## **REVIEW**

**Open Access** 

# Transcriptional regulation of cancer stem cell: regulatory factors elucidation and cancer treatment strategies



## Abstract

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) were first discovered in the 1990s, revealing the mysteries of cancer origin, migration, recurrence and drug-resistance from a new perspective. The expression of pluripotent genes and complex signal regulatory networks are significant features of CSC, also act as core factors to affect the characteristics of CSC. Transcription is a necessary link to regulate the phenotype and potential of CSC, involving chromatin environment, nucleosome occupancy, histone modification, transcription factor (TF) availability and cis-regulatory elements, which suffer from ambient pressure. Especially, the expression and activity of pluripotent TFs are deeply affected by both internal and external factors, which is the foundation of CSC transcriptional regulation in the current research framework. Growing evidence indicates that regulating epigenetic modifications to alter cancer stemness is effective, and some special promoters and enhancers can serve as targets to influence the properties of CSC. Clarifying the factors that regulate CSC transcription will assist us directly target key stem genes and TFs, or hinder CSC transcription through environmental and other related factors, in order to achieve the goal of inhibiting CSC and tumors. This paper comprehensively reviews the traditional aspects of transcriptional regulation, and explores the progress and insights of the impact on CSC transcription and status through tumor microenvironment (TME), hypoxia, metabolism and new meaningful regulatory factors in conjunction with the latest research. Finally, we present opinions on omnidirectional targeting CSCs transcription to eliminate CSCs and address tumor resistance.

Keywords CSC, Transcription regulation, TF, Signaling pathways, epigenetics, DNA elements, TME

## Background

CSC regulates cancer behavior to influence treatment outcomes and brings important and promising targets. The process of expressing stem genes in CSC involves transcription, post transcription, translation, and post

\*Correspondence:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oncology, Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 201900, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> Shanghai Institute of Precision Medicine, Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200125, People's Republic of China translation stages, and the transcription process is of paramount importance and has an impact on other stages. Aiming to summarize the diverse links and targets of CSC transcription regulation, we mainly searched the PubMed database for literature related to CSC transcription over the past 5 years, and comprehensively discussed strategies and suggestions for targeting CSC transcription.

## Introduction

CSCs possess self-renewal and differentiation properties analogous to normal stem cells thus bringing high tumorigenic potency [1]. Tumors are considered to have hierarchical structure and the CSCs are located at the apex



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0. The Creative Commons Public Domain and redit line to the data.

Yanjie Zhang

Zhangyanjie@shsmu.edu.cn

in CSC model [2]. CSCs occupy a very small amount in tumor tissue, and they have similar TFs and signaling pathways compared to stem cells in healthy tissues [3, 4]. One prominent hallmark of CSCs is asymmetric division, which generates more CSCs and specially-differentiated daughter cells [5, 6]. Another important feature of CSCs is epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a reversible cellular process that temporarily puts epithelial cells in a quasi-mesenchymal state. Conversely, the resulting mesenchymal cells can restore to an epithelial state, known as mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) [7]. New insights suggest that EMT can manifest as a mixed state of two processes, defined as epithelial mesenchymal plasticity (EMP), which enables CSC to achieve higher stemness [8]. After tumors have formed, CSCs tend to exist in a dormant state or G0 phase and be relatively static [4, 9]. CSCs have the capacity to transition between static and proliferative states [10]. It is shown that quiescent human glioblastoma (GBM) cancer stem cells are activated and accelerate the transition to a proliferative state after chemotherapy [11]. Besides, CSCs can not only secrete vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) or convert into vascular progenitor cells to induce angiogenesis, but also invade and infiltrate blood vessels and lymphatic vessels to achieve metastasis and dissemination [12]. In addition, owning to a comprehensive DNA repair system, enhanced DNA damage response (DDR) and decreased apoptosis, CSCs appear to be more resistant to the environment for therapy [13]. It is obvious that complicated tumor heterogeneity mainly originates from CSCs, and various malignant behaviors of tumors are integrally linked to CSCs, including tumorigenesis, progression, metastasis, drug resistance and recurrence [14].

The characteristics of CSC is closely related to the expression of pluripotent genes and the role of pluripotent TFs. Therefore, the understanding and regulation of CSC transcription is an important prerequisite for eliminating cancer stemness and treating cancer. Transcription in living cells is a highly dynamic and explosive process characterized by sporadic bursts interspersed with hours of inactivity [15]. The transcriptional regulation of eukaryotes involves various molecules and mechanisms, including TFs (able to bind DNA, locate RNA enzymes, and recruit other regulatory factors), epigenetic modifications (alter the DNA and proteins of chromosomes through chemical modifications) [16, 17], genomic DNA elements (able to bind proteins) [18]. TF residence time and concentration have an impact on the frequency of bursts [19], which is considered as key controllable factor in transcription. The type and degree of epigenetic modifications have a significant impact on transcriptional activity, and diverse DNA elements are involved in the initiation and enhancement of transcription. In addition, RNA polymerase can regulate the size and efficiency of transcription bursts, responsible for stochastic fluctuations in protein levels [20], affecting the exertion of biological functions, but there is limited research in CSC. It is exposed that the microenvironment has bi-and unidirectional interactions with the plasticity of transcriptional heterogeneity, including hypoxia, metabolic alterations, and immune stress [21]. A study on GBM uncovers the spatial interdependence between tumors and hosts, confirming that environmental pressure can trigger adaptive transcription programs. This provides a theoretical basis for regulating CSC transcription through ambient pressure.

Targeting CSCs for treatment is a highly promising strategy to address the challenges of tumor resistance and recurrence. CSC can be inherited from stem cells in normal tissues or produced by mutations, and its state varies with the occurrence and development of tumors, while also having a decisive role in tumor behavior [22]. It is reasonable to alter the signaling and fate of CSC by clarifying its transcriptional regulation, thereby eliminating CSCs and preventing metastasis. With the proposal and development of spatiotemporal molecular medicine, further study on transcriptional regulation of CSC will assist us understand the development of drug resistance and seek new therapeutic drugs and methods [23]. Here, we will describe the transcriptional regulation characteristics of CSC from various aspects and how to target these stages to obtain therapeutic benefits.

## TFs and signaling pathways in the transcriptional regulation of CSC

CSC TFs can regulate the expression of stem genes by combining with the enhancer or promoter sequence on DNA, which is significant for the occurrence, invasion and metastasis of tumors [24]. A variety of signaling pathways in CSC can activate the expression of related TFs and facilitate the stability of TF protein to maintain the undifferentiated state and the nature of CSCs, or directly combine with DNA to promote the expression of stem genes and oncogene [4, 9]. Next, we will demonstrate the characteristics and effects of diverse TFs and signaling pathways regulating transcription in CSCs, and the schemes and benefits targeting them will be explored.

## Some embryonic TFs and signaling pathways are re-expressed or reactivated in CSC

The similarities between the processes of embryonic development and cancer have been discovered early. Research set about comparing the key signals and regulatory mechanisms in embryo and cancer, a lot of relevant and mature conclusions have been obtained. Figuring out the functions of these main TFs and signaling pathways is conducive to a better understanding of the characteristics and status of CSCs.

#### **Pluripotent TF**

In embryonic stem cells (ESC), several pluripotent TFs are involved in normal organogenesis and control of cell fate, including octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4), sry-related HMG box 2 (SOX2), NANOG, kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) and MYC [25], which can reprogram somatic cells to become induce pluripotent stem cells by transient ectopic overexpression [4, 26]. Accumulating studies have revealed that pluripotent TFs are almost inhibited in adult tissues but overexpressed in invasive cancer, regulating the biological activity of CSCs and endowing them with many characteristics [25, 27]. Intervention against these TFs is a considerable approach for targeting CSC through transcriptional regulation.

OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG are considered as key regulatory factors to maintain the self-renewal, proliferation and differentiation of CSCs [28]. OCT4 is a member of Pit-Oct-Unc transcription factor family, encoded by POU5F1 gene, which is overexpressed in CSCs of various cancers [29]. A study of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) reported that OCT4 was involved in the self-renewal of CSCs and DNA damage induced by irradiation, thus promoting the radiation resistance of tumor [30]. Experiments have revealed the transcriptional mechanism of OCT4 to maintain the stemness of GBM. The palmitoylation of OCT4A (a variant of OCT4) prevents it from lysosomal degradation and contributes to the integration of SOX2 enhancer region, promoting the tumorigenicity of glioma stem cells (GSCs) [31]. In addition, OCT4 has been shown to induce CSCs to produce vascular endothelial cells and participate in the angiogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [32]. A number of studies manifest that OCT4 is an indispensable stem TF in CSCs. SOX2 plays a crucial role in the early development of ESCs and cancer progression, belonging to the SOX gene family characterized by high mobility group (HMG) domain [33]. There is evidence that nicotine can induce the expression of SOX2 to enhance the self-renewal of CSCs in non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma (NSCLC), and OCT4 may act on this process [34].By inhibiting the expression of SOX2, the characteristics of CSC can be repressed, making breast cancer cells sensitive to tamoxifen [35]. These studies demonstrate that SOX2 is a significant TF that mediates the proliferation and drug resistance of CSCs. NANOG is a differentiated homeobox (HOX) domain protein and one of the essential transcription factors in CSCs [36]. It is reported that NANOG is upregulated in sarcoma CSCs and promotes the formation of sarcoma cells, making it resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and this resistance can be overcome by inhibiting NANOG [37]. After the interaction of Abelson interaction factor 2 (ABI2) and TF MEOX2, MEOX4 and NANOG promoter regions bind to activate transcription, promoting the phenotype of CSCs and inducing tumor recurrence in HCC [38]. NANOG has been shown to be involved in tumor recurrence and treatment resistance. Moreover, the above three pluripotent TFs are beneficial for promoting EMT [39, 40], and correlate with the survival rate and prognosis of patients [41].

KLF4 and MYC are also cohesively involved in the transcriptional regulation of stem cells, development and cancer. KLF4 is a zinc-finger-containing TF, which contributes to embryonic development and stem cell generation and exists in a variety of human tissues [42]. KLF4 directly binds to the promoter to up-regulate the expression of LAMA4, which ultimately enhances the malignant behavior of osteosarcoma cells and inhibits apoptosis [43]. Knockdown of KLF4 impairs the osteosarcoma CSCs and inhibits the osteosarcoma cells migration [44]. In contrast, KLF4 was significantly downregulated in oxaliplatin resistant colorectal cancer (CRC) cells [45]. The sensitivity of CRC cells to radiotherapy was enhanced and the stemness of CSCs was reduced after targeting KLF4 [46]. KLF4 plays a role in different types of cancer as oncogenic or anticancer factor. MYC family proteins (C-MYC, N-MYC, L-MYC) are essential to maintain the properties of ESCs and CSCs [25], and the overexpression of MYC often leads to tumorigenesis. Research exposed that MYC combined with SLUG promoter activated the transcription, which increased the expression of OCT4 and NANOG, and enhanced the characteristics of CSCs in breast cancer [47]. The combination of MYC-Interacting Zinc Finger Protein 1 (MIZ-1) and C-MYC can improve the efficacy of the pro-autophagy factor AMBRA1 promoter, which will affect the stemness, growth and migration of CSCs in medulloblastoma (MB) [48]. MYC is unable to regulate transcription alone but works together with other genes and regulatory factors to exert effect on CSCs and cancer progression [49].

In addition, FOXM1, the only member of forkhead box protein M subfamily, has gradually accumulated abundant evidence for the regulation of CSCs. FOXM1 affects the temporal and spatial expression of genes during embryonic development while maintaining the homeostasis and repair in adult tissues [50]. FOXM1 has been reported to be dysregulated in a variety of CSCs [51]. In gastric cancer (GC) and nasopharyngeal carcinoma, FOXM1 induces the characteristics of CSCs and enhances the tumorigenicity [52, 53]. It is proved that *FOXM1* is down-regulated in CSCs of pancreatic cancer, thus making it sensitive to chemotherapy [54]. Growing studies indicate that FOXM1 acts as vital TF to regulate the properties of CSCs.

#### **Developmental signaling pathways**

It has been identified that five common signaling pathways are expressed in ESCs and CSCs, which endow CSCs with the properties of proliferation and differentiation. They consist of Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin, Notch, hedgehog (Hh), Hippo and TGF- $\beta$  pathways, called oncofetal drivers [25, 55].

Wnt pathway is a key regulator of embryonic development and adult tissue differentiation, and also an effective driver of cancer [56]. The typical Wnt signal is transmitted to the nucleus through Frizzled (atypical G protein coupled receptor, GPCR) and LRP5/6 (LDL receptor related protein), which activates the intracellular protein Dishevelled (DVL) and inhibits the degradation activity of  $\beta$ -Catenin degradation complexes formed by serinethreonine protein kinase GSK3 $\beta$  [57]. With accumulation and translocation of  $\beta$ -Catenin, the expression of *MYC* and other stem genes is up-regulated to induce CSC proliferation [57, 58]. Atypical Wnt signal is transmitted to cells through Frizzled or ROR1/2 (receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor), which activates TFs of cyclins to induce CSC dormancy and fight apoptosis [57].

Notch signaling pathway is involved in growth and development, while acting as carcinogenic signal or tumor suppressor according to tumor type and stage [59, 60]. After the Notch ligand binds to the Notch receptor on the cell membrane, they undergo three cleavages and transforms into the Notch intracellular domain (NICD), which translocates to the nucleus and binds to the TF——CSL (CBF-1/suppressor of hairless/Lag1) [60]. CSL undertakes DNA binding and additional TFs recruitment to regulate gene expression. Five Notch ligands have been identified in humans, namely delta-like ligand 1 (DLL1), delta-like ligand 3 (DLL3), delta-like ligand 4 (DLL4), Jagged-1 (JAG1), and Jagged-2 (JAG2), which respectively mediate distinct cell activities [4, 60].

Hh pathway regulates the process of organogenesis and tumorigenesis, which is a complex signal network. There are three kinds of Hh family protein in mammals: Sonic hedgehog (Shh), Indian hedgehog (Ihh) and Desert hedgehog (Dhh). The components of typical Hh pathway include extracellular Hh ligand, twelve-span transmembrane protein Patched (PTCH1 and PTCH2), GPCR family transmembrane protein Smoothened (SMO), intermediate transduction molecules and Glioma-associated oncogene homolog (GLI) family of TFs (GLI1, GLI2 and GLI3) [4, 61]. In the presence of Hh ligand, the inhibition of PTCH on SMO is relieved, then SMO activates GLI to regulate the expression of target genes [61, 62]. GLI1 only acts as a transcriptional activator, enhancing the expression of SOX2 and NANOG to promote the formation of tumorsphere [63, 64]. GLI2 has dual functions but mainly activates transcription [4, 63], which can promote the stemness of renal cell carcinoma [65]. GLI3 is a transcription inhibitor that affects the growth and migration of cancer cells [66], and its knockdown reduces the stemness of oral squamous cell carcinoma [67].

Hippo pathway plays a major role in development and cancer [68]. Hippo involves kinase cascade reaction, and extracellular signal transduction affects MST1/2 (serine threonine kinase3/4) and LATS1/2 (large tumor suppressor kinase1/2) through various upstream activators or inhibitors [69]. After the dephosphorylation and nuclear translocation of YAP/TAZ (Yes-associated protein/trascriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif) are induced, various TFs, especially the transcriptional enhanced associate domain (TEAD) family, can be combined to guide gene expression (include OCT4 and NANOG) [70]. The decrease of YAP/TAZ level can down-regulate the expression of *SOX2* and other stem genes in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) CSCs, thereby enhancing chemosensitivity and cell death [71].

Transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) mediates embryogenesis and adult function, mainly uses SMAD protein as a receptor to regulate target genes with other signal proteins [72]. TGF- $\beta$  can induce EMT and mediate the expression of phenotype proteins in CSCs, which promote the metastasis and progression of cancer [73, 74].

## Crosstalk of distinct TFs and signaling pathways regulates the transcription of CSCs

Multiple TFs are comprehensively activated to induce CSC function. After the interaction between palmitoylated OCT4a and SOX4, the activity of *SOX2* enhancer is increased to regulate the CSCs of GBM [31]. And the overexpression of *SOX2* increases the expression of key pluripotent factors OCT4 and NANOG [75]. It is exposed that the phase separation of NANOG and TAZ promotes the transcription of *SOX2* and *OCT4* to maintain CSCs stemness [76]. Besides, MYC can contribute to the up-regulation of *OCT4* and *NANOG* [47].

Various signaling pathways work together to maintain CSC properties. In the noncanonical Notch signaling pathway, NICD can be independent of CSL and interact with Wnt, Hippo or TGF- $\beta$  Pathways to regulate the transcription of target genes [60]. The findings show that  $\beta$ -Catenin activity depends on YAP signal transduction and controls CSC program in basal breast cancer, which reflects the connection between Wnt pathway and Hippo pathway [77]. During the EMT program induced by TGF- $\beta$  signal, Notch, Shh, Hippo and Wnt pathways

were observed to be activated and linked, and Notch signal served as a key driver [78].

TFs interact with signaling pathways flexibly. It is shown that the activation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin pathway can up-regulate the expression of OCT4/NANOG in CSCs of NSCLC, which induce multi-drug resistance (MDR) and EMT [79]. The combination of SOX2 and  $\beta$ -Catenin improves the nuclear expression and transcriptional activity of  $\beta$ -Catenin, which is conducive to mediating the characteristics of CSCs and chemical resistance in CRC [39]. FOXM1 can enhance the stability of  $\beta$ -Catenin to activate Wnt pathway, thus affecting the stemness of GC [52]. An experiment suggest that Notch signal can induce SOX9 expression to promote SOX2 transcription, and SOX2 up-regulation reduces the methylation level of NOTCH1 promoter, which form a positive feedback loop to control the invasion of CSCs into the white matter tract in GBM [80]. As mentioned above, downstream TF of Hh pathway——GLI, can enhance the expression of SOX2 and NANOG to regulate CSC stemness [63, 64].

It is remarkable that several other considerable signaling pathways participate in the transcription of CSCs and form a complex regulatory network. Numerous cytokines and growth factors transmit signals through JAK/STAT (Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription) pathway, including tyrosine kinase related receptors, tyrosine kinase JAK and TF STAT [81]. When JAK/STAT pathway is activated, STAT combines with cyclin promoter to enhance transcription, which can maintain CSC growth and reduce DNA damage in CRC [82]. Downstream effector molecules of JAK/STAT pathway include SOX2, NANOG and other stem TFs [83], STAT3 has been proved to promote up-regulation of Wnt ligand transcription [84]. Moreover, it is found that C-MYC/AMBRA1/STAT3 axis regulates cancer stemness and invasion potential in MB [48].

PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is rarely studied in CSCs, but it is reported to be cross linked to a variety of TFs and signaling pathways. The components of PI3K/AKT/ mTOR pathway are phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), serine/threonine kinase (AKT, acts as key effector), mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC, composed of mTOR, raptor, mLST8, PRAS40 and DEPTOR) [85]. The PI3K-AKT-mTOR cascade reaction is involved in regulating the activity of  $\beta$ -Catenin and several TFs (SOX4 and FOXO3) binding to  $\beta$ -Catenin [86]. The Wnt signal can interact with PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway to co-regulate the expression of *MYC*, Hippo pathway also become a tie to link them [86]. In addition, it is reported that inhibiting AKT1/2 reduces NANOG expression in sarcoma CSC to reverse chemotherapy resistance [37], NANOG mediates the radio-resistance of tumor cells through AKT and Notch pathways partially [87].

Page 5 of 31

NF-κB (nuclear factor-kappa B) pathway is a series of TFs composed of five protein members—p65, RelB, c-Rel, NF-κB1 and NF-κB2, which is related to inflammation and cancer [88]. NF-κB pathway directly regulates the transcription of *MYC* and promotes the stem phenotype of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) [89]. The phosphorylation of AKT activates NF-κB and enhances NF-κB nuclear translocation, affecting the progression of EMT and promoting ovarian cancer metastasis [90]. Research suggests that OCT4 may pass through AKT-NF-κB pathway to regulate angiogenesis in CSCs of HCC [32].

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) is a nuclear TF induced by ligands that plays a role in metabolism and cancer, including PPAR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\beta/\delta$  and PPAR $\gamma$  [91]. PPAR $\alpha$  is responsible for activating Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin signaling to initiate CSCs in HCC [92]. PPAR $\delta$  is capable of binding to the *NANOG* promoter and enhancing the expression to amplify CSCs and promote CRC metastasis [93].

Fibroblast growth factor/FGF receptor (FGF/FGFR) signaling regulates the proliferation and differentiation of various types of cells, overexpressing and mutating in tumors [94]. FGF signaling is not dependent on any exogenous Wnt or TGF regulation and serves as the main regulator of self-renewal in CSCs [95]. The release of FGF2 induces zinc finger E-box binding to homologous box 1 (ZEB1) and SOX2 through FGFR1 signaling to maintain the CSC of GBM [96]. YAP1 up-regulates FGFR1 expression at transcription level (in the promoter) via the TEAD binding site, while bFGF/FGFR1 induces YAP1 expression through LATS1, revealing the connection between the FGF pathway and the Hippo pathway in CSCs [97]. An intriguing finding suggests that FGFR2 interacts with AKT to maintain the differentiation status of non-CSC cells in ESCC [98].

TFs and signaling pathways interfere with each other, jointly regulating CSC characteristics at the transcriptional level, and have been reported in various types of common cancers. Based on the dysregulation of different TFs and signaling pathways in various types of cancer, we have summarized the impacts and effects on CSC in extensively studied cancer types (Table 1).

