Z, Liu Y, Tian F, et al. Engineered circular ADARrecruiting RNAs increase the efficiency and fidelity of RNA editing in vitro and in vivo. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(6):946–55.
- Reautschnig P, Wahn N, Wettengel J, Schulz AE, Latifi N, Vogel P, et al. CLUSTER guide RNAs enable precise and efficient RNA editing with endogenous ADAR enzymes in vivo. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(5):759–68.
- Ishizuka JJ, Manguso RT, Cheruiyot CK, Bi K, Panda A, Iracheta-Vellve A, et al. Loss of ADAR1 in tumours overcomes resistance to immune checkpoint blockade. Nature. 2019;565(7737):43–8.
- 112. Liu H, Golji J, Brodeur LK, Chung FS, Chen JT, DeBeaumont RS, et al. Tumor-derived IFN triggers chronic pathway agonism and sensitivity to ADAR loss. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):95–102.
- Ding L, Zhang X, Yu P, Peng F, Sun Y, Wu Y, et al. Genetically engineered nanovesicles mobilize synergistic antitumor immunity by ADAR1 silence and PDL1 blockade. Mol Ther. 2023;S1525-0016(23)00209-5.
- 114. Chen R, Ishak CA, De Carvalho DD. Endogenous retroelements and the viral mimicry response in cancer therapy and cellular homeostasis. Cancer Discov. 2021;11(11):2707–25.
- 115. Karki R, Sundaram B, Sharma BR, Lee S, Malireddi RKS, Nguyen LN, et al. ADAR1 restricts ZBP1-mediated immune response and PANoptosis to promote tumorigenesis. Cell Rep. 2021;37(3): 109858.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