#### Targeting TFs or signaling pathways in CSCs

In order to target TFs to regulate the transcription of CSCs and obtain clinical benefits, there are several methods and strategies as follows: (1) Directly blocking the combination of TFs and DNA; (2) Targeting TFs mRNA to regulate the level; (3) promoting TFs degradation by post-translational modification (PTM); (4) effects on TFs transcription and activity by modulating various signaling pathways; (5) inhibition of cell markers that regulate

Cancer types	Disregulated TFs or pathways in CSCs	The measures taken to target TFs or pathways	Effects generated on CSCs and tumors
Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)	OCT4, NANOG, FOXM1	directly knock down OCT4; inhibit DDX3 (human DEAD box RNA helicase) to achieve demethylation of m <sup>6</sup> A RNA in <i>FOXM1</i> and <i>NANOG</i>	decrease radiation induced DDR and inhibit radio- sensitivity of HNSCC cell lines [30]; impair the resist- ance to cisplatin [99]
Breast cancer	SOX2, Wnt/β-Catenin, Hippo	use small-molecule inhibitor of neddyla- tion MLN4924 to down-regulate SOX2; knock down YAP	suppress the formation of tumor sphere and increase sensitivity to tamoxifen [35]; reduce the expression of many Wht target genes and hin- der the initiation and growth of CSCs [77]
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)	NANOG, KLF4, Wnt/β-Catenin, PPARa	knock down <i>AB</i> /2 to inhibit the transcription of <i>NANOG</i> and <i>KLF4</i> , use 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA) to upregulate <i>PPARa</i> by activating β- catenin	inhibit self-renewal and tumorigenicity of CSCs, growth and migration of HCC [38]; initiate CSCs to promote tumorigenesis [92]
Clear cell renal cell cancer	MYC	block estrogen receptor (ERB) to target C-MYC mRNA through non-coding RNA	reduce CSC population and inhibit CSC phenotype [100]
Non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma (NSCLC)	OCT4, NANOG, Wnt/β-Catenin, STAT3, Hippo, FGF/FGFR	knock down <i>OCT4</i> or <i>NANOG</i> or <i>β-Catenin</i> ; apply heat shock protein (HSP) 90 inhibitors to degrade STAT3 protein; knock down <i>YAP</i>	decrease <i>β-Catenin</i> expression and the target gene <i>CyclinD1</i> , make cells sensitive to anticancer drugs and eliminate EMT process [79]; inhibit STAT3 mediated activation of Wnt signaling, disrupt the vitality and migration of NSCLC cells [84]; up-regulate <i>FGFR1</i> transcription, inhibit self-renewal and eliminate CSC characteristics [97]
Glioblastoma (GBM)	SOX2, Notch, FGF/FGFR	knock down <i>SOX2</i> or <i>NOTCH</i> , knock down FGFR or apply FGFR antibodies	obstruct the distribution and invasive pheno- type of CSCs [80]; inhibit the expression of <i>SOX2</i> and reduce tumorigenesis [96]
Prostate cancer	sox2, nanog, jak/stat, notch, akt	knock down <i>IFITS</i> (as interferon induced gene and downstream effector of the JAK-STAT signal- ing pathway) to target SOX2 and NANOG; directly knock down <i>NOTCH1</i> or AKT	reduce the initiating ability of tumors and decrease prostasphere formation [83]; inhibit radiation resist- ance of tumor cells [87]
Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC)	MYC, NF-kB, AKT, FGF/FGFR	activate NF-kB signaling with extracellular vesicles containing FMR1-AS1 to promote C-MYC expression; knock down AKT or FGFR2	mediate the transformation and migration of CSCs [89]; induce EMT and enrich CSC population [98]
Ovarian cancer	ΑΚΤ, ΝΕ-κΒ, ΡΡΑRα	use mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP) to activate the PI3K/AKT/NF-kB pathway	promote cancer cell metastasis and induce EMT (inhibition of PPARa reverses this effect) [90]
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	SOX2, FOXM1, NANOG, PPAR6, FGF/FGFR	target RBM17 (a member of the spliceosome complex) to regulate selective splicing of <i>FOXM1</i> and promote <i>SOX2</i> transcription; use PPARδ agonists to induce <i>MANOG</i> expression; add FGFR inhibitors	enhance the stemness of CSCs and sphere forma- tion [101]; induce CSC amplification and accelerate liver metastasis in vivo [93]; suppress self-renewal and long-term organoid [95]

Table 1 The dysregulated TFs or signaling pathway of CSCs in distinct cancers

TFs gene expression; (6) Inducing ambient pressure such as metabolism, hypoxia and immune response to cause TFs inactivation (Fig. 1). Next, the experiments and evidences of (2), (3), (4) will be listed, and the relevant contents of (1), (5), (6) will be demonstrated in other paragraphs.

#### mRNA of TFs in CSCs as a target for regulation

There are various measures to target TFs mRNA in CSCs to modulate the level, thereby having an impact on the transcriptional regulation of CSCs, including non-coding RNA, RNA binding protein (RBP), and RNA modifications (Fig. 1B).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small non-coding RNA molecules that regulate gene expression by degrading mRNA through RNA induced silencing complex (RISC) formed by binding to 3' UTR elements in mRNA [102]. MiRNAs can be used as tumor suppressor or tumor promoter, the correlation with miRNA and CSCs, EMT and drug-resistance has been widely studied [103]. It is reported that miRNA can directly target the inactivation of *NANOG* mRNA, or affect the related TF and pathways to indirectly regulate *NANOG* expression (such as Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin, Notch and JAK/STAT pathway) [104]. Moreover, the network of TFs and miRNAs cross-linking is gradually established. Research indicates that miR-145 exerts anti-stemness and tumor inhibitory effects by directly targeting *OCT4*, *NANOG*, *SOX2* and *KLF4*, conversely, these pluripotent TFs can also induce or inhibit the transcription of diverse miRNAs [27].

LncRNA is a long non-coding RNA with a length of more than 200 nucleotides. It is a key factor in gene regulation and also involved in the function of CSCs and EMT [105]. LncRNA OIP5-AS1 can directly interact with *OCT4* mRNA, enhance the stability of *OCT4* mRNA to increase *OCT4* expression, and endow lung cancer cells with CSC characteristics [106]. The interaction between lncRNA and miRNA forms a competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) mode and possesses a negative feedback regulation mechanism. LncRNA can target miRNA to regulate EMT and the expression of stem factors *NANOG*, *OCT4*, *SOX2* and *C-MYC*, as well as Wnt signal [107]. LINC00511 serves as the ceRNA of miR-185-3p to target E2F1 protein and bind it to the promoter region of



Fig. 1 Targeting TFs in CSC from multiple perspectives **A** Directly blocking the combination of TFs and DNA: mainly through epigenetic mechanisms. **B** Targeting TFs mRNA to regulate the level: miRNAs target the inactivation of TF mRNA, and TFs mediate miRNA transcription. LncRNA influences TF mRNA through miRNA and forms ceRNA with miRNA. CircRNA targets TF mRNA through miRNA. RBP binds TF mRNA to affect the stability and translation. The modification of TF mRNA may play a role. **C** Promoting TFs degradation by ubiquitination. **D** Modulating various signaling pathways to affect TFs transcription and activity by diverse agents: it is effective for the TFs to regulate transcription of CSC by acting on ligands, receptors, complexes, and other TFs of various signaling pathways. **E** Inhibiting cell markers that regulate TFs gene expression. **F** Inducing ambient pressure such as metabolism, hypoxia and immune response to cause TFs inactivation

*NANOG*, thus promoting transcription and enhancing the stemness of CSC in breast cancer [108].

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a major class of single stranded non-coding RNAs. Its occurrence depends on the canonical spliceosome mechanism to form circular conformation, which is stable in cells [109]. CircRNA is dysregulated in cancer progression and plays a role in cancer by acting as a sponge for miRNAs and interacting with RNA binding proteins [110]. Current studies discovered that circRNAs facilitate the characteristics of CSCs through miRNAs. The experiment proved that circFAM73A regulated miR-490-3p and recruited stem cell factor HMGA2 (high mobility A2 group, the downstream target of miR-490-3p) to promote the stability of  $\beta$ -catenin, thereby enhancing the stemness of CSCs and the drug-resistance in GC [111]. In addition, it is exposed that circ\_ 001680 promotes the population of CSCs and drug-resistance in CRC by regulating miR-1 to target stem factor BMI-1 [112]. Last but not least, multiple studies indicated that gut microbiota regulates CSCs by targeting diverse TFs(SOX3/4/9, STAT3) through circRNA/miRNA network [113].

RBP can regulate the modification and translation of mRNA and affect the processing of miRNA and circRNA, playing a key role in cancer progression and metastasis [114]. Musashi-1 (MSI1), as a RBP and a CSC biomarker, can increase downstream RNA stability and interact with miRNA, thereby altering the expression and activity of OCT4 and STAT3 [115, 116]. Studies have shown that RBP La stimulates the post-transcriptional expression of TF ZEB1 and mediates TGF- $\beta$  Induced EMT and stemness of CSCs [117]. Hu antigen R (HuR), as RBP, binds to snail mRNA to promote the stability of snail mRNA and the enhancement of snail protein expression, which encourages the formation of EMT and CSC in pancreatic cancer [118].

The modification of mRNA is recognized to have a direct impact on gene expression and is misregulated in cancer [119]. Among over 170 identified RNA modifications, inosine, 5-methylcytosine ( $m^5C$ ) and N6-methyladenosine ( $m^6A$ ) have been shown to coordinate the regulatory gene network within CSC to influence its fate [120]. However, there has not been any direct research on TF mRNA modification in CSCs, but rather a focus on fat mass and obesity related proteins (FTO). FTO exerts effect on the characteristics of CSCs in CRC and ovarian cancer as a demethylase targeting  $m^6A$  modification of mRNA [121, 122], which provides inspiration for further research.

### The regulation of TFs in CSC through PTM

Ubiquitination is one of the important types of PTM and the main focus of current research on regulating the TFs of CSC through PTM (Fig. 1C). It is indicated that ubiquitination participates in mediating the transcriptional regulatory network with CSCs and EMT, E3 ubiquitin ligase can modulate the expression level of these pluripotent TFs by ubiquitination alone or ubiquitination combined degradation [123]. Due to the undruggable property of TFs, it is shown that targeting ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) to inhibit the TFs expression is a potential alternative strategy [124]. For example, receptor for activated C kinase 1 (Rack1) directly binds to NANOG, thus preventing it from recruiting E3 ubiquitin ligase and ubiquitin dependent degradation to maintain CSC stemness [125].

WB100 targets C-MYC protein degradation through CHIP-mediated proteasome pathway and is currently conducting a phase I clinical study for the treatment of advanced solid tumors (NCT05100251).

## The use of signal transduction modulators to regulate the TFs and properties of CSCs

Multiple signal agents have been developed to block pathway conduction, which is applied to inhibit CSCs in distinct tumors (Fig. 1D). And some agents are being put into clinical trials and are expected to be combined with anticancer drugs for treatment in the near future.

Wnt signaling pathway inhibitors are widely used, mainly targeting wnt ligands and receptors, as well as β-Catenin [126]. Small molecule Wnt inhibitor ICG-001 can antagonize β-Catenin to inhibit CSCs in CRC and renal cell carcinoma [127, 128]. XNW7201 has been considered a potential new drug for advanced solid tumors due to the ability to block Wnt protein activity, and corresponding clinical trials have been conducted (NCT03901950). The inhibitors of the Notch signaling pathway in CSC research mainly target y secretory enzymes or Notch ligands [126, 129]. The use of Notch inhibitor DAPT ( $\gamma$  secretory enzymes inhibitor) has been shown to reduce the number of renal CSCs and repress growth [128]. Moreover, it is reported that NADI-351 is an oral and effective Notch1 transcriptional complex inhibitor causing CSC ablation in mouse model [130]. Some Notch inhibitors have been applied in clinical trials to prevent cancer growth, such as CB-103 (NCT0574899), Nirogacestat (NCT0556798), AL101 (NCT04973683). The Hh pathway inhibitors applied to CSCs mainly target SMO and GLI [126, 131]. Experiments indicated that GANT61 as a GLI inhibitor significantly reduces the proportion and activity of CSCs in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) [132]. A phase I clinical trial used docetaxel combined with SMO inhibitor (Sonidegib) to treat patients with metastatic TNBC. These 12 patients have previously received standard chemotherapy with taxanes and/or anthracyclines, of

which 3 have achieved clinical benefits, and 1 patient has experienced a complete reponse [133]. Clinical trials of Sonidegib for the treatment of other advanced solid tumors are currently underway, such as NCT04007744 and NCT04066504. YAP/TAZ-TEAD acts as a tumor promoter in the Hippo signaling pathway, which is an important target for inhibiting the Hippo pathway in CSCs. YAP1 small molecule inhibitor Vitipofen has been proved to significantly block CSC properties [134]. The findings manifested that CA3 can represent a new inhibitor of YAP1 to significantly inhibit YAP1/TEAD transcriptional activity, targeting radiation-resistant esophageal adenocarcinoma cells with CSC characteristics [135]. It is suggested that statins inhibit the Hippo pathway by accelerating YAP phosphorylation and inducing inactivation in malignant mesothelioma (MM), which provides a potential choice for targeting CSC [136]. IAG933 can interfere with the interaction between YAP-TEAD proteins and entered clinical research in 2021 to treat advanced mesothelioma and other solid tumors (NCT04857372). In addition, a phase I clinical trial evaluating IK-930 (oral TEAD inhibitor) for the treatment of advanced solid tumor patients has been conducted (NCT05228015).

Besides, the joint use of signal transduction modulators displays significant effectiveness in hindering CSCs. For example, GANT61 combined with mTOR inhibition effectively reduces the viability of CSCs and the sphere formation of resistant cell lines in pancreatic cancer [137]. The mixture of Wnt pathway inhibitor PRI-724 and Hh pathway inhibitor vismodegib causes cell cycle arrest and downregulates the expression of OCT4 in CSCs [138]. GSK-3 $\beta$  and MEK inhibitors can promote tumor growth and activate the expression of downstream Wnt signaling factors, and their combined use has been shown to affect CSC maintenance and radiation sensitivity in cervical cancer [139]. However, a clinical trial of RO4929097 (a Notch inhibitor) combined with Vismodegib in the treatment of advanced sarcoma showed that although the combination therapy was well tolerated, Vimodegib reduced the plasma concentration of RO492909 and did not significantly improve the progression free survival of patients [140].

#### Epigenetic in the transcriptional regulation of CSCs

Epigenetics is reversible gene regulation method that involves variation in non-DNA sequences to affect transcriptional activity, the mechanisms include alterations in DNA methylation, histone modification, chromatin remodeling and non-coding RNA [9]. The generation of CSCs requires a reversible and heritable process to produce asymmetric division, which is of great significance for cancer initiation [141]. Epigenetic regulation of DNA accessibility and transcription is revealed to be involved in maintaining the characteristics of CSCs and promoting the occurrence and progression of cancer. Besides, multiple studies have reported that epigenetic mechanisms can hinder TFs binding to DNA, thereby regulating the transcription of CSC.

### **DNA methylation in CSCs**

DNA methylation is a chemical modification that silences genes by introducing methylated groups. It occurs in CpG dinucleotide and is mainly catalyzed by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs, DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B) [142]. Methyl-CpG-binding domain proteins can recruit histone-modifying and chromatin-remodeling complexes to change chromatin structure or DNA conformation and stability, or affect gene expression by regulating the recruitment of DNA binding proteins (TFs) [141, 142]. DNA methylation becomes unstable in tumors and tends to increase or decrease specifically in some CpG islands [143].

At present, the research on transcriptional regulation through DNA methylation in CSCs mainly focuses on the use of DNMT to guide the expression of CSC markers and stemness factors (Table 2). DNMT1 generally maintains methylation pattern and inhibits transcription. Targeting DNMT1 to regulate methylation of the CSC marker brain-expressed X-linked protein 1 (BEX1) can mediate Wnt/β-Catenin signaling to promote CSC self-renewal in HCC [144]. Experiments proved that down-regulating DNMT1 to reduce the methylation level of CpG in NANOG promoter can enhance the CSC capability of tumor cells, which is confirmed as an indicator of the conversion of non-CSCs to CSCs [145]. Decitabine is a drug that can consume DNMT1 and has been applied in multiple clinical trials to evaluate safety and efficacy, such as NCT03019003, NCT0266418, and NCT03875287. We can conjecture that hypomethylation is of great value in CSCs. However, it is intriguing that OCT4 and NANOG prefer combination with methylated CpG, which is enlightening for the transcriptional regulation of CSCs [143].

### Histone modification in CSCs

Histone is the fundamental structural protein of chromatin and forms nucleosome together with packaged DNA. The amino acid residues in the terminal tail of histone and spherical core domain can be modified to regulate gene expression, including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation and ubiquitination [141, 147]. Histone modification can not only induce local structural changes of chromatin to directly activate or inhibit transcription, but also bind effector proteins or chromatin remodeling

Cancer types	The measures taken to target DNA methylation	Dysregulation in cancer	Effects generated on CSCs and tumors
GBM	knock down <i>SOX2</i>	increase the methylation level of the NOTCH1 promoter	inhibit Notch signal transduction and hinder tumor invasion [80]
HCC	use DNMT1 inhibitor (zebularine); utilize miR-135a to target DNMT1	reduce the methylation of <i>BEX1</i> promoter; lower the methylation level of the <i>NANOG</i> promoter	induce the activation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling and promote the stemness of HCC [144]; enhance the CSC capability of tumor cells and regulate the transition from non- CSCs to CSCs [145]
CRC	use DNMT inhibitor (5-AzaDC)	down-regulate hypermethylation of SFRP1	inhibit the aggregation and stemness of CSC and hinder the invasion and distant metasta- sis of CRC [146]

Table 2	The DNA	methylation	disorder	of CSCs in	distinct cancers

complexes to indirectly drive genome response [147] (Table 3).

Histone methylation directly mediates or inhibits the recruitment of histone binding proteins to promote or inhibit the transcription of different gene sites, resulting in local or global changes in cancer [148]. It is reported that histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4) trimethylation can positively regulate transcription, its deletion on OCT4 and SOX2 motifs restricts the growth and activity of CSCs in GBM [149]. Histone lysine demethylase 4D (JMJD2D) reduced the level of histone 3 lysine 9 (H3K9) trimethylation on the promoter of *EpCAM* (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) and *SOX9* to enhance their expression, thus promoting recruitment and trans-activation of  $\beta$ -catenin and NICD1 [150].

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are often overexpressed in cancer, and they have been used as a target in CSCs to regulate transcription [151]. The deletion of histone HDAC11 promotes histone acetylation in its promoter region to increase the transcription and expression of LKB1, thus activating AMPK signaling pathway and inhibiting glycolysis pathway, leading to the inactivation of signaling pathways and disorder of stemness in CSCs [152]. HDAC7 inhibits histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27) acetylation of super enhancers (SEs) and TFs stemness related genes in breast cancer stem cells to enhance transcriptional activity [153]. A combination of DNMT and HDAC inhibitors was used in mouse models, significantly reducing CSC abundance and prolonging the survival time [154]. A clinical study used HDAC inhibitor Chidamide, Decitabine and immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination for the treatment of recurrent/ refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and advanced solid tumors (NCT05320640).

In addition, histone lactylation has been shown to directly stimulate chromatin to regulate transcription and participate in tumor metabolism [155]. It is uncovered that the increased lactylation of H3 histone effectively promotes the progression of HCC and induces the tumorigenicity of CSCs [156].

#### Chromatin remodeling in CSCs

Chromatin remodeling refers to the alteration of chromatin packaging state and nucleosome structure and position in the process of gene expression, which is regulated by a variety of complexes and factors, and the mechanism is not completely clear. Switch/sucrose-nonfermented (SWI/SNF) chromatin remodeling complex (also known as BAF complex) exerts effect on human cancer, which can promote gene activation or inhibit TFs binding [158].

There are few studies on the regulation of CSC transcription by chromatin remodeling (Table 4). The research shows that carcinogenic MUC1-C protein directly activates the components of BAF complex upregulated by TF E2F1, resulting in the increased expression of *NOTCH1* and *NANOG*, which facilitates the self-renewal of CSCs in prostate cancer [159]. There is evidence that Zinc finger and SCAN domain containing 4 (ZSCAN4) induce chromatin remodeling of the pluripotent gene promoter and maintain the phenotype of CSCs, but the specific relationship is not clear [160].

#### Non-coding RNA in CSCs

The role of miRNA, lncRNA and circRNA in CSCs has been described in detail, but there is limited research on the regulation of CSC epigenetics. Here are pieces of evidences of non-coding RNA in CSC epigenetics. Experiments proved that miR-135a can act on DNMT1 to reduce DNA methylation of *NANOG* promoter, thereby enhancing CSC capacity [145]. LncRNA HotairM1 recruited several TFs into the promoter of its target gene *HOXA1*, resulting in histone H3K27 trimethylation and epigenetic silencing of *HOXA1*, and then induced H3K27 acetylation at *NANOG* gene enhancer site to up-regulate its expression [157]. The accumulation of NANOG further inhibits HOXA1, thus forming a mutual regulatory

Cancer types	The measures taken to target histone modification	Dysregulation in cancer	Effects generated on CSCs and tumors
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC)	apply HDAC inhibitor (domatinostat)	block the expression and function of FOXM1	target CSC compartment and alter redox homeostasis of CSC, induce antitumor effect and make PDAC sensitive to chemotherapy drugs [54]
НСС	apply histone demethylase JMUD2D; remove HDAC11	enhance the expression of CSC markers ( <i>EpCAM</i> and <i>SOX9</i> ); facilitate histone acetylation of the <i>LKB1</i> promoter region	enhance Wnt and Notch signaling transduction, promote self-renewal capability of CSC [150]; hinder cancer stemness, HCC progression and sorafenib resistance [152]
Breast cancer	knock down or inhibit HDAC1 and HDAC3; combination of DNMT inhibitor (5-azacytidine) and HDAC inhibitor (butyrate)	results in HDAC7 downregulation and inhibit the tran- scription of oncogenes, target CSCs to reactivate the tumor suppressor genes	suppress the phenotype of CSCs [153]; block tumor occurrence and growth [154]
CRC	exhaust HotairM1 (IncRNA)	lead to H3K27 trimethylation at the <i>HOXA1</i> gene pro- moter site and epigenetic silencing	induce H3K27 acetylation at the NANOG gene enhancer site to up-regulate the expression, promote self-renewal of CSC and tumor spread [157]

S
0
ğ
a
0
IJ
.⊑
ist
ō
.⊆
S
8
Ŭ
Qf
Ľ
8
ž
is.
σ
÷
g
Ę
ö
2
$\succeq$
é
õ
ist
$\subseteq$
ē
È
~
a)
Ť
ak
F.

Cancer types	The measures taken to target chromatin	Dysregulation in cancer	Effects generated on CSCs and tumors
	Temodeling		
Prostate cancer	utilize oncogenic MUC1-C protein to activate BAF complex	induce NANOG expression and drive Notch signal	promote CSC stemness and tumor progres- sion [159]
HNSCC	aided by ZSCAN4 induction to facilitate chromatin remodeling	lead to a functional histone 3 hypera- cetylation at the promoters of OCT3/4 and NANOG	maintain CSC phenotype and increase CSC frequency [160]
TNBC	utilize oncogenic MUC1-C protein to pro- mote chromatin remodeling	induce the expression of OCT4, SOX2, KLF4 and MYC	drive the state and stemness of CSC [161]

Table 4 The chromatin remodeling disorder of CSCs in distinct cancers

loop to maintain the development and self-renewal of CSCs [157]. In addition, it is reported in previous study that lncTCF7 recruits SWI/SNF complexes onto the promoter of *TCF7* to trigger *TCF7* expression and activate Wnt signaling subsequently, thereby enhancing the tumorigenic ability of liver CSCs [162].

#### **DNA elements of CSCs**

The cis-acting elements involved in gene activation and transcriptional regulation in DNA are mainly promoters and enhancers. Promoter is a DNA sequence recognized, bound and transcribed by RNA polymerase, which has a highly flexible transcription initiation site (TSS) architecture [163]. Promoter DNA sequence and its binding sites to specific TFs regulate transcription and mediate gene expression variability [164]. Enhancers can activate or enhance gene transcription by recruiting TFs, cofactors and chromatin complexes to promoters [165]. Enhancer RNA (eRNA) transcribed from enhancers has been manifested to facilitate tumorigenesis [166]. Promoter and enhancer act as elements of hypomethylation region to regulate gene expression [142]. People gradually realize that the difference between enhancers and promoters is not obvious, which possess similar chromatin and sequence structure [163, 165]. Some promoters have enhancer activity, and active enhancers can combine with more distal TFs at their boundaries to drive local transcription initiation [163].

The transcriptional regulation of CSC promoter usually facilitates the expression of pluripotent genes through combination with TFs or epigenetic pathway, which is summarized in detail in the previous article. It is worth noting that in addition to binding to RNA, RBP also exhibits a preference for gene promoters and interacts with TFs to regulate transcription [167]. For example, YY1 (Yin Yang 1, RNA dependent TF) and RBM25 (RBP involved in splicing regulation) have interactions, where RBM25 first binds to the target gene promoter and then recruits YY1 into these promoters [167]. YY1 emerges as important regulator in the expression and activity of SOX2, OCT4, BMI-1, and NANOG to affect the stemness of CSCs [168]. Besides, YY1 interacts with cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDK9) to regulate transcriptional elongation in GSCs, thereby inducing interferon response and reconnecting the tumor microenvironment of GBM [169]. This brings new insights into the transcriptional regulation of CSC.

In the field of CSC enhancer research, in addition to TF binding and epigenetic modification, SEs have gradually entered the vision of researchers. The enhancer cluster formed by the series of large linear enhancers is called SEs, which has higher transcriptional activation ability and has been identified as the driving factor of carcinogenesis [170]. Research demonstrates that SEs may be used as targets for the treatment of HNSCC by eliminating CSCs. It has been proved that bromodomain containing protein 4 (BRD4) recruits NF- $\kappa$ B p65 to form SEs on cancer stem gene, and their destruction can effectively inhibit the invasion and metastasis of CSCs [171]. Knockout of TNBC specific gene ANLN driven by SEs inhibits the stemness of TNBC [172].

## Environment changes affect transcriptional regulation of CSCs

During the process of tumor occurrence and progression, CSCs face pressure from the surrounding environment, hypoxia and metabolism (Fig. 1F). These stimuli can regulate the proliferation, differentiation, and migration of CSCs at the transcriptional level, which supplies new course and insights for cancer treatment.

#### TME regulates the transcription of CSC

There are cancer cells surrounded by non-malignant cells, including a rich variety of immune cells, cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs), vascular systems, extracellular matrix (ECM) and other types of cells that vary depending on the tissue in TME, which constitute a highly structured ecosystem [173]. These pert-cancer cells release growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, miRNAs, and extracellular vesicles to enhance the crosstalk between CSCs and TME, in order to achieve stemness and drug resistance [174]. CSC, differentiated cancer cells and various non-malignant cells interact to survive and invade in harsh ecological niches [9]. We will illustrate how diverse

components of TME influence the transcriptional regulation of CSCs (Fig. 2).

#### Immune cells regulate transcription of CSCs

CSC is proposed as a driving factor of intratumoral heterogeneity, and the negative associations between immune cell infiltration and intratumoral heterogeneity have been reported [175]. It is suggested that tumor associated macrophages (TAM), dendritic cells (DC), myeloid suppressor cells (MDSC), tumor associated neutrophils (TAN), lymphocytes, regulatory T cells, and cytotoxic T cells have an impact on the phenotype and function of CSCs [176]. Conversely, immune related signaling programs can be reprogrammed to promote tumor immune



**Fig. 2** Multiple mechanisms of environmental stress regulating CSC transcription **A** The immune cells in TME activate the STAT, Wnt, AKT and Notch pathway of CSC by mainly releasing cytokines (IL-6) and inducing contact. CAFs secrete various substances (cytokines, POSTN, miRNA) to stimulate distinct pathways of CSC (Wnt/β-Catenin, PI3K/AKT/mTOR, STAT). ECM exerts effect on the PI3K and Hh pathways, as well as transcription of pluripotent TFs through mechanical stress and CSC markers (CD44). **B** Hypoxia causes the production of HIF and ROS. HIF-1 secretes miRNAs and activates the Wnt, Notch, PI3K/mTOR pathways, as well as acts on histone modifications. HIF-2 tends to directly regulate the gene expression of various TFs. Hypoxia induced ROS may support a transcription promoting role of CSCs. **C** OXPHOS occurring in the mitochondria of CSC can indirectly affect (through the release of ROS) or directly bear on the expression and effect of TFs. The alterations of mitochondrial function and state (mitochondrial fission and mitophagy) regulate the expression of TFs. The key enzymes in the CSC glycolysis process act on TF through the Hippo pathway and cell marker (CD133). Lactate metabolism plays a role in histone modification (lactylation) of CSC and ubiquitination of TF proteins. Lipid metabolism (LDs and FAO) is associated with the Wnt, Notch, Hippo, Hh and PI3K/AKT pathways and makes a difference in histone modifications (acetylation). Gln deprivation promotes pluripotent gene silencing and increases histone trimethylation

evasion through the communication between CSCs and immune cells [177]. In addition, CSCs exert effect on the processing and expression of antigens, secretion of humoral factors, upregulation of immunosuppressive molecules (immune checkpoints) and ligands and released particles of immune cells to create an immuno-suppressive environment [178].

It is indicated that TAMs, MDSCs, and T cells mainly activate STAT proteins in CSCs by secreting various cytokines to regulate CSC stemness and induce CSC phenotype [179]. The secretion of IL-6 activates STAT3 to drive the production of CSC and EMT, which has received great attention [180]. Research shows that TAM derived IL-6 upregulates the expression of SOX2, OCT4 and NANOG through STAT3 pathway, and induces CSC enrichment in breast cancer [181]. There is evidence that targeting the CSC marker DCLK1 inhibits IL-6/STAT3 signaling to hinder CSC traits and chemotherapy resistance in TNBC cells [182]. Besides, TAM derived IL-10 acts on JAK1, thereby activating STAT1/ NF-κB/Notch1 signaling to promote CSC-like characteristics and tumor growth in NSCLC [183]. It is reported that MDSCs activate STAT3 signaling by stimulating the expression of colony stimulating factor 2 (CSF2) in epithelial ovarian cancer, thereby enhancing the transcription of SOX2, NANOG, OCT4a, C-MYC, and KLF4, as well as cancer stemness [184]. Napabucasin, as an effective STAT3 inhibitor, can downregulate the expression of CSC stemness genes and weaken the immunosuppressive ability of MDSCs, which has been reported to prolong the survival time of melanoma mice [185]. Napabucasin combined with traditional chemotherapy drugs in clinical trials has confirmed the safety and tolerance in the treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer, metastatic CRC and unresectable HCC, but the efficacy is not obvious [186-188].

The transcription regulation of CSC by immune cells also involves other signaling pathways. For example, TAMs have been proved to promote the expression and activity of  $\beta$ -Catenin in TNBC cells to induce EMT and CSC characteristics, which is explained by activation of the AKT signal by TAM derived CCL2 (chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 2) [189]. An enlightening finding is that TAM induces stem phenotype by activating the Notch pathway after contact with non-CSC cells in living tumors, which plays a crucial role in the metastatic spread of CSCs [190].

#### CAFs regulate transcription of CSCs

CAFs are activated fibroblasts with plasticity that can secrete regulatory factors to interact with immune cells and reshape the tumor ECM [191]. CAFs are divided into different subgroups with diverse surface markers. CD10

and GPR77 labeled CAFs subgroups can induce and maintain CSC stemness and chemoresistance in breast cancer and GC [192, 193]. Through paracrine signals and interactions with tumor cells, CAFs provide a support niche for CSCs [194] (Fig. 2A).

CAFs secrete periostin (POSTN) that activates protein tyrosine kinase 7 (PTK7) in HNSCC cells, leading to increased expression of  $\beta$ -Catenin and upregulation of CSC-like phenotype, proliferation and invasion [195]. The presence of CAFs can release cytokines to protect the PI3K/AKT pathway and transcriptional activation of CSCs in CRC [196]. CAF derived miR-146a-5p targets ARID3A and PRKAA1 in urothelial bladder cancer (UBC) cells to activate STAT3 and mTOR signals, promoting the stemness and chemical resistance of CSCs [197].

### ECM regulates transcription of CSCs

ECM is a non-cellular system composed of multiple macromolecules, providing mechanical clues and signaling molecules for tumors [198]. ECM stiffness is elaborately regulated by the environment and can act on various cells in TME to affect cancer progression [199]. It is reported that mechanical stress, environmental remodeling, regulatory factors and recruitment of non-malignant cells are involved in the regulation of CSCs by ECM [200].

There is evidence that breast cancer patients with stiff stroma have a lower response to drugs and a worse prognosis. It has been proved that matrix stiffness improves the transcriptional activity of NANOG through phase separation, leading to the enrichment of CSCs [76]. As one of the components of ECM, the binding of hyaluronic acid (HA) to CSC marker CD44 increases PI3K-4EBP1-SOX2 signaling, thereby enriching the CSC population and increasing CSC invasiveness [201]. An enzyme PEGPH20 that can decompose HA in combination with traditional chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer is in clinical trial (NCT02921022). Tenascin-C (TNC) is a type of macromolecular ECM glycoprotein that has been shown to upregulate the CSC marker LSD1 and affect the characteristics of CSCs through the Hh signaling pathway, thereby promoting the migration and invasion in CRC [202].

#### Hypoxia stress regulates the transcription of CSCs

CSCs and tumor cells are generally in a state of hypoxia during the occurrence and development of cancer. Hypoxia regulates the stemness and resistance of CSCs by mediating various TFs, signaling pathways, epigenetic modification factors and functional protein required for encoding CSC specifications [203] (Fig. 2B). Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) maintain oxygen homeostasis at the transcriptional level, which activates thousands of genes that mediate angiogenesis, CSC specifications, EMT, ECM remodeling and immune evasions [204]. HIF is characterized by oxygen reactivity  $\alpha$  subunits (HIF- $\alpha$ ) and stable  $\beta$  Subunit (HIF- $\beta$ ) composition, most studied in CSCs are HIF-1( $\alpha$ ) and HIF-2 $\alpha$ .

HIF-1α promotes angiogenesis, increase glycolysis reaction and affect apoptosis, which is mainly activated during acute hypoxia [205]. Multiple pieces of evidence prove that HIF-1 activates Wnt pathway through diverse means in CSCs. It is proved that stable knockdown of HIF-1 $\alpha$  suppresses the Wnt pathway and reduces the expression of NANOG and SOX2 in CSCs [206]. HIF-1a regulated miR-1275 has been shown to activate Wnt/ β-Catenin pathway and Notch signaling thus promoting CSC phenotype and stemness in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) cells [207]. Besides, HIF-1 can directly activate the transcription of calreticulin (CALR) in cancer cells to induce Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signal transduction, which exert effect on promoting the phenotype of CSCs in breast cancer [208]. It is intriguing that HIF-1 activates transcription of pluripotent factor genes through epigenetic pathways. The increased expression of HIF-1 dependent S100A10 can form complexes and interact with histone demethylase KDM6A, ultimately being recruited to the OCT4 binding site to erase H3K27 trimethylation chromatin markers and promote transcription of NANOG, SOX2 and KLF4 in breast cancer [209]. This process can be induced by chemotherapy [209].

In addition, various other pathways have also been shown to be related to HIF-1. Research demonstrates that hypoxic conditions can reduce the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologues), leading to activation of the PI3K/mTOR pathway and further accumulation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  to obtain CSC and EMT phenotypes [210]. Hypoxia promotes the activation of the Shh pathway to promote the expression of *NANOG*, *OCT4* and *SOX2*, while HIF-1 $\alpha$  silencing impairs the upregulation of Shh to inhibit the CSC population and EMT [211].

HIF-2α is more stable under chronic hypoxic conditions and tends to exhibit carcinogenic activity by regulating CSCs [205]. There is evidence that HIF-2α increases to the maximum value after 72 h of hypoxia, promoting expression of *KLF4*, *OCT4* and *β*-*Catenin* in CSCs [205]. MYC has been shown to regulate and bind to HIF-2, and NANOG and SOX2 may participate in this process to maintain self-renewal of CSCs [212]. Furthermore, chronic intermittent hypoxia exposure can activate mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS) and induce Bach1 (TF, BTB and CNC homology 1) to promote the expression of *NANOG*, *SOX2*, *OCT4* and cell markers in CSCs [213]. Currently, a variety of small molecule inhibitors targeting HIF-2α protein have been developed and also entered the clinical research stage, such as DFF332 (NCT04895748) and NKT2152 (NCT05119335).

In recent years, various drugs targeting the pluripotent TFs and signaling pathways of CSC have been developed, and it is proven that TME can synergistically inhibit the stemness of CSC. According to the stages of progress on these drugs, the table is summarized as follows (Table 5).

#### Metabolic stimulation regulates the transcription of CSCs

CSCs control metabolic status through diverse transcription programs, and metabolic alternations can impact on the transcription of CSCs (Fig. 2C). A number of CSCs prioritize mitochondrial oxidative metabolism to complete biological activities [241]. A 2D in vitro system cultured cells with galactose based on forced OXPHOS to enrich pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma CSCs, increase NANOG promoter activity and promote the expression of multiple pluripotent genes [242]. CSCs flexibly switch between oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and glycolysis as the main energy source to thrive in different settings [9, 243]. It is indicated that mitochondrial oxidative metabolism contributes to retention of the stems characteristics of CSCs, significantly inhibiting tumor spheroid formation in vitro and the expression of NANOG, SOX2, and KLF4 by knocking down the key regulatory factor *PGC-1* $\alpha$  of mitochondrial function in cholangiocarcinoma [244]. Suppression of mitochondrial biogenesis by mitochondrial fission factor (MFF) has been proved to inhibit the activity of CSCs in breast cancer [245]. There is evidence that mitophagy is highly active in CSCs and tends to drive Notch1 signaling to promote CSC amplification [246]. It is shown that mitophagy promotes MSX2 (muscle segment homobox 2) turnover and mediates the stemness of oral cancer CSC through SOX2 [247]. Besides, the pharmacological inhibition of mitophagy leads to the accumulation and translocation of mitochondrial phosphorylated p53 to the nucleus, which binds to the NANOG promoter to inhibit expression and induces elimination of CSCs [248]. In addition, it is reported that OXPHOS derived ROS activates AKT to promote Wnt/  $\beta$ -catenin signaling in CSCs of CRC, which can be triggered by non-CSC derived lactate [249]. A clinical trial using OXPHOS pathway inhibitor ME-344 combined with bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent metastatic CRC (NCT05824559).

In the case of sufficient oxygen, CSCs can mainly rely on glycolysis to obtain energy [243]. 6-phosphofructose-2-kinase (PFKFB3) serves as a rate limiting enzyme for glycolysis, the use of PFKFB3 inhibitors restrained the expression of *SOX2*, downregulated YAP/TAZ signaling, and enhanced the chemotherapy response of CSCs and EMT in SCLC [71]. Hexokinase 2 (HK2) is a key glycolytic enzyme that can interact with CD133 and promote

Drug name	Target	Mechanism	Condition	Stage	Usage	Outcome
Ursolic acid	C-WYC	Increases PTEN expression to inactivate the FAK/ PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway	Breast cancer	Experimental Study in vitro	Alone	Reduce the propor- tion of CSC and inhibit the migration and invasion of breast cancer [214]
Pongol methyl ether	OCT4, NANOG	Combine with AKT-1 to reduce signal transduc- tion	Primary lung cancer	Experimental Study in vitro	Alone	Suppress spheroid formation and reduce CSC- specific marker expression [215]
Flavonoids (Nobiletin and Xanthohumol)	Writ pathway	Reduce the activation of the Wnt pathway and down-regulate the expression of CD44	CRC	Experimental Study in vitro	In combination with 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin	Decrease stemness proper- ties of CSCs and sensitize CSCs to standard chemo- therapy [216]
MHY1485	mTOR pathway	Stimulate mTOR pathway and inhibit autophagy	Esophageal cancer	Experimental Study in vitro	Alone	Reduce CSC population and cancer stemness [217]
Atractylenolide I	PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway	Inhibit the uptake of extra- cellular vesicles carrying miR-200c to influence signal pathway	CRC	Experimental Study in vitro	Alone	Obstruct the metastasis of CRC [218]
Thiamine tetrahydrofurfu- ryl disulfide	SOX2, OCT4 and NANOG	Inhibit the expression of stem gene	ESCC	Experimental Study in vitro and vivo	In combination with cispl- atin/ concurrent chemora- diation therapy (CCRT)	Diminish stemness and enhance CCRT efficacy [219]
a-Mangostin	SOX2, OCT4 and NANOG	Induce mitochondrial apoptosis	Cervical carcinoma	Experimental Study in vitro and vivo	Monotherapy or in combination with Cisplatin	Synergically enhanced the cytotoxicity of cispl- atin on CSC and reduce the stemness and prolifera- tion of CSC [220]
A targeted co-delivery nanosystem of Salinomy- cin and doxorubicin	OCT4, NANOG	Redox-sensitive co-deliv- ery micelles decorated with oligohyaluronic acid as the active targeting moiety down-regulate stem gene	Breast cancer	Experimental Study in vitro and vivo	Combination therapy	Reduce the risk of tumor metastasis and effectively alleviate splenomegaly caused by malignant tumors [221]
Sulforaphane	SOX2, OCT4, Notch, SMO and GLI	Inhibit the expression of CSC related stem gene	HNSCC	Experimental Study in vitro and vivo	In combination with Cispl- atin or 5-fluorouracil	Inhibit CSC colony and tumor progression, enhance chemothera- peutics cytotoxicities against CSCs [222]
Rosiglitazone	SOX9, SOX2, OCT4 and NANOG	Up-regulate <i>ETS</i> homolo- gous factor (EHF) to inhibit transcription	PDAC	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy	Inhibit cancer stemness, suppress the crosstalk between PDAC and CSCs, sensitise PDAC to gemcit- abine therapy [223]

τij	
ज	
. <u>U</u>	
÷	
0	
5 t	
Ū	
8	
Ē	
ص	
<u> </u>	
an	
Ś	
ent	
Ě	
i Li	
ğ	-
ã	
0	
Ŭ	
E	
Ë	
T	
i	
Ę	
÷	
<u>e</u> .	-
SCI	
aD	
Ę	
S	
Ú	
D D	)
eti	
ğ	)
ta	
ds	)
Ð	
p	
<u>∟</u> .	
SSS	
дr	)
õ	
с_	
S	
Ĩ	
- 12	

Drug name	Target	Mechanism	Condition	Stage	Usage	Outcome
Glucosamine-labeled liposomal ceramide	OCT4, SOX2, CD44 and CD133	Target HIF-1α to block gene transcription	Lung cancer	Preclinical experiments in mice	In combination with pacli- taxel and carboplatin	Three quarters of mice have a high tumor clearance rate [224]
Wortmannin	SOX2, OCT4 and NANOG	Inhibit PI3K/AKT/TBX3 sig- nal transduction to down- regulate the expression of pluripotent gene	HCC	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy or in combination with Sorafenib	Reduce tumor size and weight [225]
Stattic	0C74	Block STAT3 phospho- rylation and activa- tion, thereby reducing the expression of CCL16 to target the Wnt pathway	Breast cancer	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy	Inhibit tumor growth and decrease tumor volume [226]
PTC596	BMI-1, OCT4	Directly hinder the expres- sion of <i>BMI-1</i>	Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC)	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy or in combination with Cisplatin	decrease the CSC fraction in tumors and prevent tumor relapse for 150 days [227]
SNS-032	KLF4, C-MYC	Inhibit cyclin dependent kinase 7/9 to obstruct transcription	Uveal melanoma	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy	Induce CSC elimination and reduce liver metastasis in tumor [228]
028-DWD	C-MYC, HIF-1a, TAM	Inhibit BRD4 to target HIF-1a, hinder the expres- sion of <i>C-MYC</i> and block the proliferation of TAM	Solid tumors and hemato- logical malignancies	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy	Show strong anti-tumor activities in nine mouse models [229]
Emodin	TGF-B pathway	Block TGF-β signal trans- duction to inhibit pluripo- tent TF and act on TAM	Breast cancer	Preclinical experiments in mice	Monotherapy	Inhibit postoperative lung metastasis and significantly increase the survival [230]
INCB057643	MYC	Target BET to hinder the transcription of <i>MYC</i>	Advanced malignancies	Phase I/II clinical trial	Monotherapy or in com- bination with standard- of-care	Possess targeted toxicity and limited anti-tumor activity [231]
BMS-986158	MYC	Target BET to hinder the transcription of <i>MYC</i>	Advanced malignancies	Phase I/IIa clinical trial	Monotherapy	Yield tolerable safety and preliminary antitumor activity [232]
Nirogacestat	Notch pathway	Inhibit y secretory enzymes	Desmoid Tumor	Phase III clinical trial	Monotherapy	Show significant benefits in various aspects [233]
CB-103	Notch pathway	Selectively inhibits the CSL-NICD interaction	ACC and hematologic malignancies	Phase I clinical trial	Monotherapy	Possess controllable safety and biological activity, but limited clinical anti- tumor activity [234]
Sonidegib	Hh pathway	Combine and inhibit SMO	Basal cell carcinoma	Phase II clinical trial	Monotherapy	Demonstrate sustained efficacy and a manageable safety profile [235]

Table 5 (continued)

Table 5 (continued)						
Drug name	Target	Mechanism	Condition	Stage	Usage	Outcome
Sonidegib	Hh pathway	Combine and inhibit SMO	Myeloid neoplasms	Phase I clinical trial	In combination with azac- itidine	Confirm the safety of the combination but yield limited response rate in patients [236]
Napabucasin	STAT3	Directly inhibit gene tran- scription driven by STAT3	Metastatic colorectal cancer	Phase I/II clinical trial	In combination with pem- brolizumab	Indicate antitumor activity with acceptable toxicities [237]
Decitabine	DNMT	Inhibit DNMT to lead to DNA hypomethylation and alter gene expression	Acute myeloid leukaemia	Phase II clinical trial	In combination with vene- toclax	Show a manageable safety profile and high activity, need future larger and ran- domised studies [238]
Chidamide	HDAC, Notch1, MYC	Inhibit HDAC and down- regulate the level of the intracellular form of Notch1 and MYC	Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma	Phase II clinical trial	In combination with pred- nisone, etoposide, and thalidomide	Display effectiveness, toler- ability, and economy [239]
Chidamide	HDAC, <i>Notch1</i> , <i>MYC</i>	Inhibit HDAC and down- regulate the level of the intracellular form of Notch1 and MYC	Advanced, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer	Phase III clinical trial	In combination with exemestane	Improve progression-free survival compared with pla- cebo plus exemestane [240]

the binding of ubiquitinase, thereby enhancing the stability of CD133 and boosting the expression of OCT4 [250]. Lactate is a metabolic product of glycolysis, which has gradually been proven to involve transcriptional regulation of CSCs. Lactate level is capable of exerting effect on histone lactylation to regulate gene transcription, migration and apoptosis of CSCs [156]. The activation of lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA) promotes the production of lactate and reduces the pH value, guiding the ubiquitination and stabilization of MYC mediated by the ubiquitinase to activate the *SLUG* promoter, which strengthens the characteristics of CSCs in breast cancer [47]. A purposeful discovery suggests that lactate coordinates PGC-1 $\alpha$  to maintain OXPHOS activity and facilitate the transfer potential of normoxic CSCs in CRC [251].

Moreover, abnormal lipid metabolism is related to the function of CSCs [252]. A growing body of research shows that lipid metabolism plays a significant role in transcriptional regulation of CSCs. Damaged lipid droplets (LDs) biosynthesis has been shown to inhibit the expression of CSC markers and the EMT process [253]. There is evidence that accumulation of LDs activates PPAR $\alpha$  in CSCs to sustain cancer stemness [254]. The enhanced adipogenic effect of human lung CSCs promotes the expression of TF SPDEF to drive OPA1 transcription, which furtherance mitochondrial fusion and expression of OCT4 in tumor spheres [255]. It is proved that lncROPM mediates lipid metabolism to activate PI3K/AKT, Wnt/β-Catenin and Hippo signaling to maintain CSC properties in breast cancer [256]. Stearoyl CoA Desaturase 1 (SCD1) is the central regulator of fat metabolism. The inhibitors of SCD1 downregulate the Wnt, Notch, and Hh signaling pathways and induce CSC death [257]. It is suggested that high expression of SCD1 enriches CSCs and increases the stemness and drug resistance in melanoma [258]. Under nutrient deficient conditions, CSCs can produce ATP through fatty acid oxidation (FAO) [252]. It is indicated that disturbance of FAO can target leukemia stem cells (LSCs) to affect their activity [259]. The FAO/ACLY (ATP citrate lyase) pathway has been reported to accelerate H3K27 acetylation of the NANOG promoter to induce dormancy of CRC cells, which provides new insights for further research in CSCs [260].

Glutamine (Gln) has been identified to be involved in regulating the fate of CSCs, with glutaminase (GLS) making a difference as a key target [261]. Targeting GLS1 and L-asparaginase to achieve Gln deprivation increases ROS levels and inhibits the Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin pathway, which impairs the tumorigenicity of CSC in vivo [262, 263]. It is shown that Gln deprivation leads to interactions between the expression of *MYC* members (*MYCN* and *C-MYC*), thereby damaging the phenotype and radiation

resistance of CSCs in neuroblastoma [264].In addition, there is evidence that Gln deprivation through regulation  $\alpha$ -Ketoglutaric acid ( $\alpha$ -KG, a cofactor of chromatin modifying enzymes) contributes to increased H3K27 trimethylation to induce CSC gene dysregulation, indicating that Gln can affect the characteristics of CSCs through epigenetic pathways. Telaglynastat inhibit tumor cell growth in clinical studies as a GLS inhibitor, such as NCT03831932 and NCT03528642.

### Other factors regulating CSC transcription

Based on recent relative research work, we feverishly discover that in addition to the participants in the transcription process and the influence of environmental stress, other factors involved in the regulation of CSC transcription alter the properties and biological behavior of CSCs, including cell markers, DDR and circadian rhythm (Fig. 3). Cell markers have long been identified as important targets for CSCs, and accumulating studies demonstrated their potential in transcriptional regulation. Effective DDR pathways protect CSCs from radiation and drug damage, while also playing a part in persistence of the stemness. The pleasant surprise is that the activation of circadian rhythm gene expression seems to be associated with the transcription of CSCs.

## The cell markers of CSC participate in transcriptional regulation

At present, there are no universal biomarkers for recognizing and identifying CSCs, but surface markers CD133, CD34, CD44, CD166, EpCAM and CD24 expression, as well as aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity, have been widely used to isolate CSCs of distinct tumor types [176, 243]. However, it is necessary to strictly define the CSC state through self-renewal and functional characteristics of tumor initiation, rather than just marker expression. Various cell markers regulate transcriptional regulation to induce CSC stemness (Fig. 1E).

A previous study showed that CD133 deficiency disrupts the PI3K/Akt and Wnt signaling pathways and significantly reduces cell proliferation in ESCs [265]. CD133-AKT-Wnt/β-Catenin axis has been demonstrated to promote brain tumor-initiating cells in GBM [266]. It is reported that silencing of CD133 reduces the expression of the CSC stem gene *KLF4* in CRC [267]. Targeting CD133 can inhibit CRC resistance through the AKT/NF- $\kappa$ B pathway [268]. CD44 can activate the PI3K/AKT and Wnt pathways as well as *C-MYC* transcription to promote EMT and stemness, also interact with various ligands in TME to regulate the expression of *OCT4*, *NANOG* and *SOX2* [201, 269]. Studies have shown that CD166 can stimulate the activation of AKT and YAP signals, and knocking down CD166 in ovarian



**Fig. 3** Regulating various aspects of CSC transcription from distinct perspectives The transcription of CSC involves TFs, epigenetic modification and cis-regulatory elements. Signal pathways, TME, hypoxia stress, metabolic stimulation, cell surface markers, DNA damage and circadian rhythm affect at least one of the three links to alter the phenotype and behavior of CSC

CSCs significantly reduces the expression of OCT4 and SOX2 [270]. In addition, other biomarkers not used for identification also target the signaling pathway of CSCs and the regulation of TFs. For example, CD73 can promote mRNA expression of stem related genes such as NANOG, SOX2, OCT4, SOX9 and C-MYC in CSCs of HCC. The expression of SOX9 is particularly affected, which is achieved by activating the AKT signaling [271]. According to reports, CD70 connects CD27 to LSC to induce activation of the Wnt pathway and trigger NF- κ B signal [272]. In a phase I clinical trial, targeting CD70 with cusatuzumab resulted in the elimination of LSC in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [273]. These 12 elderly AML patients who had not been treated in the past showed a significant reduction in LSC by up to 50 times after receiving cusatuzumab monotherapy. Then, these patients received treatment with cusatuzumab combined with azacitidine, with an overall response rate of 100% (8 cases achieved complete response). Currently, various antibodies targeting the surface specific marker CD123 of CSC are in clinical trials, including vibecotamab (NCT05285813), Flotetuzumab (NCT04158739) and SL-401 (NCT03113643). In addition, clinical studies combining EpCAM antibodies with chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR-T) technology are also underway, such as NCT02915445 and NCT05028933.

## DNA damage of CSC participates in transcriptional regulation

After immune and therapeutic mediated DNA damage, DNA repair reactions and DNA checkpoints are activated to enhance the resistance of CSCs [274]. Interference with DDR through Spironolactone has been proven to enable CSC to bypass resistance and result in growth inhibition [275]. It is reported that radiotherapy or chemotherapy induced DNA repair leads to tumor cells reprogramming into CSCs, which hastens the biogenesis of CSCs in GC [276]. DNA repair proteins can not only bind to target genes or TFs (such as KLF4 and MYC) to affect transcription programs, but also crosstalk with PI3K/AKT, TGF- $\beta$ , Wnt and Notch signaling pathway to induce EMT and promote CSC evolution [277]. AZD6738 can inhibit Rad3 related proteins to target DDR and has been used in phase I/II clinical trials to treat solid tumor patients, including NCT05514132, NCT03682289, and NCT03579316. DNA double-strand break (DSB) is a crucial link in DNA repair response. The medium of homologous recombination repair (HRR), TONSL, exerts effect on DSB. Experiments demonstrated high expression of *TONSL* prompted co-amplification of *MYC* and upregulation of the transcription, which significantly influenced the survival of CSCs [278]. DNA damage checkpoint kinase (CHK) is gradually regarded as a target for CSCs. Research shows C-MYC targets CHK1 and CHK2 to inhibit DDR and eliminate CSC characteristics [279]. It has been proven that suppression of CHK1 sensitizes drug-resistant CSCs in CRC [280]. However, we still lack specific evidence that DNA damage checkpoints regulate CSC gene expression and induce CSC stemness.

## The core clock TFs of CSC participate in e transcriptional regulation

The circadian rhythm controls complex biological activities, and core clock genes play a crucial role in regulating the circadian rhythm. As main core clock TFs, BMAL1 and CLOCK monitor the cell cycle and metabolic homeostasis of CSCs in GBM [281]. Knockdown of CLOCK significantly reduces the expression of OCT4 and NANOG and results in Wnt/β-Catenin pathway inactivation in CSCs of lung cancer [282]. According to the analysis, H3K27 acetylation and trimethylation modifications may mediate the binding of BMAL1 to the promoter, which enables reprogramming and drives new transcription functions [281]. It is proved that CLOCK and BMAL1 promote the infiltration of immunosuppressive microglia into TME through upregulation of chemokine OLFML3 (CLOCK-directed olfactomedinlike 3) transcription to induce CSC stemness and support GBM [283]. Further research shows that this process may be achieved through CLOCK-OLFML3-HIF( $1\alpha$ )-LGMN(legumain)-CD162 axis [284]. Besides, experiments suggest that CLOCK-OLFML3-HIF-1a mediates upregulation of POSTN transcription, acting on TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) signaling in endothelial cells to induce angiogenesis [285]. However, there is evidence that BMAL1 inhibits the Hippo pathway but promotes Wnt signaling in intestinal CSCs, and the absence of BMAL1 activates YAP1 protein to enhance self-renewal [286].

### **Conclusions and perspectives**

In summary, CSC is a special cell group that can promote cancer progression and recurrence, assisting cancer cells continue to grow and proliferate under interference from chemotherapy and radiation therapy. In addition to excessive activation of DDR and alterations in cellular metabolism, the increased expression of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters in CSC enables drug efflux to avoid damage, thereby achieving MDR to hinder effective cancer treatment. The characteristic pluripotent TFs or signaling pathways in CSC interfere with each other, and the disorders lead to poor clinical prognosis in cancer patients, including OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, MYC, Wnt/ β-Catenin, Notch, Hh, hippo, NF-κB and PI3K/AKT. Epigenetic modifications, particularly DNA methylation and histone acetylation, have been shown to exert effect on the transcription of pluripotent genes. The importance and effects of SEs are attracting people's attention. Other regulatory factors also play a significant role in TFs binding or signal transduction and the function of CSC, including non-coding RNA, RNA modification, TAM, MDSC, CAF, ECM, hypoxia, metabolism, cell markers, DDR, and core clock TFs.

A series of clinical trials targeting CSC transcription have been completed or are currently underway, covering agents targeting CSC surface biomarkers, TF protein targeting drugs, signaling pathway inhibitors and epigenetic modification enzyme inhibitors. In addition, some agents specifically for the microenvironment and metabolism have also been developed, which can affect the transcription and properties of CSC in a non-specific manner. Simultaneously targeting different links of CSC transcription can better inhibit cancer stemness and eliminate CSCs, such as the combination of signaling pathway inhibitors, or DNMT and HDAC inhibitors. Clinical administration tends to combine CSC transcription related agents with existing treatment regimens (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, targeted drugs, immune checkpoint inhibitors) to treat recurrent or refractory cancer patients. the application of non-coding RNAs, the epigenetic regulation and the promotion of environmental alterations. This illustrates that CSC serves as the key to cancer treatment and drug-resistance, and the transcription of CSC is the crux to targeting CSC.

Although targeting TFs and signaling pathways is currently vital and widely studied, regulating the transcription of CSC to improve cancer treatment efficacy faces many challenges. Firstly, TFs possess "undruggable" property and drugs that directly target the activity of pluripotent TFs in CSC have not yet been rigorously clinically validated. Secondly, based on the similarity of signaling pathways between CSCs and normal cells, not all signaling pathway inhibitors can be used in cancer treatment. Thirdly, the CSC model may not be applicable to all types of cancer and there are no unified and specific biomarkers for identification. Fourthly, the transcriptional regulatory network of CSC exhibits heterogeneity in distinct tumors, a large amount of research and evidence are required to investigate. Fifthly, non-coding RNAs play a great role in CSC transcriptional regulation,

but available drugs have not yet been developed. Sixth, the microenvironment and metabolic characteristics of CSC are significant for regulating transcription, but such studies are mostly limited to non-specific cytokines and metabolic pathways. Seventh, there are already quite a few drugs and trials targeting CSC surface biomarkers, most of which can be tolerated by patients but have less than ideal therapeutic effects. It is supposed to find more valid therapeutic agents or treatment plans.

#### Abbreviations

CSC	Cancer stem cell
TF	Transcription factor
TME	Tumor microenvironment
EMT	Epithelial-mesenchymal transition
MET	Mesenchymal-epithelial transition
EMP	Enithelial mesenchymal plasticity
GBM	Glioblastoma
VECE	Vascular opdetholial growth factor
VEGF	
DDR	
ESC	Embryonic stem cell
OC14	Octamer-binding transcription factor 4
SOX2	Sry-related HMG box 2
KLF4	Kruppel-like factor 4
HNSCC	Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
GSC	Glioma stem cells
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
NSCLC	Non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma
HOX	Homeobox
ABI2	Abelson interaction factor 2
CRC	Colorectal cancer
MIZ-1	MYC-Interacting Zinc Finger Protein 1
MB	Medulloblastoma
FOX	Forkhead box protein
GC	Gastric cancer
Hh	Hedgebog
GPCB	Atypical G protein coupled receptor
L RP	I DL recentor related protein
	Dishevelled protein
ROR	Becentor tyrosine kinase-like ornhan recentor
	Notch intracellular domain
	CBF-1/Suppressor of Hamess/Lag1
DLL	Delta-like liganu
JAG	Jagged
PICH	Patched protein
SIMO	Smoothened protein
GLI	Glioma-associated oncogene nomolog
MST1/2	Serine threonine kinase3/4 (STK3/4)
LAIS	Large tumor suppressor kinase
YAP	Yes-associated protein
TAZ	Trascriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif
TEAD	Transcriptional enhanced associate domain
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor β
MDR	Multi-drug resistance
JAK	Janus kinase
STAT	Signal transducer and activator of transcription
PI3K	Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase
AKT	Serine/threonine kinase
mTOR	Mammalian target of rapamycin
NF-ĸB	Nuclear factor-kappa B
ESCC	Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
PPAR	Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
FGF	Fibroblast growth factor
FGFR	FGF receptor
ZEB1	Zinc finger E-box binding to homologous box 1
HSP	Heat shock protein
RBP	RNA binding protein
miRNA	MicroRNA

IncRNA	Long non-coding RNA
ceRNA	Competitive endogenous RNA
circRNA	Circular RNA
HuR	Hu antigen R
msc mcA	5-Methylcytosine
	No-methyladenosine
	I biquitin protessome system
Back1	Becentor for activated C kinase 1
TNBC	Triple negative breast cancer
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
BEX1	Brain-expressed X-linked protein 1
H3K4	Histone 3 lysine 4
JMJD2D	Histone lysine demethylase 4D
H3K9	Histone 3 lysine 9
ЕрСАМ	Epithelial cell adhesion molecule
HDAC	Histone deacetylase
H3K27	Histone 3 lysine 27
SE	Super enhancer
SVVI/SINF	SWItch/sucrose-noniermented
σαγγ 7ςς Δινία	Zinc finger and SCAN domain containing A
TSS	Transcription initiation site
eRNA	Enhancer RNA
YY1	Yin Yang 1
CDK9	Cyclin-dependent kinase 9
BRD4	Bromodomain containing protein 4
CAF	Cancer associated fibroblast
ECM	Extracellular matrix
ТАМ	Tumor associated macrophage
DC	Dendritic cell
MDSC	Myeloid suppressor cell
	Colopy stimulating factor 2
	Coloriy stimulating factor 2 Chemokine (C=C motif) ligand 2
POSTN	Periostin
PTK7	Protein tyrosine kinase 7
HA	Hyaluronic acid
TNC	Tenascin-C
HIF	Hypoxia inducible factor
luad	Lung adenocarcinoma
CALR	Calreticulin
PIEN	Phosphatase and tensin homologues
KOS De ele 1	Reactive oxygen species
Bachi	Adappid cyclic carcinoma
MFF	Mitochondrial fission factor
PFKFB3	6-Phosphofructose-2-kinase
HK2	Hexokinase 2
LDHA	Lactate dehydrogenase A
LD	Lipid droplet
SCD1	Stearoyl CoA Desaturase 1
FAO	Fatty acid oxidation
LSC	Leukemia stem cell
ACLY	ATP citrate iyase
	Glutaminaso
a-KG	g-Ketoglutaric acid
ALDH	Aldehvde dehvdrogenase
AML	Acute myeloid leukemia
CAR-T	Chimeric antigen receptor T cell
DSB	DNA double-strand break
HRR	Homologous recombination repair
СНК	Checkpoint kinase
OLFML3	CLOCK-directed olfactomedin-like 3
LGMN	Legumain
IBK1	IANK binding kinase 1
ARC	ATP binding cassette

#### Acknowledgements

We use BioRender (https://biorender.com/) to create figures.

#### Authors' contributions

YJ Z conceived the work. ZY Z wrote the main manuscript text. YJ Z and ZY Z revised the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82072638 to YZ).

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

### Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 4 December 2023 Accepted: 21 March 2024 Published online: 02 April 2024

#### References

- 1. Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature. 2001;414(6859):105–11.
- 2. Trumpp A, Haas S. Cancer stem cells: The adventurous journey from hematopoietic to leukemic stem cells. Cell. 2022;185:1266–70.
- Velten L, Story BA, Hernández-Malmierca P, Raffel S, Leonce DR, Milbank J, Paulsen M, Demir A, Szu-Tu C, Frömel R, Lutz C, Nowak D, Jann J-C, Pabst C, Boch T, Hofmann W-K, Müller-Tidow C, Trumpp A, Haas S, Steinmetz LM:Identification of leukemic and pre-leukemic stem cells by clonal tracking from single-cell transcriptomics'. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1366.
- 4. Yang L, Shi P, Zhao G, Xu J, Peng W, Zhang J, Zhang G, Wang X, Dong Z, Chen F, Cui H. Targeting cancer stem cell pathways for cancer therapy. Sig Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5:8.
- Pajuelo-Lozano N, Alcala S, Sainz B Jr, Perona R, Sanchez-Perez I. Targeting MAD2 modulates stemness and tumorigenesis in human Gastric Cancer cell lines. Theranostics. 2020;10:9601–18.
- Wei M, Nurjanah U, Li J, Luo X, Hosea R, Li Y, Zeng J, Duan W, Song G, Miyagishi M, Kasim V, Wu S. YY2-DRP1 Axis Regulates Mitochondrial Fission and Determines Cancer Stem Cell Asymmetric Division. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2023;10:e2207349.
- Dongre A, Weinberg RA. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20:69–84.
- Verstappe J, Berx G. A role for partial epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in enabling stemness in homeostasis and cancer. Semin Cancer Biol. 2023;90:15–28.
- Huang Tianzhi, Song Xiao, Dandan Xu, Tiek Deanna, Goenka Anshika, Bingli Wu, Sastry Namratha, Bo Hu, Cheng Shi-Yuan. Stem cell programs in cancer initiation, progression, and therapy resistance. Theranostics. 2020;10:8721–43.
- 10. Prager BC, Xie Q, Bao S, Rich JN. Cancer Stem Cells: The Architects of the Tumor Ecosystem. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;24:41–53.
- Xie XP, Laks DR, Sun D, Ganbold M, Wang Z, Pedraza AM, Bale T, Tabar V, Brennan C, Zhou X, Parada LF. Quiescent human glioblastoma cancer stem cells drive tumor initiation, expansion and recurrence following chemotherapy. Developmental cell. 2022;57:32–46.

- 12. Steinbichler, Teresa Bernadette, Dragana Savic, József Dudás, Irma Kvitsaridze, Sergej Skvortsov, Herbert Riechelmann, and Ira-Ida Skvortsova. 'Cancer stem cells and their unique role in metastatic spread'. Seminars in cancer biology. 2020; 60: 148–56.
- 13. Abad E, Graifer D, Lyakhovich A. DNA damage response and resistance of cancer stem cells. Cancer Lett. 2020;474:106–17.
- 14. Zhang R, Tu J, Liu S. Novel molecular regulators of breast cancer stem cell plasticity and heterogeneity. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;82:11–25.
- Rodriguez J, Larson DR. Transcription in Living Cells: Molecular Mechanisms of Bursting. Annu Rev Biochem. 2020;89:189–212.
- Pope SD, Medzhitov R. Emerging Principles of Gene Expression Programs and Their Regulation. Mol Cell. 2018;71:389–97.
- 17. Cramer P. Organization and regulation of gene transcription. Nature. 2019;573:45–54.
- Oudelaar AM, Higgs DR. The relationship between genome structure and function. Nat Rev Genet. 2021;22:154–68.
- Popp AP, Hettich J, Gebhardt JCM. Altering transcription factor binding reveals comprehensive transcriptional kinetics of a basic gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:6249–66.
- 20. Quintero-Cadena P, Lenstra TL, Sternberg PW. RNA Pol II Length and Disorder Enable Cooperative Scaling of Transcriptional Bursting. Mol Cell. 2020;79:207–20 (**e8**).
- Ravi VM, Will P, Kueckelhaus J, Sun N, Joseph K, Salie H, Vollmer L, Kuliesiute U, von Ehr J, Benotmane JK, Neidert N, Follo M, Scherer F, Goeldner JM, Behringer SP, Franco P, Khiat M, Zhang J, Hofmann UG, Fung C, Ricklefs FL, Lamszus K, Boerries M, Ku M, Beck J, Sankowski R, Schwabenland M, Prinz M, Schuller U, Killmer S, Bengsch B, Walch AK, Delev D, Schnell O, Heiland DH. Spatially resolved multi-omics deciphers bidirectional tumor-host interdependence in glioblastoma. Cancer Cell. 2022;40:639–55 (e13).
- 22. Lytle NK, Barber AG, Reya T. Stem cell fate in cancer growth, progression and therapy resistance. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18:669–80.
- Wang DC, Wang X. Clinical significance of spatiotemporal transcriptional bursting and control. Clin Transl Med. 2021;11:e518.
- Chaudhary A, Raza SS, Haque R. Transcriptional factors targeting in cancer stem cells for tumor modulation. Semin Cancer Biol. 2023;88:123–37.
- Yan Q, Fang X, Li C, Lan P, Guan X. Oncofetal proteins and cancer stem cells. Essays Biochem. 2022;66:423–33.
- Cui G, Xu Y, Cao S, Shi K. Inducing somatic cells into pluripotent stem cells is an important platform to study the mechanism of early embryonic development. Mol Reprod Dev. 2022;89:70–85.
- Vishnubalaji R, Shaath H, Al-Alwan M, Abdelalim EM, Alajez NM. Reciprocal interplays between MicroRNAs and pluripotency transcription factors in dictating stemness features in human cancers. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;87:1–16.
- Fatma, H., and H. R. Siddique.'Cancer cell plasticity, stem cell factors, and therapy resistance: how are they linked?'. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2023.
- Mohiuddin IS, Wei SJ, Kang MH. Role of OCT4 in cancer stem-like cells and chemotherapy resistance. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2020;1866:165432.
- Nathansen J, Lukiyanchuk V, Hein L, Stolte MI, Borgmann K, Lock S, Kurth I, Baumann M, Krause M, Linge A, Dubrovska A. Oct4 confers stemness and radioresistance to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma by regulating the homologous recombination factors PSMC3IP and RAD54L. Oncogene. 2021;40:4214–28.
- Chen X, Niu W, Fan X, Yang H, Zhao C, Fan J, Yao X, Fang Z. Oct4A palmitoylation modulates tumorigenicity and stemness in human glioblastoma cells. Neuro Oncol. 2023;25:82–96.
- Liu HL, Tang HT, Yang HL, Deng TT, Xu YP, Xu SQ, Peng L, Wang Z, Fang Q, Kuang XY, Li QS. Oct4 Regulates the Transition of Cancer Stem-Like Cells to Tumor Endothelial-Like Cells in Human Liver Cancer. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:563316.
- Novak D, Hüser L, Elton JJ, Umansky V, Altevogt P, Utikal J. SOX2 in development and cancer biology. Seminars in cancer biology. 2020;67:74–82.
- Schaal CM, Bora-Singhal N, Kumar DM, Chellappan SP. Regulation of Sox2 and stemness by nicotine and electronic-cigarettes in nonsmall cell lung cancer. Mol Cancer. 2018;17:149.

- Yin Y, Xie CM, Li H, Tan M, Chen G, Schiff R, Xiong X, Sun Y. The FBXW2-MSX2-SOX2 axis regulates stem cell property and drug resistance of cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116:20528–38.
- Najafzadeh, B., Z. Asadzadeh, R. Motafakker Azad, A. Mokhtarzadeh, A. Baghbanzadeh, H. Alemohammad, M. Abdoli Shadbad, P. Vasefifar, S. Najafi, and B. Baradaran.'The oncogenic potential of NANOG: An important cancer induction mediator.' J Cell Physiol. 2021; 236: 2443–58.
- Yoon, C., J. Lu, B. C. Yi, K. K. Chang, M. C. Simon, S. Ryeom, and S. S. Yoon/PI3K/Akt pathway and Nanog maintain cancer stem cells in sarcomas. Oncogenesis. 2021; 10: 12.
- Chen J, Li H, Zhang B, Xiong Z, Jin Z, Chen J, Zheng Y, Zhu X, Zhang S. ABI2-mediated MEOX2/KLF4-NANOG axis promotes liver cancer stem cell and drives tumour recurrence. Liver Int. 2022;42:2562–76.
- 39. Zhu Y, Huang S, Chen S, Chen J, Wang Z, Wang Y, Zheng H. SOX2 promotes chemoresistance, cancer stem cells properties, and epithelialmesenchymal transition by beta-catenin and Beclin1/autophagy signaling in colorectal cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12:449.
- 40. Yin X, Zhang BH, Zheng SS, Gao DM, Qiu SJ, Wu WZ, Ren ZG. Coexpression of gene Oct4 and Nanog initiates stem cell characteristics in hepatocellular carcinoma and promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition through activation of Stat3/Snail signaling. J Hematol Oncol. 2015;8:23.
- Pedregal-Mallo D, Hermida-Prado F, Granda-Diaz R, Montoro-Jimenez I, Allonca E, Pozo-Agundo E, Alvarez-Fernandez M, Alvarez-Marcos C, Garcia-Pedrero JM, Rodrigo JP. Prognostic Significance of the Pluripotency Factors NANOG, SOX2, and OCT4 in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12:1794.
- 42. He Z, He J, Xie K. KLF4 transcription factor in tumorigenesis. Cell Death Discov. 2023;9:118.
- Wang Y, Zheng S, Han J, Li N, Ji R, Li X, Han C, Zhao W, Zhang L. LINC00629 protects osteosarcoma cell from ER stress-induced apoptosis and facilitates tumour progression by elevating KLF4 stability. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022;41:354.
- Qi XT, Li YL, Zhang YQ, Xu T, Lu B, Fang L, Gao JQ, Yu LS, Zhu DF, Yang B, He QJ, Ying MD. KLF4 functions as an oncogene in promoting cancer stem cell-like characteristics in osteosarcoma cells. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2019;40:546–55.
- Deng X, Kong F, Li S, Jiang H, Dong L, Xu X, Zhang X, Yuan H, Xu Y, Chu Y, Peng H, Guan M. A KLF4/PiHL/EZH2/HMGA2 regulatory axis and its function in promoting oxaliplatin-resistance of colorectal cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12:485.
- Shang Y, Zhu Z, Zhang Y, Ji F, Zhu L, Liu M, Deng Y, Lv G, Li D, Zhou Z, Lu B, Fu CG. MiR-7–5p/KLF4 signaling inhibits stemness and radioresistance in colorectal cancer. Cell Death Discov. 2023;9:42.
- 47. Cui B, Luo Y, Tian P, Peng F, Lu J, Yang Y, Su Q, Liu B, Yu J, Luo X, Yin L, Cheng W, An F, He B, Liang D, Wu S, Chu P, Song L, Liu X, Luo H, Xu J, Pan Y, Wang Y, Li D, Huang P, Yang Q, Zhang L, Zhou BP, Liu S, Xu G, Lam EW, Kelley KW, Liu Q. Stress-induced epinephrine enhances lactate dehydrogenase A and promotes breast cancer stem-like cells. J Clin Invest. 2019;129:1030–46.
- Nazio, F., A. Po, L. Abballe, C. Ballabio, F. Diomedi Camassei, M. Bordi, A. Camera, S. Caruso, I. Caruana, M. Pezzullo, C. Ferraina, G. Milletti, M. Gianesello, S. Reddel, C. D. De Luca, D. Ceglie, S. Marinelli, S. Campello, E. Papaleo, E. Miele, A. Cacchione, A. Carai, M. Vinci, E. Velardi, B. De Angelis, L. Tiberi, C. Quintarelli, A. Mastronuzzi, E. Ferretti, F. Locatelli, and F. Cecconi. Targeting cancer stem cells in medulloblastoma by inhibiting AMBRA1 dual function in autophagy and STAT3 signalling. Acta Neuropathol. 2021; 142: 537–64.
- Liu Y, Zhu C, Tang L, Chen Q, Guan N, Kun X, Guan X. MYC dysfunction modulates stemness and tumorigenesis in breast cancer. International Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021;17:178–87.
- Sher G, Masoodi T, Patil K, Akhtar S, Kuttikrishnan S, Ahmad A, Uddin S. Dysregulated FOXM1 signaling in the regulation of cancer stem cells. Seminars in cancer biology. 2022;86:107–21.
- Khan MA, Khan P, Ahmad A, Fatima M, Nasser MW. FOXM1: A small fox that makes more tracks for cancer progression and metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol. 2023;92:1–15.
- Hsu CC, Liao WY, Chang KY, Chan TS, Huang PJ, Chiang CT, Shan YS, Cheng LH, Liao TY, Tsai KK. A multi-mode Wnt- and stemness-regulatory module dictated by FOXM1 and ASPM isoform I in gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2021;24:624–39.

- Luo, W., F. Gao, S. Li, and L. Liu.'FoxM1 Promotes Cell Proliferation, Invasion, and Stem Cell Properties in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma'. Front Oncol. 2018; 8: 483.
- 54. Roca MS, Moccia T, Iannelli F, Testa C, Vitagliano C, Minopoli M, Camerlingo R, De Riso G, De Cecio R, Bruzzese F, Conte M, Altucci L, Di Gennaro E, Avallone A, Leone A, Budillon A. HDAC class I inhibitor domatinostat sensitizes pancreatic cancer to chemotherapy by targeting cancer stem cell compartment via FOXM1 modulation. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022;41:83.
- Li MM, Yuan J, Guan XY, Ma NF, Liu M. Molecular subclassification of gastrointestinal cancers based on cancer stem cell traits. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2021;10:53.
- 56. Parsons MJ, Tammela T, Dow LE. WNT as a Driver and Dependency in Cancer. Cancer Discov. 2021;11:2413–29.
- Katoh M, Katoh M. WNT signaling and cancer stemness. Essays Biochem. 2022;66:319–31.
- Silva VR, Santos LS, Dias RB, Quadros CA, Bezerra DP. Emerging agents that target signaling pathways to eradicate colorectal cancer stem cells. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2021;41:1275–313.
- Ferreira A, Aster JC. Notch signaling in cancer: Complexity and challenges on the path to clinical translation. Seminars in cancer biology. 2022;85:95–106.
- 60. Zhou B, Lin W, Long Y, Yang Y, Zhang H, Wu K, Chu Q. Notch signaling pathway: architecture, disease, and therapeutics. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7:95.
- 61. Jiang J. Hedgehog signaling mechanism and role in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;85:107–22.
- 62. Jeng KS, Chang CF, Lin SS. Sonic Hedgehog Signaling in Organogenesis, Tumors, and Tumor Microenvironments. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21:758.
- Kurebayashi J, Kanomata N, Koike Y, Ohta Y, Saitoh W, Kishino E. Comprehensive immunohistochemical analyses on expression levels of hedgehog signaling molecules in breast cancers. Breast Cancer. 2018;25:759–67.
- 64. Sneha S, Nagare RP, Sidhanth C, Krishnapriya S, Garg M, Ramachandran B, Murhekar K, Sundersingh S, Ganesan TS. The hedgehog pathway regulates cancer stem cells in serous adenocarcinoma of the ovary. Cell Oncol (Dordr). 2020;43:601–16.
- Bai JY, Jin B, Ma JB, Liu TJ, Yang C, Chong Y, Wang X, He D, Guo P. HOTAIR and androgen receptor synergistically increase GLI2 transcription to promote tumor angiogenesis and cancer stemness in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2021;498:70–9.
- 66. Matissek SJ, Elsawa SF. GLI3: a mediator of genetic diseases, development and cancer. Cell Commun Signal. 2020;18:54.
- Rodrigues, Mfsd, L. Miguita, N. P. De Andrade, D. Heguedusch, C. O. Rodini, R. A. Moyses, T. N. Toporcov, R. R. Gama, E. E. Tajara, and F. D. Nunes.'GLI3 knockdown decreases stemness, cell proliferation and invasion in oral squamous cell carcinoma'. Int J Oncol. 2018; 53: 2458–72.
- Dey A, Varelas X, Guan KL. Targeting the Hippo pathway in cancer, fibrosis, wound healing and regenerative medicine. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020;19:480–94.
- 69. Zheng Y, Pan D. The Hippo Signaling Pathway in Development and Disease. Dev Cell. 2019;50:264–82.
- Mohajan S, Jaiswal PK, Vatanmakarian M, Yousefi H, Sankaralingam S, Alahari SK, Koul S, Koul HK. Hippo pathway: Regulation, deregulation and potential therapeutic targets in cancer. Cancer Lett. 2021;507:112–23.
- Thirusangu, P., U. Ray, S. Sarkar Bhattacharya, D. B. Oien, L. Jin, J. Staub, N. Kannan, J. R. Molina, and V. Shridhar. PFKFB3 regulates cancer stemness through the hippo pathway in small cell lung carcinoma. Oncogene. 2022; 41:4003–17.
- 72. Tzavlaki K, Moustakas A. TGF-beta Signaling. Biomolecules. 2020;10:487.
- Chen Q, Gu M, Cai ZK, Zhao H, Sun SC, Liu C, Zhan M, Chen YB, Wang Z. TGF-beta1 promotes epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and stemness of prostate cancer cells by inducing PCBP1 degradation and alternative splicing of CD44. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2021;78:949–62.
- 74. Zhang Z, Xu Y. FZD7 accelerates hepatic metastases in pancreatic cancer by strengthening EMT and stemness associated with TGF-beta/ SMAD3 signaling. Mol Med. 2022;28:82.
- 75. Ooki A, Dinalankara W, Marchionni L, Tsay JJ, Goparaju C, Maleki Z, Rom WN, Pass HI, Hoque MO. Epigenetically regulated PAX6 drives cancer

cells toward a stem-like state via GLI-SOX2 signaling axis in lung adenocarcinoma. Oncogene. 2018;37:5967–81.

- Liu X, Ye Y, Zhu L, Xiao X, Zhou B, Gu Y, Si H, Liang H, Liu M, Li J, Jiang Q, Li J, Yu S, Ma R, Su S, Liao JY, Zhao Q. Niche stiffness sustains cancer stemness via TAZ and NANOG phase separation. Nat Commun. 2023;14:238.
- 77. Quinn HM, Vogel R, Popp O, Mertins P, Lan L, Messerschmidt C, Landshammer A, Lisek K, Château-Joubert S, Marangoni E, Koren E, Fuchs Y, Birchmeier W. YAP and β-Catenin Cooperate to Drive Oncogenesis in Basal Breast Cancer. Cancer Research. 2021;81:2116–27.
- Deshmukh, A. P., S. V. Vasaikar, K. Tomczak, S. Tripathi, P. den Hollander, E. Arslan, P. Chakraborty, R. Soundararajan, M. K. Jolly, K. Rai, H. Levine, and S. A. Mani. Identification of EMT signaling cross-talk and gene regulatory networks by single-cell RNA sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021; 118.
- Liu L, Zhu H, Liao Y, Wu W, Liu L, Liu L, Wu Y, Sun F, Lin HW. Inhibition of Wnt/beta-catenin pathway reverses multi-drug resistance and EMT in Oct4(+)/Nanog(+) NSCLC cells. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020;127:110225.
- Wang J, Sen-Lin X, Duan JJ, Yi L, Yu-Feng Guo Y, Shi LL, Yang ZY, Liao XM, Cai J, Zhang YQ, Xiao HL, Yin L, Hao W, Zhang JN, Lv SQ, Yang QK, Yang XJ, Jiang T, Zhang X, Bian XW, Shi-Cang Y. Invasion of white matter tracts by glioma stem cells is regulated by a NOTCH1–SOX2 positive-feedback loop. Nature Neuroscience. 2018;22:91–105.
- 81. Hu X, Li J, Fu M, Zhao X, Wang W. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway: from bench to clinic. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6:402.
- Park SY, Lee CJ, Choi JH, Kim JH, Kim JW, Kim JY, Nam JS. The JAK2/ STAT3/CCND2 Axis promotes colorectal Cancer stem cell persistence and radioresistance. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2019;38:399.
- Lo UG, Chen YA, Cen J, Deng S, Luo J, Zhau H, Ho L, Lai CH, Mu P, Chung LWK, Hsieh JT. The driver role of JAK-STAT signalling in cancer stemness capabilities leading to new therapeutic strategies for therapy- and castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin Transl Med. 2022;12:e978.
- Lee HJ, Min HY, Yong YS, Ann J, Nguyen CT, La MT, Hyun SY, Le HT, Kim H, Kwon H, Nam G, Park HJ, Lee J, Lee HY. A novel C-terminal heat shock protein 90 inhibitor that overcomes STAT3-Wnt-beta-catenin signaling-mediated drug resistance and adverse effects. Theranostics. 2022;12:105–25.
- Tewari D, Patni P, Bishayee A, Sah AN, Bishayee A. Natural products targeting the PI3K-Akt-mTOR signaling pathway in cancer: A novel therapeutic strategy. Seminars in cancer biology. 2022;80:1–17.
- Shorning BY, Dass MS, Smalley MJ, Pearson HB. The PI3K-AKT-mTOR Pathway and Prostate Cancer: At the Crossroads of AR, MAPK, and WNT Signaling. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(12):4507.
- Dehghan Harati M, Rodemann HP, Toulany M. Nanog Signaling Mediates Radioresistance in ALDH-Positive Breast Cancer Cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20:1151.
- Yu H, Lin L, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Hu H. Targeting NF-κB pathway for the therapy of diseases: mechanism and clinical study. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):209.
- Li W, Zhang L, Guo B, Deng J, Wu S, Li F, Wang Y, Lu J, Zhou Y. Exosomal FMR1-AS1 facilitates maintaining cancer stem-like cell dynamic equilibrium via TLR7/NFkB/c-Myc signaling in female esophageal carcinoma. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):22.
- Leng J, Li H, Niu Y, Chen K, Yuan X, Chen H, Fu Z, Zhang L, Wang F, Chen C, Héroux P, Yang J, Zhu X, Lu W, Xia D, Wu Y. Low-dose mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate promotes ovarian cancer development through PPARα-dependent PI3K/Akt/NF-κB pathway. Sci Total Environ. 2021;790:147990.
- Mirza AZ, Althagafi II, Shamshad H. Role of PPAR receptor in different diseases and their ligands: Physiological importance and clinical implications. Eur J Med Chem. 2019;166:502-13.
- Chen SZ, Ling Y, Yu LX, Song YT, Chen XF, Cao QQ, Yu H, Chen C, Tang JJ, Fan ZC, Miao YS, Dong YP, Tao JY, Monga SPS, Wen W, Wang HY.
  4-phenylbutyric acid promotes hepatocellular carcinoma via initiating cancer stem cells through activation of PPAR-α. Clin Transl Med. 2021;11(4):e379.
- Wang Dingzhi, Lingchen Fu, Wei Jie, Xiong Ying, DuBois Raymond N. PPARδ Mediates the Effect of Dietary Fat in Promoting Colorectal Cancer Metastasis. Cancer Research. 2019;79:4480–90.

- 94. Xie Y, Su N, Yang J, Tan Q, Huang S, Jin M, Ni Z, Zhang B, Zhang D, Luo F, Chen H, Sun X, Feng JQ, Qi H, Chen L. FGF/FGFR signaling in health and disease. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):181.
- Otte J, Dizdar L, Behrens B, Goering W, Knoefel WT, Wruck W, Stoecklein NH, Adjaye J. FGF Signalling in the Self-Renewal of Colon Cancer Organoids. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):17365.
- Jimenez-Pascual A, Hale JS, Kordowski A, Pugh J, Silver DJ, Bayik D, Roversi G, Alban T, Rao S, Chen R, McIntyre TM, Colombo G, Taraboletti G, Holmberg KO, Forsberg-Nilsson K, Lathia JD, Siebzehnrubl FA. ADAM-DEC1 Maintains a Growth Factor Signaling Loop in Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Discovery. 2019;9:1574–89.
- Lu T, Li Z, Yang Y, Ji W, Yongfeng Y, Niu X, Zeng Q, Xia W, Shun L. The Hippo/YAP1 pathway interacts with FGFR1 signaling to maintain stemness in lung cancer. Cancer Letters. 2018;423:36–46.
- Maehara O, Suda G, Natsuizaka M, Shigesawa T, Kanbe G, Kimura M, Sugiyama M, Mizokami M, Nakai M, Sho T, Morikawa K, Ogawa K, Ohashi S, Kagawa S, Kinugasa H, Naganuma Seiji, Okubo N, Ohnishi S, Takeda H, Sakamoto N. FGFR2 maintains cancer cell differentiation via AKT signaling in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Biology & Therapy. 2021;22:372–80.
- Shriwas, O., M. Priyadarshini, S. K. Samal, R. Rath, S. Panda, S. K. Das Majumdar, D. K. Muduly, M. Botlagunta, and R. Dash'DDX3 modulates cisplatin resistance in OSCC through ALKBH5-mediated m(6) A-demethylation of FOXM1 and NANOG'. Apoptosis. 2020; 25: 233–46.
- 100. Wang Y, Yang Z, Gu J, Zhang Y, Wang X, Teng Z, Wang D, Gao L, Li W, Yeh S, Han Z. Estrogen receptor beta increases clear cell renal cell carcinoma stem cell phenotype via altering the circPHACTR4/miR-34b-5p/c-Myc signaling. FASEB J. 2022;36:e22163.
- 101. Fu Y, Bai C, Wang S, Chen D, Zhang P, Wei H, Rong F, Zhang C, Chen S, Wang Z. AKT1 phosphorylates RBM17 to promote Sox2 transcription by modulating alternative splicing of FOXM1 to enhance cancer stem cell properties in colorectal cancer cells. FASEB J. 2023;37:e22707.
- 102. Sengupta, Dipta, Moonmoon Deb, Swayamsiddha Kar, Nibedita Pradhan, Sabnam Parbin, R. Kirtana, Suraj P. Singh, S. G. Suma, Niharika, Ankan Roy, Saumen Manna, Priyanka Saha, Priyanka Chakraborty, Soumya Dash, Chahat Kausar, and Samir Kumar Patra. 'Dissecting miRNA facilitated physiology and function in human breast cancer for therapeutic intervention'. Seminars in cancer biology. 2021; 72: 46–64.
- Pan G, Liu Y, Shang L, Zhou F, Yang S. EMT-associated microRNAs and their roles in cancer stemness and drug resistance. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2021;41:199–217.
- 104. Alemohammad H, Asadzadeh Z, Motafakker Azad R, Hemmat N, Najafzadeh B, Vasefifar P, Najafi S, Baradaran B. Signaling pathways and microRNAs, the orchestrators of NANOG activity during cancer induction. Life Sci. 2020;260:118337.
- McCabe EM, Rasmussen TP. IncRNA involvement in cancer stem cell function and epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. Seminars in cancer biology. 2021;75:38–48.
- Mao C, Li X. Long noncoding RNA OIP5-AS1 promotes the stemness of lung cancer cells through enhancing Oct4 mRNA stability. Environ Toxicol. 2022;37:1104–12.
- Venkatesh J, Wasson MD, Brown JM, Fernando W, Marcato P. LncRNAmiRNA axes in breast cancer: Novel points of interaction for strategic attack. Cancer Letters. 2021;509:81–8.
- 108. Lu G, Li Y, Ma Y, Lu J, Chen Y, Jiang Q, Qin Q, Zhao L, Huang Q, Luo Z, Huang S, Wei Z. Long noncoding RNA LINC00511 contributes to breast cancer tumourigenesis and stemness by inducing the miR-185-3p/ E2F1/Nanog axis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018;37(1):289.
- Kristensen LS, Jakobsen T, Hager H, Kjems Jørgen. The emerging roles of circRNAs in cancer and oncology. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. 2021;19:188–206.
- 110. Chen L, Shan G. CircRNA in cancer: Fundamental mechanism and clinical potential. Cancer Letters. 2021;505:49–57.
- 111. Xia Y, Lv J, Jiang T, Li B, Li Y, He Z, Xuan Z, Sun G, Wang S, Li Z, Wang W, Wang L, Xu Z. CircFAM73A promotes the cancer stem cell-like properties of gastric cancer through the miR-490-3p/HMGA2 positive feedback loop and HNRNPK-mediated  $\beta$ -catenin stabilization. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2021;40(1):103.
- 112. Jian X, He H, Zhu J, Zhang Q, Zheng Z, Liang X, Chen L, Yang M, Peng K, Zhang Z, Liu T, Ye Y, Jiao H, Wang S, Zhou W, Ding Y, Li T. Hsa\_circ\_001680 affects the proliferation and migration of CRC and

mediates its chemoresistance by regulating BMI1 through miR-340. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):20.

- Zhu Z, Huang J, Li X, Xing J, Chen Q, Liu R, Hua F, Qiu Z, Song Y, Bai C, Mo YY, Zhang Z. Gut microbiota regulate tumor metastasis via circRNA/ miRNA networks. Gut Microbes. 2020;12(1):1788891.
- 114. Wang S, Sun Z, Lei Z, Zhang HT. RNA-binding proteins and cancer metastasis. Seminars in cancer biology. 2022;86:748–68.
- Lin JC, Kuo CY, Tsai JT, Liu WH. miR-671-5p Inhibition by MSI1 Promotes Glioblastoma Tumorigenesis via Radioresistance, Tumor Motility and Cancer Stem-like Cell Properties. Biomedicines. 2021;10(1):21.
- 116. Strauß T, Greve B, Gabriel M, Achmad N, Schwan D, Espinoza-Sanchez NA, Laganà AS, Kiesel L, Poutanen M, Götte M, Schäfer SD. Impact of Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 Double Knockdown on Notch Signaling and the Pathogenesis of Endometriosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(5):2851.
- 117. Heise T, Sommer G. RNA-Binding Protein La Mediates TGFβ-Induced Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition and Cancer Stem Cell Properties. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(2):343.
- 118. Dong R, Chen P, Polireddy K, Xiaoqing W, Wang T, Ramesh R, Dixon DA, Liang X, Aubé J, Chen Q. An RNA-Binding Protein, Hu-antigen R, in Pancreatic Cancer Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition, Metastasis, and Cancer Stem Cells. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics. 2020;19:2267–77.
- 119. Barbieri I, Kouzarides T. Role of RNA modifications in cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2020;20:303–22.
- 120. Liang W, Lin Z, Du C, Qiu D, Zhang Q. mRNA modification orchestrates cancer stem cell fate decisions. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):38.
- 121. Huang H, Wang Y, Kandpal M, Zhao G, Cardenas H, Ji Y, Chaparala A, Tanner EJ, Chen J, Davuluri RV, Matei D. FTO-Dependent N6-Methyladenosine Modifications Inhibit Ovarian Cancer Stem Cell Self-Renewal by Blocking cAMP Signaling. Cancer Research. 2020;80:3200–14.
- 122. Relier S, Ripoll J, Guillorit H, Amalric A, Achour C, Boissière F, Vialaret J, Attina A, Debart F, Choquet A, Macari F, Marchand V, Motorin Y, Samalin E, Vasseur JJ, Pannequin J, Aguilo F, Lopez-Crapez E, Hirtz C, Rivals E, Bastide A, David A. FTO-mediated cytoplasmic m6Am demethylation adjusts stem-like properties in colorectal cancer cell. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1716.
- Wang W, Liu W, Chen Q, Yuan Y, Wang P. Targeting CSC-related transcription factors by E3 ubiquitin ligases for cancer therapy. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;87:84–97.
- 124. Deng L, Meng T, Chen L, Wei W, Wang P. The role of ubiquitination in tumorigenesis and targeted drug discovery. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5:11.
- 125. Cao J, Zhao M, Liu J, Zhang X, Pei Y, Wang J, Yang X, Shen B, Zhang J. RACK1 Promotes Self-Renewal and Chemoresistance of Cancer Stem Cells in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma through Stabilizing Nanog. Theranostics. 2019;9:811–28.
- 126. Yang Y, Li X, Wang T, Guo Q, Xi T, Zheng L. Emerging agents that target signaling pathways in cancer stem cells. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):60.
- 127. Choi JH, Jang TY, Jeon SE, Kim JH, Lee CJ, Yun HJ, Jung JY, Park SY, Nam JS. The Small-Molecule Wnt Inhibitor ICG-001 Efficiently Inhibits Colorectal Cancer Stemness and Metastasis by Suppressing MEIS1 Expression. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(24):13413.
- 128. Fendler A, Bauer D, Busch J, Jung K, Wulf-Goldenberg A, Kunz S, Song K, Myszczyszyn A, Elezkurtaj S, Erguen B, Jung S, Chen W, Birchmeier W. Inhibiting WNT and NOTCH in renal cancer stem cells and the implications for human patients. Nat Commun. 2020;11:929.
- 129. Ghanbari-Movahed M, Ghanbari-Movahed Z, Momtaz S, Kilpatrick KL, Farzaei MH, Bishayee A. Unlocking the Secrets of Cancer Stem Cells with γ-Secretase Inhibitors: A Novel Anticancer Strategy. Molecules. 2021;26(4):972.
- 130. Alvarez-Trotta A, Guerrant W, Astudillo L, Lahiry M, Diluvio G, Shersher E, Kaneku H, Robbins DJ, Orton D, Capobianco AJ. Pharmacological Disruption of the Notch1 Transcriptional Complex Inhibits Tumor Growth by Selectively Targeting Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Research. 2021;81:3347–57.
- Fan J, Li H, Kuang L, Zhao Z, He W, Liu C, Wang Y, Cheng SY, Chen W. Identification of a potent antagonist of smoothened in hedgehog signaling. Cell Biosci. 2021;11(1):46
- 132. Koike Y, Ohta Y, Saitoh W, Yamashita T, Kanomata N, Moriya T, Kurebayashi J. Anti-cell growth and anti-cancer stem cell activities of the non-canonical hedgehog inhibitor GANT61 in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer. 2017;24:683–93.

- 133. Cazet AS, Hui MN, Elsworth BL, Wu SZ, Roden D, Chan CL, Skhinas JN, Collot R, Yang J, Harvey K, Johan MZ, Cooper C, Nair R, Herrmann D, McFarland A, Deng N, Ruiz-Borrego M, Rojo F, Trigo JM, Bezares S, Caballero R, Lim E, Timpson P, O'Toole S, Watkins DN, Cox TR, Samuel MS, Martín M, Swarbrick A. Targeting stromal remodeling and cancer stem cell plasticity overcomes chemoresistance in triple negative breast cancer. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2897.
- 134. Song Shumei, Ajani Jaffer A, Honjo Soichiro, Maru Dipen M, Chen Qiongrong, Scott Ailing W, Heallen Todd R, Xiao Lianchun, Hofstetter Wayne L, Weston Brian, Lee Jeffrey H, Wadhwa Roopma, Sudo Kazuki, Stroehlein John R, Martin James F, Hung Mien-Chie, Johnson Randy L. Hippo Coactivator YAP1 Upregulates SOX9 and Endows Esophageal Cancer Cells with Stem-like Properties. Cancer Research. 2014;74:4170–82.
- 135. Song Shumei, Xie Min, Scott Ailing W, Jin Jiankang, Ma Lang, Dong Xiaochuan, Skinner Heath D, Johnson Randy L, Ding Sheng, Ajani Jaffer A. A Novel YAP1 Inhibitor Targets CSC-Enriched Radiation-Resistant Cells and Exerts Strong Antitumor Activity in Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics. 2018;17:443–54.
- 136. Tanaka Kosuke, Osada Hirotaka, Murakami-Tonami Yuko, Horio Yoshitsugu, Hida Toyoaki, Sekido Yoshitaka. Statin suppresses Hippo pathwayinactivated malignant mesothelioma cells and blocks the YAP/CD44 growth stimulatory axis. Cancer Letters. 2017;385:215–24.
- 137. Miyazaki Y, Matsubara S, Ding Q, Tsukasa K, Yoshimitsu M, Kosai K, Takao S. Efficient elimination of pancreatic cancer stem cells by hedgehog/ GLI inhibitor GANT61 in combination with mTOR inhibition. Mol Cancer. 2016;15(1):49.
- Kleszcz R, Frąckowiak M, Dorna D, Paluszczak J. Combinations of PRI-724 Wnt/β-Catenin Pathway Inhibitor with Vismodegib, Erlotinib, or HS-173 Synergistically Inhibit Head and Neck Squamous Cancer Cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(13):10448.
- Wang C, Liu L, Cheng Y, Shi H. Combined GSK-3β and MEK inhibitors modulate the stemness and radiotherapy sensitivity of cervical cancer stem cells through the Wnt signaling pathway. Chem Biol Interact. 2023;380:110515.
- 140. Gounder, Mrinal M., Evan Rosenbaum, Nian Wu, Mark A. Dickson, Tahir N. Sheikh, Sandra P. D'Angelo, Ping Chi, Mary Lou Keohan, Joseph P. Erinjeri, Cristina R. Antonescu, Narasimhan Agaram, Meera R. Hameed, Moriah Martindale, Robert A. Lefkowitz, Aimee M. Crago, Sam Singer, William D. Tap, Naoko Takebe, Li-Xuan Qin, and Gary K. Schwartz. 'A Phase Ib/II Randomized Study of RO4929097, a Gamma-Secretase or Notch Inhibitor with or without Vismodegib, a Hedgehog Inhibitor, in Advanced Sarcoma'. Clinical Cancer Research. 2022; 28: 1586–94.
- French, Rhiannon, and Siim Pauklin.'Epigenetic regulation of cancer stem cell formation and maintenance'. International Journal of Cancer. 2020; 148: 2884–97.
- 142. Nishiyama, Atsuya, and Makoto Nakanishi'. Navigating the DNA methylation landscape of cancer'. Trends in Genetics. 2021; 37: 1012–27.
- 143. Saghafinia S, Mina M, Riggi N, Hanahan D, Ciriello G. Pan-Cancer Landscape of Aberrant DNA Methylation across Human Tumors. Cell Reports. 2018;25:1066–80.e8.
- 144. Wang Q, Liang N, Yang T, Li Y, Li J, Huang Q, Chen W, Sun L, Zhou X, Cheng X, Zhao L, Wang G, Chen Z, He X, Liu C. DNMT1-mediated methylation of BEX1 regulates stemness and tumorigenicity in liver cancer. Journal of Hepatology. 2021;75:1142–53.
- Liu S, Cheng K, Zhang H, Kong R, Wang S, Mao C, Liu S. Methylation Status of the Nanog Promoter Determines the Switch between Cancer Cells and Cancer Stem Cells. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2020;7:1903035.
- 146. Li S, Han Z, Zhao N, Zhu B, Zhang Q, Yang X, Sheng D, Hou J, Guo S, Wei L, Zhang L. Inhibition of DNMT suppresses the stemness of colorectal cancer cells through down-regulating Wnt signaling pathway. Cell Signal. 2018;47:79–87.
- Millán-Zambrano G, Burton A, Bannister AJ, Schneider R. Histone post-translational modifications — cause and consequence of genome function. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2022;23:563–80.
- 148. Michalak EM, Burr ML, Bannister AJ, Dawson MA. The roles of DNA, RNA and histone methylation in ageing and cancer. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2019;20:573–89.
- 149. Mitchell, Kelly, Samuel A. Sprowls, Sonali Arora, Sajina Shakya, Daniel J. Silver, Christopher M. Goins, Lisa Wallace, Gustavo Roversi, Rachel E. Schafer, Kristen Kay, Tyler E. Miller, Adam Lauko, John Bassett, Anjali Kashyap, Jonathan D'Amato Kass, Erin E. Mulkearns-Hubert, Sadie

Johnson, Joseph Alvarado, Jeremy N. Rich, Eric C. Holland, Patrick J. Paddison, Anoop P. Patel, Shaun R. Stauffer, Christopher G. Hubert, and Justin D. Lathia: WDR5 represents a therapeutically exploitable target for cancer stem cells in glioblastoma'. Genes & Development. 2023; 37: 86–102.

- Deng Y, Li M, Zhuo M, Guo P, Chen Q, Mo P, Li W, Yu C. Histone demethylase JMJD2D promotes the self-renewal of liver cancer stem-like cells by enhancing EpCAM and Sox9 expression. J Biol Chem. 2021;296:100121.
- Bajbouj K, Al-Ali A, Ramakrishnan RK, Saber-Ayad M, Hamid Q. Histone Modification in NSCLC: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic Targets. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(21):11701.
- 152. Bi L, Ren Y, Feng M, Meng P, Wang Q, Chen W, Jiao Q, Wang Y, Lutao D, Zhou F, Jiang Y, Chen F, Wang C, Tang B, Wang Y. HDAC11 Regulates Glycolysis through the LKB1/AMPK Signaling Pathway to Maintain Hepatocellular Carcinoma Stemness. Cancer Research. 2021;81:2015–28.
- Caslini C, Hong S, Ban YJ, Chen XS, Ince TA. HDAC7 regulates histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation and transcriptional activity at superenhancer-associated genes in breast cancer stem cells. Oncogene. 2019;38:6599–614.
- 154. Pathania R, Ramachandran S, Mariappan G, Thakur P, Shi H, Choi JH, Manicassamy S, Kolhe R, Prasad PD, Sharma S, Lokeshwar BL, Ganapathy V, Thangaraju M. Combined Inhibition of DNMT and HDAC Blocks the Tumorigenicity of Cancer Stem-like Cells and Attenuates Mammary Tumor Growth. Cancer Research. 2016;76:3224–35.
- 155. Zhang, Di, Zhanyun Tang, He Huang, Guolin Zhou, Chang Cui, Yejing Weng, Wenchao Liu, Sunjoo Kim, Sangkyu Lee, Mathew Perez-Neut, Jun Ding, Daniel Czyz, Rong Hu, Zhen Ye, Maomao He, Y. George Zheng, Howard A. Shuman, Lunzhi Dai, Bing Ren, Robert G. Roeder, Lev Becker, and Yingming Zhao.'Metabolic regulation of gene expression by histone lactylation'. Nature. 2019; 574: 575–80.
- 156. Pan L, Feng F, Wu J, Fan S, Han J, Wang S, Yang L, Liu W, Wang C, Xu K. Demethylzeylasteral targets lactate by inhibiting histone lactylation to suppress the tumorigenicity of liver cancer stem cells. Pharmacol Res. 2022;181:106270.
- 157. Li, Fang, Yangfan Xu, Xiaofang Xu, Shengfang Ge, Feifei Zhang, He Zhang, and Xianqun Fan'.IncRNA HotairM1 Depletion Promotes Self-Renewal of Cancer Stem Cells through HOXA1-Nanog Regulation Loop'. Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids. 2020; 22: 456–70.
- 158. Centore, Richard C., Gabriel J. Sandoval, Luis Miguel Mendes Soares, Cigall Kadoch, and Ho Man Chan.'Mammalian SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Complexes: Emerging Mechanisms and Therapeutic Strategies.' Trends in Genetics. 2020; 36: 936–50.
- 159. Hagiwara M, Yasumizu Y, Yamashita N, Rajabi H, Fushimi A, Long MD, Li W, Bhattacharya A, Ahmad R, Oya M, Liu S, Kufe D. MUC1-C Activates the BAF (mSWI/SNF) Complex in Prostate Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Research. 2021;81:1111–22.
- 160. Portney, Benjamin A., Michal Arad, Aditi Gupta, Robert A. Brown, Raju Khatri, Phyo Nay Lin, Andrea M. Hebert, Kristen H. Angster, Lorna E. Silipino, W. Alex Meltzer, Rodney J. Taylor, and Michal Zalzman. 'ZSCAN4 facilitates chromatin remodeling and promotes the cancer stem cell phenotype'. Oncogene. 2020; 39: 4970–82.
- 161. Bhattacharya A, Fushimi A, Yamashita N, Hagiwara M, Morimoto Y, Rajabi H, Long M D, Abdulla M, Ahmad R, Street K, Liu S, Liu T, Kufe D. MUC1-C Dictates JUN and BAF-Mediated Chromatin Remodeling at Enhancer Signatures in Cancer Stem Cells. Molecular Cancer Research. 2022;20:556–67.
- 162. Wang Y, He L, Du Y, Zhu P, Huang G, Luo J, Yan X, Ye B, Li C, Xia P, Zhang G, Tian Y, Chen R, Fan Z. The long noncoding RNA IncTCF7 promotes self-renewal of human liver cancer stem cells through activation of Wnt signaling. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;16:413–25.
- Andersson R, Sandelin A. Determinants of enhancer and promoter activities of regulatory elements. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2019;21:71–87.
- Einarsson H, Salvatore M, Vaagensø C, Alcaraz N, Bornholdt J, Rennie S, Andersson R. Promoter sequence and architecture determine expression variability and confer robustness to genetic variants. Elife. 2022;11:e80943.
- Field, Andrew, and Karen Adelman. Evaluating Enhancer Function and Transcription. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 2020; 89: 213–34.
- Adhikary S, Roy S, Chacon J, Gadad SS, Das C. Implications of Enhancer Transcription and eRNAs in Cancer. Cancer Research. 2021;81:4174–82.

- 167. Xiao, Rui, Jia-Yu Chen, Zhengyu Liang, Daji Luo, Geng Chen, Zhi John Lu, Yang Chen, Bing Zhou, Hairi Li, Xian Du, Yang Yang, Mingkui San, Xintao Wei, Wen Liu, Eric Lécuyer, Brenton R. Graveley, Gene W. Yeo, Christopher B. Burge, Michael Q. Zhang, Yu Zhou, and Xiang-Dong Fu. 'Pervasive Chromatin-RNA Binding Protein Interactions Enable RNA-Based Regulation of Transcription'. Cell. 2019; 178: 107–21.e18.
- Kaufhold S, Garbán H, Bonavida B. Yin Yang 1 is associated with cancer stem cell transcription factors (SOX2, OCT4, BMI1) and clinical implication. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016;35:84.
- 169. Qiu, Zhixin, Linjie Zhao, Jia Z. Shen, Zhengyu Liang, Qiulian Wu, Kailin Yang, Lihua Min, Ryan C. Gimple, Qiyuan Yang, Shruti Bhargava, Chunyu Jin, Cheryl Kim, Denise Hinz, Deobrat Dixit, Jean A. Bernatchez, Briana C. Prager, Guoxin Zhang, Zhen Dong, Deguan Lv, Xujun Wang, Leo J. Y. Kim, Zhe Zhu, Katherine A. Jones, Ye Zheng, Xiuxing Wang, Jair L. Siqueira-Neto, Lukas Chavez, Xiang-Dong Fu, Charles Spruck, and Jeremy N. Rich. Transcription Elongation Machinery Is a Druggable Dependency and Potentiates Immunotherapy in Glioblastoma Stem Cells'. Cancer Discovery. 2022; 12: 502–21.
- 170. Thandapani P. Super-enhancers in cancer. Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2019;199(129):38.
- 171. Dong J, Li J, Li Y, Ma Z, Yu Y, Wang CY. Transcriptional super-enhancers control cancer stemness and metastasis genes in squamous cell carcinoma. Nat Commun. 2021;12:3974.
- 172. Maryam A, Chin YR. ANLN Enhances Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Stemness Through TWIST1 and BMP2 and Promotes its Spheroid Growth. Front Mol Biosci. 2021;8:700973.
- de Visser, Karin E., and Johanna A. Joyce'The evolving tumor microenvironment: From cancer initiation to metastatic outgrowth'. Cancer Cell. 2023; 41: 374–403.
- 174. Nallasamy P, Nimmakayala RK, Parte S, Are AC, Batra SK, Ponnusamy MP. Tumor microenvironment enriches the stemness features: the architectural event of therapy resistance and metastasis. Mol Cancer. 2022;21(1):225.
- 175. Miranda, Alex, Phineas T. Hamilton, Allen W. Zhang, Swetansu Pattnaik, Etienne Becht, Artur Mezheyeuski, Jarle Bruun, Patrick Micke, Aurélien de Reynies, and Brad H. Nelson.'Cancer stemness, intratumoral heterogeneity, and immune response across cancers'. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2019; 116: 9020–29.
- 176. Bayik D, Lathia JD. Cancer stem cell-immune cell crosstalk in tumour progression. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2021;21:526–36.
- 177. Chen P, Hsu WH, Han J, Xia Y, DePinho RA. Cancer Stemness Meets Immunity: From Mechanism to Therapy. Cell Rep. 2021;34(1):108597.
- 178. Tsuchiya H, Shiota G. Immune evasion by cancer stem cells. Regenerative Therapy. 2021;17:20–33.
- 179. Zhu M, Li S, Cao X, Rashid K, Liu T. The STAT family: Key transcription factors mediating crosstalk between cancer stem cells and tumor immune microenvironment. Seminars in cancer biology. 2023;88:18–31.
- Polak KL, Chernosky NM, Smigiel JM, Tamagno I, Jackson MW. Balancing STAT Activity as a Therapeutic Strategy. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(11):1716.
- 181. Radharani NNV, Yadav AS, Nimma R, Kumar TVS, Bulbule A, Chanukuppa V, Kumar D, Patnaik S, Rapole S, Kundu GC. Tumor-associated macrophage derived IL-6 enriches cancer stem cell population and promotes breast tumor progression via Stat-3 pathway. Cancer Cell Int. 2022;22(1):122.
- Liu H, Yan R, Xiao Z, Huang X, Yao J, Liu J, An G, Ge Y. Targeting DCLK1 attenuates tumor stemness and evokes antitumor immunity in triplenegative breast cancer by inhibiting IL-6/STAT3 signaling. Breast Cancer Res. 2023;25(1):43.
- 183. Yang L, Dong Y, Li Y, Wang D, Liu S, Wang D, Gao Q, Ji S, Chen X, Lei Q, Jiang W, Wang L, Zhang B, Yu JJ, Zhang Y. IL-10 derived from M2 macrophage promotes cancer stemness via JAK1/STAT1/NF-κB/Notch1 pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. International Journal of Cancer. 2019;145:1099–110.
- 184. Li X, Wang J, Weimin W, Gao H, Liu N, Zhan G, Li L, Han L, Guo X. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells promote epithelial ovarian cancer cell stemness by inducing the CSF2/p-STAT3 signalling pathway. The FEBS Journal. 2020;287:5218–35.
- 185. Bitsch R, Kurzay A, Özbay Kurt F, De La Torre C, Lasser S, Lepper A, Siebenmorgen A, Müller V, Altevogt P, Utikal J, Umansky V. STAT3 inhibitor Napabucasin abrogates MDSC immunosuppressive capacity and

prolongs survival of melanoma-bearing mice. J Immunother Cancer. 2022;10(3):e004384.

- 186. Bekaii-Saab T, Okusaka T, Goldstein D, Oh DY, Ueno M, Ioka T, Fang W, Anderson EC, Noel MS, Reni M, Choi HJ, Goldberg JS, Oh SC, Li CP, Tabernero J, Li J, Foos E, Oh C, Van Cutsem E. Napabucasin plus nab-paclitaxel with gemcitabine versus nab-paclitaxel with gemcitabine in previously untreated metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma: an adaptive multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3, superiority trial. eClinicalMedicine. 2023;58:101897.
- 187. Shah, Manish A., Takayuki Yoshino, Niall C. Tebbutt, Axel Grothey, Josep Tabernero, Rui-Hua Xu, Andres Cervantes, Sang Cheul Oh, Kensei Yamaguchi, Marwan Fakih, Alfredo Falcone, Christina Wu, Vi K. Chiu, Jiri Tomasek, Johanna Bendell, Marilyn Fontaine, Matthew Hitron, Bo Xu, Julien Taieb, and Eric Van Cutsem.'Napabucasin Plus FOLFIRI in Patients With Previously Treated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Results From the Open-Label, Randomized Phase III CanStem303C Study'. Clinical Colorectal Cancer. 2023; 22: 100–10.
- 188. Okusaka, Takuji, Manabu Morimoto, Yuichiro Eguchi, Shinichiro Nakamura, Shuichi lino, and Rie Kageyama.'A Phase I Study to Investigate the Safety, Tolerability and Pharmacokinetics of Napabucasin Combined with Sorafenib in Japanese Patients with Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma.' Drugs in R&D. 2023; 23: 99–107.
- 189. Chen X, Yang M, Yin J, Li P, Zeng S, Zheng G, He Z, Liu H, Wang Q, Zhang F, Chen D. Tumor-associated macrophages promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition and the cancer stem cell properties in triple-negative breast cancer through CCL2/AKT/β-catenin signaling. Cell Commun Signal. 2022;20(1):92.
- 190. Sharma VP, Tang B, Wang Y, Duran CL, Karagiannis GS, Xue EA, Entenberg D, Borriello L, Coste A, Eddy RJ, Kim G, Ye X, Jones JG, Grunblatt E, Agi N, Roy S, Bandyopadhyaya G, Adler E, Surve CR, Esposito D, Goswami S, Segall JE, Guo W, Condeelis JS, Wakefield LM, Oktay MH. Live tumor imaging shows macrophage induction and TMEM-mediated enrichment of cancer stem cells during metastatic dissemination. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):7300.
- 191. Caligiuri G, Tuveson DA. Activated fibroblasts in cancer: Perspectives and challenges. Cancer Cell. 2023;41:434–49.
- 192. Su S, Chen J, Yao H, Liu J, Shubin Y, Lao L, Wang M, Luo M, Xing Y, Chen F, Huang D, Zhao J, Yang L, Liao D, Fengxi S, Li M, Liu Q, Song E. CD10+GPR77+ Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Promote Cancer Formation and Chemoresistance by Sustaining Cancer Stemness. Cell. 2018;172:841–56.e16.
- Zhao Z, Zhu Y. 'FAP, CD10, and GPR77-labeled CAFs cause neoadjuvant chemotherapy resistance by inducing EMT and CSC in gastric cancer.' BMC Cancer. 2023;23:507.
- 194. Huang, T. X, X. Y Guan, and L Fu. Therapeutic targeting of the crosstalk between cancer-associated fibroblasts and cancer stem cells'. American journal of cancer research. 2019; 9: 1889–904.
- 195. Yu B, Wu K, Wang X, Zhang J, Wang L, Jiang Y, Zhu X, Chen W, Yan M. Periostin secreted by cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes cancer stemness in head and neck cancer by activating protein tyrosine kinase 7'. Cell Death & Disease. 2018;9:1082.
- 196. Mangiapane, Laura Rosa, Annalisa Nicotra, Alice Turdo, Miriam Gaggianesi, Paola Bianca, Simone Di Franco, Davide Stefano Sardina, Veronica Veschi, Michele Signore, Sven Beyes, Luca Fagnocchi, Micol Eleonora Fiori, Maria Rita Bongiorno, Melania Lo Iacono, Irene Pillitteri, Gloria Ganduscio, Gaspare Gulotta, Jan Paul Medema, Alessio Zippo, Matilde Todaro, Ruggero De Maria, and Giorgio Stassi/PI3K-driven HER2 expression is a potential therapeutic target in colorectal cancer stem cells'. Gut. 2022; 71: 119–28.
- 197. Zhuang J, Shen L, Li M, Sun J, Hao J, Li J, Zhu Zhen, Ge S, Zhang D, Guo H, Huang R, Yan J. Cancer-Associated Fibroblast-Derived miR-146a-5p Generates a Niche That Promotes Bladder Cancer Stemness and Chemoresistance. Cancer Research. 2023;83:1611–27.
- Huang J, Zhang L, Wan D, Zhou L, Zheng S, Lin S, Qiao Y. Extracellular matrix and its therapeutic potential for cancer treatment. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):153.
- Jiang Y, Zhang H, Wang J, Liu Y, Luo T, Hua H. Targeting extracellular matrix stiffness and mechanotransducers to improve cancer therapy. J Hematol Oncol. 2022;15(1):34.
- 200. Wang D, Li Y, Ge H, Ghadban T, Reeh M, Güngör C. The Extracellular Matrix: A Key Accomplice of Cancer Stem Cell Migration,

Metastasis Formation, and Drug Resistance in PDAC. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(16):3998.

- 201. Gomez, Karina E., FangLong Wu, Stephen B. Keysar, J. Jason Morton, Bettina Miller, Tugs-Saikhan Chimed, Phuong N. Le, Cera Nieto, Farshad N. Chowdhury, Anit Tyagi, Traci R. Lyons, Christian D. Young, Hongmei Zhou, Hilary L. Somerset, Xiao-Jing Wang, and Antonio Jimeno. Cancer Cell CD44 Mediates Macrophage/Monocyte-Driven Regulation of Head and Neck Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Research. 2020; 80: 4185–98.
- 202. Yang Z, Zhang C, Feng Y, Quan M, Cui Y, Xuan Y. Tenascin-C predicts poor outcomes for patients with colorectal cancer and drives cancer stemness via Hedgehog signaling pathway. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:122.
- Sun, Xiaoyu, Xuemei Lv, Yuanyuan Yan, Yanyun Zhao, Rong Ma, Miao He, and Minjie Wei.'Hypoxia-mediated cancer stem cell resistance and targeted therapy'. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2020; 130:110623.
- 204. Wicks EE, Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factors: cancer progression and clinical translation. J Clin Invest. 2022;132(11):e159839
- 205. Hallis SP, Kim SK, Lee JH, Kwak MK. Association of NRF2 with HIF-2αinduced cancer stem cell phenotypes in chronic hypoxic condition. Redox Biol. 2023;60:102632
- Lv, Z., R.D. Liu, X. Q. Chen, B. Wang, L. F. Li, Y. S. Guo, X. J. Chen, and X. Q. Ren.'HIF-1α promotes the stemness of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma by activating the Wnt/β-catenin pathway.' Oncology reports. 2019; 42: 726–34.
- 207. Jiang Neng, Zou Chang, Zhu Ying, Luo Yifeng, Chen Lili, Lei Yiyan, Kejing Tang Yu, Sun Wenhui Zhang, Li Shuhua, He Qiong, Zhou Jianwen, Chen Yangshan, Luo Jiping, Jiang Wenting, Ke Zunfu. HIF-1α-regulated miR-1275 maintains stem cell-like phenotypes and promotes the progression of LUAD by simultaneously activating Wnt/β-catenin and Notch signaling. Theranostics. 2020;10:2553–70.
- Liu X, Xie P, Hao N, Zhang M, Liu Y, Liu P, Semenza GL, He J, Zhang H. HIF-1-regulated expression of calreticulin promotes breast tumorigenesis and progression through Wnt/β-catenin pathway activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(44):e2109144118.
- Lu H, Xie Y, Tran L, Lan J, Yang Y, Murugan NL, Wang R, Wang YJ, Semenza GL. Chemotherapy-induced S100A10 recruits KDM6A to facilitate OCT4-mediated breast cancer stemness. J Clin Invest. 2020;130:4607–23.
- Nascimento-Filho, Carlos H. V., Liana P. Webber, Gabriell B. Borgato, Eny M. Goloni-Bertollo, Cristiane H. Squarize, and Rogerio M. Castilho.'Hypoxic niches are endowed with a protumorigenic mechanism that supersedes the protective function of PTEN.' The FASEB Journal. 2019; 33: 13435–49.
- Bhuria V, Xing J, Scholta T, Bui KC, Nguyen MLT, Malek NP, Bozko P, Plentz RR. Hypoxia induced Sonic Hedgehog signaling regulates cancer stemness, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and invasion in cholangiocarcinoma. Exp Cell Res. 2019;385(2):111671.
- 212. Das B, Pal B, Bhuyan R, Li H, Sarma A, Gayan S, Talukdar J, Sandhya S, Bhuyan S, Gogoi G, Gouw AM, Baishya D, Gotlib JR, Kataki AC, Felsher DW. MYC Regulates the HIF2alpha Stemness Pathway via Nanog and Sox2 to Maintain Self-Renewal in Cancer Stem Cells versus Non-Stem Cancer Cells. Cancer Res. 2019;79:4015–25.
- Hao S, Zhu X, Liu Z, Wu X, Li S, Jiang P, Jiang L. Chronic intermittent hypoxia promoted lung cancer stem cell-like properties via enhancing Bach1 expression. Respir Res. 2021;22(1):58.
- Liao WL, Liu YF, Ying TH, Shieh JC, Hung YT, Lee HJ, Shen CY, Cheng CW. Inhibitory Effects of Ursolic Acid on the Stemness and Progression of Human Breast Cancer Cells by Modulating Argonaute-2. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;24(1):366.
- 215. Silapech A, Racha S, Aksorn N, Lafauy P, Tungsukruthai S, Vinayanuwattikun C, Sritularak B, Chanvorachote P. Pongol Methyl Ether Inhibits Akt and Suppresses Cancer Stem Cell Phenotypes in Lung Cancer Cells. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2021;14(11):1085.
- 216. Turdo A, Glaviano A, Pepe G, Calapà F, Raimondo S, Fiori ME, Carbone D, Basilicata MG, Di Sarno V, Ostacolo C, Parrino B, Cascioferro S, Pecoraro C, Di Franco S, Bellavia D, Gaggianesi M, Veschi V, Lo Iacono M, Ganduscio G, Pantina VD, Mangiapane LR, Bongiorno MR, Alessandro R, Todaro M, De Maria R, Diana P, Campiglia P, Stassi G. Nobiletin and Xanthohumol Sensitize Colorectal Cancer Stem Cells to Standard Chemotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(16):3927.

- Du L, Wang D, Nagle PW, Groen AAH, Zhang H, Muijs CT, Plukker JTM, Coppes RP. Role of mTOR through Autophagy in Esophageal Cancer Stemness. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(7):1806.
- 218. Tang, Dongxin, Xiaofen Xu, Jialiang Ying, Tian Xie, and Gang Cao.'Transfer of metastatic traits via miR-200c in extracellular vesicles derived from colorectal cancer stem cells is inhibited by atractylenolide I.' Clinical and Translational Medicine. 2020; 10: e139.
- 219. Lin, Cheng-Han, Hao-Yi Li, Yu-Peng Liu, Pei-Fung Kuo, Wen-Ching Wang, Forn-Chia Lin, Wei-Lun Chang, Bor-Shyang Sheu, Yi-Ching Wang, Wan-Chun Hung, Hui-Chuan Cheng, Yun-Chin Yao, Marcus J. Calkins, Michael Hsiao, and Pei-Jung Lu'High-CLDN4 ESCC cells harbor stem-like properties and indicate for poor concurrent chemoradiation therapy response in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma'. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology. 2019; 11: 1758835919875324.
- 220. Chien HJ, Ying TH, Hsieh SC, Lin CL, Yu YL, Kao SH, Hsieh YH. alpha-Mangostin attenuates stemness and enhances cisplatin-induced cell death in cervical cancer stem-like cells through induction of mitochondrialmediated apoptosis. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235:5590–601.
- Liu F, Hu K, Al-Qudsy LH, Wu LQ, Wang Z, Xu HY, Yang H, Yang PF. Aging exacerbates the morphological and mechanical response of mineralized collagen fibrils in murine cortical bone to disuse. Acta Biomater. 2022;152:345–54.
- 222. Elkashty OA, Tran SD. Broccoli extract increases drug-mediated cytotoxicity towards cancer stem cells of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer. 2020;123:1395–403.
- 223. Zhou T, Liu J, Xie Y, Yuan S, Guo Y, Bai W, Zhao K, Jiang W, Wang H, Wang H, Zhao T, Huang C, Gao S, Wang X, Yang S, Hao J. ESE3/EHF, a promising target of rosiglitazone, suppresses pancreatic cancer stemness by downregulating CXCR4. Gut. 2022;71:357–71.
- Yu LY, Shueng PW, Chiu HC, Yen YW, Kuo TY, Li CR, Liu MW, Ho CH, Ho TH, Wang BW, Li CE, Chen MH, Shen YA, Lo CL. Glucose Transporter 1-Mediated Transcytosis of Glucosamine-Labeled Liposomal Ceramide Targets Hypoxia Niches and Cancer Stem Cells to Enhance Therapeutic Efficacy. ACS Nano. 2023;17:13158–75.
- 225. Liu B, Fang X, Kwong DL, Zhang Y, Verhoeft K, Gong L, Zhang B, Chen J, Yu Q, Luo J, Tang Y, Huang T, Ling F, Fu L, Yan Q, Guan XY. Targeting TROY-mediated P85a/AKT/TBX3 signaling attenuates tumor stemness and elevates treatment response in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022;41:182.
- 226. Shen Wenzhi, Zhang Xiaoyuan, Tang Jiaping, Zhang Zhixin, Renle Du, Luo Dehong, Liu Xiaoran, Xia Yong, Li Yanping, Wang Shanshan, Yan Siyuan, Yang Wancai, Xiang Rong, Luo Na, Luo Yunping, Li Jianjun. CCL16 maintains stem cell-like properties in breast cancer by activating CCR2/GSK3β/β-catenin/OCT4 axis. Theranostics. 2021;11:2297–317.
- 227. Sahara S, Warner KA, Herzog AE, Zhang Z, Nor JE. Therapeutic inhibition of Bmi-1 ablates chemoresistant cancer stem cells in adenoid cystic carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2023;142:106437.
- 228. Zhang J, Liu S, Ye Q, Pan J. Transcriptional inhibition by CDK7/9 inhibitor SNS-032 abrogates oncogene addiction and reduces liver metastasis in uveal melanoma. Mol Cancer. 2019;18:140.
- 229. Yin Mingzhu, Guo Ying, Rui Hu, Cai Wesley L, Li Yao, Pei Shiyao, Sun Hongyin, Peng Cong, Li Jiali, Ye Rui, Yang Qiaohong, Wang Nenghui, Tao Yongguang, Chen Xiang, Yan Qin. Potent BRD4 inhibitor suppresses cancer cell-macrophage interaction. Nature Communications. 2020;11:1833.
- 230. Liu, Qing, Johnie Hodge, Junfeng Wang, Yuzhen Wang, Lianming Wang, Udai Singh, Yong Li, Yongzhong Yao, Dawei Wang, Walden Ai, Prakash Nagarkatti, Hexin Chen, Peisheng Xu, E. Angela Murphy, and Daping Fan/Emodin reduces Breast Cancer Lung Metastasis by suppressing Macrophage-induced Breast Cancer Cell Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and Cancer Stem Cell formation'. Theranostics. 2020; 10: 8365–81.
- 231. Falchook, Gerald, Seth Rosen, Patricia LoRusso, Justin Watts, Shilpa Gupta, Catherine C. Coombs, Moshe Talpaz, Razelle Kurzrock, Monica Mita, Ryan Cassaday, Wael Harb, Julio Peguero, David C. Smith, Sarina A. Piha-Paul, Russ Szmulewitz, Marcus S. Noel, Swamy Yeleswaram, Phillip Liu, Julie Switzky, Gongfu Zhou, Fred Zheng, and Amitkumar Mehta.'Development of 2 Bromodomain and Extraterminal Inhibitors With Distinct Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Profiles for the Treatment of Advanced Malignancies'. Clinical Cancer Research : an Official Journal of the American Association For Cancer Research. 2020; 26: 1247–57.

- 232. Hilton J, Cristea M, Postel-Vinay S, Baldini C, Voskoboynik M, Edenfield W, Shapiro GI, Cheng ML, Vuky J, Corr B, Das S, Apfel A, Xu K, Kozicki M, Ünsal-Kaçmaz K, Hammell A, Wang G, Ravindran P, Kollia G, Esposito O, Coker S, Diamond JR. BMS-986158, a Small Molecule Inhibitor of the Bromodomain and Extraterminal Domain Proteins, in Patients with Selected Advanced Solid Tumors: Results from a Phase 1/2a Trial. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(17):4079.
- 233. Gounder M, Ratan R, Alcindor T, Schöffski P, van der Graaf WT, Wilky BA, Riedel RF, Lim A, Smith LM, Moody S, Attia S, Chawla S, D'Amato G, Federman N, Merriam P, Van Tine BA, Vincenzi B, Benson C, Bui NQ, Chugh R, Tinoco G, Charlson J, Dileo P, Hartner L, Lapeire L, Mazzeo F, Palmerini E, Reichardt P, Stacchiotti S, Bailey HH, Burgess MA, Cote GM, Davis LE, Deshpande H, Gelderblom H, Grignani G, Loggers E, Philip T, Pressey JG, Kummar S, Kasper B. Nirogacestat, a y-Secretase Inhibitor for Desmoid Tumors. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(10):898-912.
- 234. Hanna, G. J., A. Stathis, E. Lopez-Miranda, F. Racca, D. Quon, S. Leyvraz, D. Hess, B. Keam, J. Rodon, M. J. Ahn, H. R. Kim, A. Schneeweiss, J. M. Ribera, D. DeAngelo, J. M. Perez Garcia, J. Cortes, O. Schonborn-Kellenberger, D. Weber, P. Pisa, M. Bauer, L. Beni, M. Bobadilla, R. Lehal, M. Vigolo, F. D. Vogl, and E. Garralda'A Phase I Study of the Pan-Notch Inhibitor CB-103 for Patients with Advanced Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma and Other Tumors'. Cancer Res Commun. 2023; 3: 1853–61.
- 235. Dummer, R., A. Guminksi, R. Gutzmer, J. T. Lear, K. D. Lewis, A. L. S. Chang, P. Combemale, L. Dirix, M. Kaatz, R. Kudchadkar, C. Loquai, R. Plummer, H. J. Schulze, A. J. Stratigos, U. Trefzer, N. Squittieri, and M. R. Migden.'Long-term efficacy and safety of sonidegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: 42-month analysis of the phase II randomized, double-blind BOLT study.' Br J Dermatol. 2020; 182: 1369–78.
- Tibes, R., H. E. Kosiorek, A. C. Dueck, J. Palmer, L. Sproat, J. Bogenberger, S. Hashmi, R. Mesa, W. Hogan, M. R. Litzow, and A. Al-Kali. Phase 1/1b study of azacitidine and hedgehog pathway inhibitor sonidegib in patients with myeloid neoplasms.' Cancer. 2023; 129: 2321–30.
- 237. Kawazoe, Akihito, Yasutoshi Kuboki, Eiji Shinozaki, Hiroki Hara, Tomohiro Nishina, Yoshito Komatsu, Satoshi Yuki, Masashi Wakabayashi, Shogo Nomura, Akihiro Sato, Takeshi Kuwata, Masahito Kawazu, Hiroyuki Mano, Yosuke Togashi, Hiroyoshi Nishikawa, and Takayuki Yoshino:/Multicenter Phase I/II Trial of Napabucasin and Pembrolizumab in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (EPOC1503/SCOOP Trial). Clinical Cancer Research : an Official Journal of the American Association For Cancer Research. 2020; 26: 5887–94.
- DiNardo, C. D., A. Maiti, C. R. Rausch, N. Pemmaraju, K. Naqvi, N. G. Daver, T. M. Kadia, G. Borthakur, M. Ohanian, Y. Alvarado, G. C. Issa, G. Montalban-Bravo, N. J. Short, M. Yilmaz, P. Bose, E. J. Jabbour, K. Takahashi, J. A. Burger, G. Garcia-Manero, N. Jain, S. M. Kornblau, P. A. Thompson, Z. Estrov, L. Masarova, K. Sasaki, S. Verstovsek, A. Ferrajoli, W. G. Weirda, S. A. Wang, S. Konoplev, Z. Chen, S. A. Pierce, J. Ning, W. Qiao, F. Ravandi, M. Andreeff, J. S. Welch, H. M. Kantarjian, and M. Y. Konopleva.'10-day decitabine with venetoclax for newly diagnosed intensive chemotherapy ineligible, and relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukaemia: a single-centre, phase 2 trial'. Lancet Haematol. 2020; 7: e724-e36.
- Wang, Y., M. Zhang, W. Song, Q. Cai, L. Zhang, X. Sun, L. Zou, H. Zhang, L. Wang, and H. Xue.'Chidamide plus prednisone, etoposide, and thalidomide for untreated angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma in a Chinese population: A multicenter phase II trial'. Am J Hematol. 2022; 97: 623–29.
- 240. Jiang, Zefei, Wei Li, Xichun Hu, Qingyuan Zhang, Tao Sun, Shude Cui, Shusen Wang, Quchang Ouyang, Yongmei Yin, Cuizhi Geng, Zhongsheng Tong, Ying Cheng, Yueyin Pan, Yuping Sun, Hong Wang, Tao Ouyang, Kangsheng Gu, Jifeng Feng, Xiaojia Wang, Shubin Wang, Tianshu Liu, Jinghua Gao, Massimo Cristofanilli, Zhiqiang Ning, and Xianping Lu.'Tucidinostat plus exemestane for postmenopausal patients with advanced, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer (ACE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial'. The Lancet. Oncology. 2019; 20: 806–15.
- 241. Alptekin, Ahmet, Bingwei Ye, and Han-Fei Ding.'Transcriptional Regulation of Stem Cell and Cancer Stem Cell Metabolism.' Current Stem Cell Reports. 2017; 3: 19–27.
- 242. Valle S, Alcalá S, Martin-Hijano L, Cabezas-Sáinz P, Navarro D, Muñoz ER, Yuste L, Tiwary K, Walter K, Ruiz-Cañas L, Alonso-Nocelo M, Rubiolo JA, González-Arnay E, Heeschen C, Garcia-Bermejo L, Hermann PC, Sánchez L, Sancho P, Fernández-Moreno MÁ, Sainz B Jr. Exploiting oxidative

phosphorylation to promote the stem and immunoevasive properties of pancreatic cancer stem cells. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):5265.

- Han, Jiyou, Miae Won, Ji Hyeon Kim, Eugeine Jung, Kyungim Min, Paramesh Jangili, and Jong Seung Kim. Cancer stem cell-targeted bioimaging and chemotherapeutic perspective. Chemical Society Reviews. 2020; 49: 7856–78.
- 244. Raggi, Chiara, Maria Letizia Taddei, Elena Sacco, Nadia Navari, Margherita Correnti, Benedetta Piombanti, Mirella Pastore, Claudia Campani, Erica Pranzini, Jessica Iorio, Giulia Lori, Tiziano Lottini, Clelia Peano, Javier Cibella, Monika Lewinska, Jesper B. Andersen, Luca di Tommaso, Luca Viganò, Giovanni Di Maira, Stefania Madiai, Matteo Ramazzotti, Ivan Orlandi, Annarosa Arcangeli, Paola Chiarugi, and Fabio Marra'.Mitochondrial oxidative metabolism contributes to a cancer stem cell phenotype in cholangiocarcinoma'. Journal of Hepatology. 2021; 74: 1373–85.
- Sánchez-Alvarez R, De Francesco EM, Fiorillo M, Sotgia F, Lisanti MP. Mitochondrial Fission Factor (MFF) Inhibits Mitochondrial Metabolism and Reduces Breast Cancer Stem Cell (CSC) Activity. Front Oncol. 2020;10:1776.
- 246. Liu Z, Shan S, Yuan Z, Wu F, Zheng M, Wang Y, Gui J, Xu W, Wang C, Ren T, Wen Z. Mitophagy bridges DNA sensing with metabolic adaption to expand lung cancer stem-like cells. EMBO Rep. 2023;24(2):e54006.
- 247. Praharaj Prakash P, Patra Srimanta, Mishra Soumya R, Mukhopadhyay Subhadip, Klionsky Daniel J, Patil Shankargouda, Bhutia Sujit K. CLU (clusterin) promotes mitophagic degradation of MSX2 through an AKT-DNM1L/Drp1 axis to maintain SOX2-mediated stemness in oral cancer stem cells. Autophagy. 2023;19:2196–216.
- 248. Praharaj, Prakash Priyadarshi, Birija Sankar Patro, and Sujit Kumar Bhutia: Dysregulation of mitophagy and mitochondrial homeostasis in cancer stem cells: Novel mechanism for anti-cancer stem cell-targeted cancer therapy'. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2021; 179: 5015–35.
- 249. Zhao Hui, Yan Chang, Yibing Hu, Lei Mu, Liu Shuang, Huang Kaiyu, Li Qilin, Li Xiaolan, Tao Deding, Qin Jichao. Differentiated cancer cell-originated lactate promotes the self-renewal of cancer stem cells in patientderived colorectal cancer organoids. Cancer Letters. 2020;493:236–44.
- 250. Wang, Juhong, Fei Shao, Yannan Yang, Wei Wang, Xueying Yang, Renda Li, Hong Cheng, Sijin Sun, Xiaoli Feng, Yibo Gao, Jie He, and Zhimin Lu/A non-metabolic function of hexokinase 2 in small cell lung cancer: promotes cancer cell stemness by increasing USP11-mediated CD133 stability'. Cancer Communications. 2022; 42: 1008–27.
- Liu S, Zhao H, Hu Y, Yan C, Mi Y, Li X, Tao D, Qin J. Lactate promotes metastasis of normoxic colorectal cancer stem cells through PGC-1αmediated oxidative phosphorylation. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(7):651.
- 252. Chakravarti B, Akhtar Siddiqui J, Anthony Sinha R, Raza S. Targeting autophagy and lipid metabolism in cancer stem cells. Biochem Pharmacol. 2023;212:115550.
- 253. Nisticò C, Pagliari F, Chiarella E, Fernandes Guerreiro J, Marafioti MG, Aversa I, Genard G, Hanley R, Garcia-Calderón D, Bond HM, Mesuraca M, Tirinato L, Spadea MF, Seco JC. Lipid Droplet Biosynthesis Impairment through DGAT2 Inhibition Sensitizes MCF7 Breast Cancer Cells to Radiation. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(18):10102.
- Kuramoto, K., M. Yamamoto, S. Suzuki, K. Togashi, T. Sanomachi, C. Kitanaka, and M. Okada.'Inhibition of the Lipid Droplet-Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α Axis Suppresses Cancer Stem Cell Properties.' Genes. 2021; 12: 99.
- Liu Z, Lei J, Wu T, Hu W, Zheng M, Wang Y, Song J, Ruan H, Xu L, Ren T, Xu W, Wen Z. Lipogenesis promotes mitochondrial fusion and maintains cancer stemness in human NSCLC. JCI Insight. 2023;8(6):e158429.
- Liu S, Sun Y, Hou Y, Yang L, Wan X, Qin Y, Liu Y, Wang R, Zhu P, Teng Y, Liu M. A novel IncRNA ROPM-mediated lipid metabolism governs breast cancer stem cell properties. J Hematol Oncol. 2021;14(1):178.
- 257. Yu Y, Kim H, Choi S, Yu J, Lee JY, Lee H, Yoon S, Kim WY. Targeting a Lipid Desaturation Enzyme, SCD1, Selectively Eliminates Colon Cancer Stem Cells through the Suppression of Wnt and NOTCH Signaling. Cells. 2021;10(1):106.
- 258. Pisanu ME, Maugeri-Saccà M, Fattore L, Bruschini S, De Vitis C, Tabbi E, Bellei B, Migliano E, Kovacs D, Camera E, Picardo M, Jakopin Z, Cippitelli C, Bartolazzi A, Raffa S, Torrisi MR, Fulciniti F, Ascierto PA, Ciliberto G, Mancini R. Inhibition of Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 reverts BRAF and MEK inhibition-induced selection of cancer stem cells in BRAF-mutated melanoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018;37(1):318.

- 259. O'Brien C, Ling T, Berman JM, Culp-Hill R, Reisz JA, Rondeau V, Jahangiri S, St-Germain J, Macwan V, Astori A, Zeng A, Hong JY, Li M, Yang M, Jana S, Gamboni F, Tsao E, Liu W, Dick JE, Lin H, Melnick A, Tikhonova A, Arruda A, Minden MD, Raught B, D'Alessandro A, Jones CL. Simultaneous inhibition of Sirtuin 3 and cholesterol homeostasis targets acute myeloid leukemia stem cells by perturbing fatty acid β-oxidation and inducing lipotoxicity. Haematologica. 2023;108(9):2343-57.
- Zhang M, Peng R, Wang H, Yang Z, Zhang H, Zhang Y, Wang M, Wang H, Lin J, Zhao Q, Liu J. Nanog mediated by FAO/ACLY signaling induces cellular dormancy in colorectal cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(2):159.
- Pacifico F, Leonardi A, Crescenzi E. Glutamine Metabolism in Cancer Stem Cells: A Complex Liaison in the Tumor Microenvironment. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(3):2337.
- 262. Li Binghua, Cao Yajuan, Meng Gang, Qian Liyuan, Tiancheng Xu, Yan Chen, Luo Ouyang, Wang Shaohe, Wei Jiwu, Ding Yitao, Decai Yu. Targeting glutaminase 1 attenuates stemness properties in hepatocellular carcinoma by increasing reactive oxygen species and suppressing Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. EBioMedicine. 2019;39:239–54.
- 263. Liao J, Liu PP, Hou G, Shao J, Yang J, Liu K, Lu W, Wen S, Hu Y, Huang P. Regulation of stem-like cancer cells by glutamine through  $\beta$ -catenin pathway mediated by redox signaling. Mol Cancer. 2017;16(1):51.
- 264. Le Grand, Marion, Anna Mukha, Jakob Püschel, Emanuele Valli, Alvin Kamili, Orazio Vittorio, Anna Dubrovska, and Maria Kavallaris'Interplay between MycN and c-Myc regulates radioresistance and cancer stem cell phenotype in neuroblastoma upon glutamine deprivation'. Theranostics. 2020; 10: 6411–29.
- Wang H, Gong P, Li J, Fu Y, Zhou Z, Liu L. Role of CD133 in human embryonic stem cell proliferation and teratoma formation. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2020;11(1):208.
- 266. Behrooz AB, Syahir A. Could We Address the Interplay Between CD133, Wnt/ $\beta$ -Catenin, and TERT Signaling Pathways as a Potential Target for Glioblastoma Therapy? Front Oncol. 2021;11:642719.
- Park J, Kim SK, Hallis SP, Choi BH, Kwak MK. Role of CD133/NRF2 Axis in the Development of Colon Cancer Stem Cell-Like Properties. Front Oncol. 2022;11:808300.
- 268. Yuan Zeting, Liang Xin, Zhan Yueping, Wang Ziyuan, Jian Xu, Qiu Yanyan, Wang Jie, Cao Yijun, Le Van-Minh, Ly Hai-Trieu, Jianhua Xu, Li Wei, Yin Peihao, Ke Xu. Targeting CD133 reverses drug-resistance via the AKT/NF-κB/MDR1 pathway in colorectal cancer. British Journal of Cancer. 2020;122:1342–53.
- Hassn Mesrati M, Syafruddin SE, Mohtar MA, Syahir A. CD44: A Multifunctional Mediator of Cancer Progression. Biomolecules. 2021;11(12):1850.
- 270. Kim, Dae Kyoung, Min Hee Ham, Seo Yul Lee, Min Joo Shin, Ye Eun Kim, Parkyong Song, Dong-Soo Suh, and Jae Ho Kim. (CD166 promotes the cancer stem-like properties of primary epithelial ovarian cancer cells.' BMB Reports. 2020; 53: 622–27.
- 271. Ma XL, Hu B, Tang WG, Xie SH, Ren N, Guo L, Lu RQ. CD73 sustained cancer-stem-cell traits by promoting SOX9 expression and stability in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):11.
- 272. Riether, Carsten, Christian M. Schürch, Elias D. Bührer, Magdalena Hinterbrandner, Anne-Laure Huguenin, Sabine Hoepner, Inti Zlobec, Thomas Pabst, Ramin Radpour, and Adrian F. Ochsenbein. CD70/ CD27 signaling promotes blast stemness and is a viable therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukemia. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2017; 214: 359–80.
- 273. Riether C, Pabst T, Höpner S, Bacher U, Hinterbrandner M, Banz Y, Müller R, Manz MG, Gharib WH, Francisco D, Bruggmann R, van Rompaey L, Moshir M, Delahaye T, Gandini D, Erzeel E, Hultberg A, Fung S, de Haard H, Leupin N, Ochsenbein AF. Targeting CD70 with cusatuzumab eliminates acute myeloid leukemia stem cells in patients treated with hypomethylating agents. Nature medicine. 2020;26:1459–67.
- 274. Schulz A, Meyer F, Dubrovska A, Borgmann K.'Cancer Stem Cells and Radioresistance: DNA Repair and Beyond'. Cancers. 2019;11:862.
- 275. Gold A, Eini L, Nissim-Rafinia M, Viner R, Ezer S, Erez K, Aqaqe N, Hanania R, Milyavsky Michael, Meshorer Eran, Goldberg M. Spironolactone inhibits the growth of cancer stem cells by impairing DNA damage response. Oncogene. 2019;38:3103–18.

- Lu Y, Zhang X. Radiochemotherapy-induced DNA repair promotes the biogenesis of gastric cancer stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2022;13(1):481.
- Nathansen J, Meyer F, Müller L, Schmitz M, Borgmann K, Dubrovska A. Beyond the Double-Strand Breaks: The Role of DNA Repair Proteins in Cancer Stem-Cell Regulation. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(19):4818.
- Lee H, Ha S, Choi S, Do S, Yoon S, Kim YK, Kim WY. Oncogenic Impact of TONSL, a Homologous Recombination Repair Protein at the Replication Fork, in Cancer Stem Cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(11):9530.
- 279. Zhao Xiaomei, Zhuang Yuan, Wang Biao, Yuan Baoying, Shisuo Du, Zeng Zhaochong. The miR-34a-5p-c-MYC-CHK1/CHK2 Axis Counteracts Cancer Stem Cell-Like Properties and Enhances Radiosensitivity in Hepatocellular Cancer Through Repression of the DNA Damage Response. Radiation Research. 2023;199:48–60.
- 280. Manic, Gwenola, Michele Signore, Antonella Sistigu, Giorgio Russo, Francesca Corradi, Silvia Siteni, Martina Musella, Sara Vitale, Maria Laura De Angelis, Matteo Pallocca, Carla Azzurra Amoreo, Francesca Sperati, Simone Di Franco, Sabina Barresi, Eleonora Policicchio, Gabriele De Luca, Francesca De Nicola, Marcella Mottolese, Ann Zeuner, Maurizio Fanciulli, Giorgio Stassi, Marcello Maugeri-Saccà, Marta Baiocchi, Marco Tartaglia, Ilio Vitale, and Ruggero De Maria'.CHK1-targeted therapy to deplete DNA replication-stressed, p53-deficient, hyperdiploid colorectal cancer stem cells'. Gut. 2018; 67: 903–17.
- 281. Dong, Zhen, Guoxin Zhang, Meng Qu, Ryan C. Gimple, Qiulian Wu, Zhixin Qiu, Briana C. Prager, Xiuxing Wang, Leo J. Y. Kim, Andrew R. Morton, Deobrat Dixit, Wenchao Zhou, Haidong Huang, Bin Li, Zhe Zhu, Shideng Bao, Stephen C. Mack, Lukas Chavez, Steve A. Kay, and Jeremy N. Rich/Targeting Glioblastoma Stem Cells through Disruption of the Circadian Clock'. Cancer Discovery. 2019; 9: 1556–73.
- Jiang, P., C. Xu, P. Zhang, J. Ren, F. Mageed, X. Wu, L. Chen, F. Zeb, Q. Feng, and S. Li.'Epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits self-renewal ability of lung cancer stem-like cells through inhibition of CLOCK.' International journal of molecular medicine. 2020; 46: 2216–24.
- Chen, Peiwen, Wen-Hao Hsu, Andrew Chang, Zhi Tan, Zhengdao Lan, Ashley Zhou, Denise J. Spring, Frederick F. Lang, Y. Alan Wang, and Ronald A. DePinho.'Circadian Regulator CLOCK Recruits Immune-Suppressive Microglia into the GBM Tumor Microenvironment.' Cancer Discovery. 2020; 10: 371–81.
- Xuan Wenjing, Hsu Wen-Hao, Khan Fatima, Dunterman Madeline, Pang Lizhi, Wainwright Derek A, Ahmed Atique U, Heimberger Amy B, Lesniak Maciej S, Chen Peiwen. Circadian Regulator CLOCK Drives Immunosuppression in Glioblastoma. Cancer Immunology Research. 2022;10:770–84.
- Pang L, Dunterman M, Xuan W, Gonzalez A, Lin Y, Hsu WH, Khan F, Hagan RS, Muller WA, Heimberger AB, Chen P. Circadian regulator CLOCK promotes tumor angiogenesis in glioblastoma. Cell Rep. 2023;42(2):112127.
- 286. Stokes, Kyle, Malika Nunes, Chantelle Trombley, Danilo E. F. L. Flôres, Gang Wu, Zainab Taleb, Abedalrhman Alkhateeb, Suhrid Banskota, Chris Harris, Oliver P. Love, Waliul I. Khan, Luis Rueda, John B. Hogenesch, and Phillip Karpowicz.'The Circadian Clock Gene, Bmal1, Regulates Intestinal Stem Cell Signaling and Represses Tumor Initiation.' Cellular and Molecular Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2021; 12: 1847–72.e0.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.